

Schedule of Representations

Proposed Main Modifications

November 2019

Schedule of Representations – Main Modifications

The Council have undertaken consultation on the Proposed Main Modifications for the emerging Sunderland Core Strategy and Development Plan (2015 to 2033). The consultation period commenced on Friday 13 September 2019 and ended on Friday 25 October 2019. The scope and direction of the consultation reflected the legal requirements of consultation held during Regulation 19 Stage, in alignment with guidance laid out by the Planning Inspectorate in Procedure Guide for Local Plan Examinations (June 2018). As part of the consultation, the Council produced a series of documents to support stakeholders in making comments. This included the following:

- Schedule of Main Modifications (EX19.001)
- Sustainability Appraisal Addendum SA of Main Modifications (EX19.002)
- Statement of Representation Procedure (EX19.003)
- Housing Implementation Strategy (EX19.004)
- CSDP Main Modifications Note (EX19.005)
- CSDP Main Modifications Policies Map (EX19.006)
- Proposed Policies Map Amendments to reflect Main Modifications (EX19.007)
- CSDP 2015 to 2033 Publication Draft (Incorporating Proposed Modifications) (EX19.008)
- Schedule of Additional Modifications (EX19.009)
- CSDP Statement of Common Ground With Natural England (EX19.010)
- CSDP Infrastructure Delivery Plan (Updated Sept 2019) (EX19.011)

In addition, the Council provided an interactive version of the updated Policies Map. All documents set out above, were made available on the Councils, CSDP examination page¹, at Sunderland Civic Centre and all Council libraries.

As part of the CSDP Proposed Main Modification consultation, the Council received representations from 302 consultees which provided a total of 368 responses to the proposed Main Modifications. Also, not included in this total were four unduly made representations from, Natural England, and three members of the public. The reason for logging these responses as 'unduly made' was due to them being received after the consultation period ended.

¹ https://www.sunderland.gov.uk/CSDPEIP

The Schedule below sets out a summary of all representations received to the consultation and the Council's response to these representations. A separate Report of Representations document has also been published which sets out all of the responses received in full, including those which were unduly made.

MM Ref	Consultation Ref	Consultee	Organisation Details	Agent	Agent Organisation	Legal and Procedural Compliance	Positively Prepared	Justified	Effective	National Policy	Summary	Council Response
MM2	MMC85		Paul Mackings Consulting Ltd	Mr Ian Lyle	E L G Planning	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Supports the removal of the Hendon Paper Mill site from employment area as shown on Key Diagram.	Comment noted.
ММЗ	MMC19	Mr James Ebdale		Miss Nicola Allan		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Supports the allocation of SSGA and Vaux.	Comment noted.
ммз	MMC100	Mr John Jameson	Miller Homes Ltd	Mr Joe Ridgeon	Hedley Planning Services	No		No			General support for proposed modification however Land at New Herrington (Site Ref. HO3) should be safeguarded to meet longer-term development need in the Coalfield.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council consider that there is a sufficient supply of housing sites to meet the housing requirement and have committed to providing a buffer of approximately 10% through allocations within the emerging Allocations and Designations Plan (see EX18.003).
ммз	MMC93	Gladman Developments				No	No	No	No	No	Concern that there is an over-reliance on large strategic housing sites, there is a need to identify land for a further 111 dwellings to meet the plan requirement and that there is an insufficient buffer provided.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council consider that there is a sufficient supply of housing sites to meet the housing requirement and have committed to providing a buffer of approximately 10% through allocations within the emerging Allocations and Designations Plan (see EX18.003). The only large strategic housing allocation within the Plan is the SSGA, the development of which is well-advanced.
ММЗ	MMC94		Home Builders Federation (HBF)			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	The HBF support the amendment to include net and net additional, this is considered to add clarity to the policy.	Comment noted.
MM4	MMC61		Barratt David Wilson Homes	Andrew Rose	Spawforths	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Supports the allocation of HGA3.	Comment noted.
MM4	MMC66	Ms Amy F Ward	Barratt David Wilson Homes	Andrew Rose	Spawforths	No	No	No	No	No	Supports safeguarding of land to East of Washington, but considers site should be allocated for housing through CSDP.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council consider that there is a sufficient supply of housing sites to meet the housing requirement and have committed to providing a buffer of approximately 10% through allocations within the emerging Allocations and Designations Plan. This will include consideration of whether an early release of the safeguarded land is necessary (see EX18.003).

MM4	MMC73		I Ammieeianare Far	Ms Lucie Jowett	Barton Willmore	No	No	No	No	No	Concerned that alternative housing sites have not been allocated to replace those deleted	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council consider that there is a sufficient supply of housing sites to meet the housing requirement and have committed to providing a buffer of approximately 10% through allocations within the emerging Allocations and Designations Plan (see EX18.003).
MM4	MMC55	Mr James Ebdale		Miss Nicola Allan		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Supports the allocation of safeguarded land.	Comment noted.
MM4	MMC124		Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Object to decision to delete Site HGA2 and safeguarded land to south east of Springwell Village. The proposals do not adequately seek to address spatial imbalance in supply in Washington sub-area.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council have accepted the recommendations of the Inspector to retain the sites in the Green Belt and consider that Policy SP3, as set out in MM4, is sound.
MM5	MMC2	Callum Robinson				No		No			Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	ммсз	Mrs K H Appleton				No		No			Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC4	Mr Paul Appleton				No		No			Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC5	Ms Ashleigh Foster				No		No			Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	ММС6	Ms Hazel Framingham	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC7	Mr Andrew McGurk	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	ммс8	Dr Lynn McInnes	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	ММС9	Graeme Miller	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC10	Mrs Susanne Miller	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC11	Mr Jack Pringle	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC12	Mrs Anne Rennie	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC13	Mr M B Rennie	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC14	Nicole Robinson	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC15	M A Scott-Gray	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC16	Mrs Jean Taylor	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC17	Mr Michael Wales	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC18	Mr Mark Weddle	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC21	Mr Brian Jordison	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC22	Ann Lorraine Jordison	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC23	Mr Gordon Taylor	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC24	Ms Lynn Taylor	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC25	C Nelson	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC26	Denise Hannan	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC27	Ms June Simpson	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC28	Ronnie Simpson	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC29	Mr Malcolm Weatherburn	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC30	Mrs P Weatherburn	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC31	Ms Maureen Lambton	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC32	Paul Marran	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	ММС33	Mr J McKeon	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC34	Mrs A E McKeon	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC35	Mrs Sarah Gough	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC51	Caroline Anderson	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC49	Ms Ava Anderson	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC48	Mr George Noah Anderson	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC44	Sarah Horrigan	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC53	Jane Horrigan	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC52	Mr Keith Horrigan	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC62		Barratt David Wilson Homes	Andrew Rose	Spawforths	No	No	No	No	No	Support deletion of criterion iii, vi and vii and modifications to v. However concern over requirement for screening and acoustic buffers to the south and requirement for improvements to permissive footpath between Stone Cellar Road and A195 at Follingsby. Alternative wording suggested for criterion iv and vi.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. It is considered that the requirement to seek improvements to the permissive footpath provides sufficient flexibility. The established tree and hedgerows to the south of the site are not required to mitigate noise from the A194(M), but instead to retain established habitat for species within the locality, retaining buffer zones to Stone Cellar Road, which will also support new wildlife corridors and ecological improvements as part of the sites development. This criteria is justified through SD.35 (Sunderland Development Frameworks) and is consistent with Policy NE3 which seeks to protect existing hedgerows and trees.
MM5	MMC74		II 'ammiccianare Lar	Ms Lucie Jowett	Barton Willmore	No	No	No	No	No	Concerned that alternative housing sites have not been allocated to replace those deleted.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council consider that there is a sufficient supply of housing sites to meet the housing requirement and have committed to providing a buffer of approximately 10% through allocations within the emerging Allocations and Designations Plan (see EX18.003).
MM5	MMC78	Mr Mark Goodwill	Highways England			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Highways England consider that the removal of HGA2 East Springwell and HGA6 Rickleton is not of such a scale that they would change the outcomes of the Sunderland Infrastructure Study.	Comment noted.
MM5	MMC36	Maureen Monaghan				No		No			Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC37	Ron Monaghan				No		No			Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC42	Mr John Robinson				No		No			Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC43	Maureen Robinson	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limbact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC38	Francesca Keith	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	ММСЗ9	Alexander Keith	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC40	Deborah Bateman	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC41	Amelia Bateman	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC47	Mr Garry Miller	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC46	Louise Miller	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

					Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty	
MM5	MMC54	Catherine Johnson	No	No	affecting growth assumptions inaccurate	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC45	Mrs S Anderson	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC50	Mr Richard Anderson	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC235	Mr Gavin Johnson	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC157	Mrs Helen Fife	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC163	Mr Peter Lynn	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC188	Mr Edward Failes	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC146	Mrs Maureen Watson	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC162	Mr Alan Askew	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC161	Mrs Audrey Askew	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC237	Mrs Clare Wood	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC224	Mrs Maureen Robinson	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC221	Mr Keith Robinson	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC189	Miss E Henderson	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC227	L Purvis	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC202	Miss M E Glaister	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC210	Ms Joan Ashman	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC156	Mr Grahame Fife	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC145	Mrs Frances Cowie	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC225	J D Cullen	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC201	Peter William Cullen	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC222	Peter J Cullen	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC186	Mr Kenneth Brunger	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC212	Mrs Mary Cartwright	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC187	Mr Alan Coleclough	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC191	Mrs Dorothy M Coleclough	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC217	Mr John Trewhitt	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC218	Mrs M Trewhitt	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC154	J H Turnbull	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limbact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC192	Keith McGlen	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC159	Mrs Maureen Whittaker	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC209	Ms Donna Bishop	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC204	Mr Christopher Bishop	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC169	M Freeman	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC197	Mrs M Livingstone	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC246	Mr R Florance	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC243	Mrs Heather Florance	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC229	Mr W A Pattison	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC195	Mrs Norma Houghton	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC240	Kevin John Sheppard	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC164	Carol Lynn	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC236	Stella Jacques	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC142	Mrs Linda Rae	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC141	Mr Dave Rae	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC234	Dan Banning	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC211	F J Thirlaway	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC215	I Thirlaway	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC168	Mrs Janine Edworthy	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC167	Dr Ian Edworthy	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC208	Mrs Margaret Haywood	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC205	Mrs Maureen Failes	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC151	Mr David Cooper	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC233	Mrs Rachel Chadwick	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC213	Mrs Edna Bell	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC230	Mr Colin Murison	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC166	Mrs Lilian Blue	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC232	Mrs Michele Johnson				No		No			links which are more distant from the site making it	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC181	Mrs Nicola Cowie				No		No			links which are more distant from the site making it	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC144	Mr Terry Brereton				No		No			IINTORMATION NUMBEROA ROAARAINA NUMBE TRANSPORT	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC128		Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Story Homes generally support the majority of the changes, but request criteria change to policy for HGA4 at subpoint v. Also suggest that the site area is extended to the east to take into account the full area controlled by the client.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The proposed modification has been redrawn to the field boundary. It is not considered appropriate to extend the site into the established landscape buffer to the Leamside Line. The reference to protection/enhancement at part iii relates to the Public Right of Way along the southern edge of the site, which is already included within the site allocation.
MM5	MMC226	Mr Alan Bell				No		No			Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village.	The comment does not relate to a proposed
MM5	MMC143	Mrs Elisabeth Brereton				No		No				The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC149	Miss Victoria Clayton	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC179	Miss Kate Robinson	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC174	Miss Maxine Thornley	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC207	Dorothy Robson	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC153	Leslie Cooper	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC148	Evelyn Cooper	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC150	lan Clayton	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC193	Maureen McGlen	No		No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC190	Maureen Pearce	No		No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC152	Lynn Clayton	No	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC196	Stephen Houghton	No		No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC194	K Robson	No		No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC238	Mr W Jacques	No		No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC239	Mr Colin Gransbury	No		No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC155	Maureen Turnbull	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC241	Michael Hills	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC206	Beth Lawrence	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC219	Elizabeth Myers	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC220	George Myers	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC223	Kasia Heywood	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC172	G Burn	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC173	F Burn	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC165	Joan Smith	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC176	Daniel Chadwick	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC175	L Foster	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC245	Mr David Leach	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village,	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC184	Helen Young	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC228	Elizabeth Murison	No	No	links which are more distant from the cite making it	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC178	Mr Mitchell Butler	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC177	David Sunley	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC180	Louise Coats	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC182	Shannen Coats	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC183	Marion Coats	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC171	Md Bridget Edworthy	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC170	Ms Miranda Edworthy	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC160	Mr Peter Jobling	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC198	David Brown	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC200	Ms Susan Richardson	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC199	J Richardson	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC242	Ms Irene Gransbury	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC244	Michelle Hills	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC203	Callum Hills	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	IN/IN/IC:185	Mr Neil Edward Cowie			No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	TR/IR/IC : 1 ZL /	Mr Stuart Harding			No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC140	Ms Angela Silk	Springwell Village Residents Association		No	No	Supports MM5 in that HGA2 and the area of safeguarded land at South East Springwell is proposed to be removed from the CSDP and retained as green belt (as well as other green belt deletion around South West Springwell). However, objects to the proposed retention of HGA1 South West Springwell as there is no justification for the housing growth proposed and therefore the site is unnecessary. It is suggested that the site will not be served by public transport which will exacerbate existing traffic issues locally. Other issues raised include impact on biodiversity, walking distance to nearest primary school, impact on Bowes railway SAM and impact on green belt. A live planning application for a reservoir adjacent to the site is highlighted (19/01280/FU4) as impacting the landscape of the area which is a change in circumstances since the examination in public. The proposed access to HGA1 is cited as inadequate. It is also claimed that the exceptional circumstances for green belt release are inadequate as more brownfield sites have been identified since the examination in public which weakens the argument that housing need dictates green belt deletion is necessary.	safeguarded land. It is not considered that the wider comment relates to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC158	Mr Mark Curry			No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC214	Mr K Rafferty	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limbact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC216	Mrs Pauline Rafferty	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC231	Ms Susan Gray	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC326	Mrs Kathleen Burns	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC262	Mrs Emma Gatens	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC260	Mr Mark Gatens	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC308	Mr John Bell	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC309	Mrs Sheila Bell	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC273	Mr Peter Beal	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC334	Mr Dale Royce Wood	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC314	Mr William James Ward	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC312	Christina Ward	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC278	Mrs M Lewins	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC346	Mr William Evans	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC340	Mrs P Gale	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC318	Mrs E Fife	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC341	Mrs M Dawson	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC290	J Forster	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC288	Mr Jeffrey Nelson	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC279	Ms Diane Nelson	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC331	Mr S Oliver	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC250	Mrs Elizabeth Oliver	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC353	Ms Carole Vorley	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC357	Mrs N J Faulkner	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC284	W McKeon	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC322	Ms Susan Booker	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC267	Mr Robert Johnson	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC339	Mrs Carole Stephenson	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC281	Mrs Lisa Harris	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC338	A Clements	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC342	N D Clements	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC356	D Flinn	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC360	C A Flinn	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC266	Mr John Young	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC317	W Carrick	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC366	Mrs H Watson	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC364	Mr J Watson	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC271	Ms Catherine Meek	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC330	Mr David Meek	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC369	Mr I Bell	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC323	Mrs I Metcalf	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC261	Mr John Nesbitt	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC269	Helen Weir	No	No	affe infor links unsu impa cum	s which are more distant from the site making it sustainable, no need to 'round off' the village,	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC268	David Weir	No	No	affe infor links unsu impa cum	s which are more distant from the site making it sustainable, no need to 'round off' the village,	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC351	Mr I Dalby	No	No	affe infor links unsu impa cum	s which are more distant from the site making it sustainable, no need to 'round off' the village,	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC354	Mrs T Dalby	No	No	affe infor links unsu impa cum	s which are more distant from the site making it sustainable, no need to 'round off' the village,	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC280	Mr Ian Harris	No	No	affec infor links unsu impa cum	s which are more distant from the site making it sustainable, no need to 'round off' the village,	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC263	S M Holt	No	No	affed infor links unsu impa cum	s which are more distant from the site making it sustainable, no need to 'round off' the village,	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC252	David Horrigan	No	No	affe infor links unsu impa cum	s which are more distant from the site making it sustainable, no need to 'round off' the village,	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC336	Mr J Wood	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC332	Mrs Angela Silk	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC333	Matt Banning	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC297	Eric Oliver	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC292	Ms Florence Whitfield	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC294	Mr David Whitfield	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC276	Mr Dennis Lambton	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC283	P Nelson	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC300	Gwenyth Oliver	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC344	Mr David Pickup	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC286	Mrs Pauline Fenwick	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC282	I Nelson	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC247	Mrs Katherine Brown	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC289	Mr Stephen Hudson	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC349	Mr Gary Bunt	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC310	Mrs E.Joan Miller	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC347	Joan Evans	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC302	Mark R Fife	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC319	Mr Scott Nesbitt	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC355	E.D Pattison	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC285	Mr Colin Fenwick	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC287	Amelia Hudson	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC311	Pamela Horne	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC295	Mrs Linda Barron	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC277	W Lewins	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC272	Mrs Mavis Johnson	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC257	Peter Wilkinson	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC254	Jean Wilkinson	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC313	Neil Horne	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC270	Mr Steven Brown	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC325	Peter Burns	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC253	Kenneth Hills	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC315	Mrs Elsie Foster	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC345	Jayne Cook	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC301	Christopher Cook	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC337	Ian Ramsay	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC275	D Foster	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC258	Audrey Leach	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC248	Karen Hills	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC329	Shirley Glass	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC343	Lesley Pickup	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC324	Howard Booker	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC303	Steve Bell	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC304	Sylvia Williams	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC298	Nina Fife	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC305	S Bell	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC316	Jordan Nesbitt	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC321	Mitchell Fife	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC299	Brian Williams	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC327	Kevin Bricknall	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC352	Mr David Watson	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC350	Mrs Veronica Watson	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC259	Ms Caroline Hills	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC328	Lynn Bricknall	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC363	Mr Leslie Trotter	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC365	Ann McCulla	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC265	Mrs Dorothy Chandler	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC359	Mr Frank Chandler	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC307	Catherine Bell	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC358	Ms Gillian McMahon	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC256	Mr Marc Hughes	No	No	IINTARMATIAN NI INIICHAA TAAARAINA NI INIIC TRANCHART	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC306	Miss Julie Bartle	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC335	K Brungel	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC362	Mr Philip Foster	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC348	Ms Carol Crossland	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC367	Mr Ian Meek	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC361	Mrs M Wood	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC255	V Makepeace	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC251	K Makepeace	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC249	B Makepeace	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC370	Mr Richard Hardy	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC293	Ms Norah Kilroy	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC291	Mr Malcolm Barron	No	No	links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, limpact upon open aspect of Bowes Pailway SAM	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC264	Ms Karen Aldam	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC320	Julie Fife	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC296	Mr Frank Hancock	No	No	Objects to Site HGA1 due to political uncertainty affecting growth assumptions, inaccurate information published regarding public transport links which are more distant from the site making it unsustainable, no need to 'round off' the village, impact upon open aspect of Bowes Railway SAM, cumulative impact with adjacent proposals for a reservoir, concern over traffic impacts.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM5	MMC274	Gillian Beal	No	No		The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.

MM5	MMC127		Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Story Homes object to the removal of site HGA2 and safeguarded land at Springwell Village from the Plan. Consider that Springwell Village has incorrectly been considered as a historic town, the release would only have moderate Green Belt impact and that the site could be developed in a manner that would not result in the coalescence of Springwell Village and Washington.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council have accepted the recommendations of the Inspector to retain the sites in the Green Belt and consider that Policy SS2, as set out in MM5, is sound.
ММ6	MMC101	Mr John Jameson	Miller Homes Ltd	Mr Joe Ridgeon	Hedley Planning Services	No		No			The Council has not adequately replaced the loss of 370 dwellings from five-year-supply (as a result of dropping 2 HGA sites and 1 safeguarded site through the EIP process). The Council should consider allocating an alternative area of safeguarded land such as site HO3 Land at New Herrington in the Coalfield.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council consider that there is a sufficient supply of housing sites to meet the housing requirement and have committed to providing a buffer of approximately 10% through allocations within the emerging Allocations and Designations Plan (see EX18.003).
ММ6	MMC67	Ms Amy F Ward	Barratt David Wilson Homes	Andrew Rose	Spawforths	No	No	No	No	No	Washington, but considers site should be allocated for housing through CSDP. If not allocated would like the Plan to make clear that the site will be	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council consider that there is a sufficient supply of housing sites to meet the housing requirement and have committed to providing a buffer of approximately 10% through allocations within the emerging Allocations and Designations Plan. This will include consideration of whether an early release of the safeguarded land is necessary (see EX18.003).
ММ6	MMC75		Church Commissioners For England	Ms Lucie Jowett	Barton Willmore	No	No	No	No	No	Concerned that an insufficient supply of safeguarded land has been identified, especially as the safeguarded land to the south east of Springwell Village has been deleted and not replaced.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. It is considered that the area of safeguarded land identified is sufficient.
мм6	MMC81	MR C MILNER			R & K WOOD PLANNING LLP	No		No			Supports identification of safeguarded land at Washington Meadows but feels there is a strong case for the site to be allocated for housing in the Core Strategy.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council consider that there is a sufficient supply of housing sites to meet the housing requirement and have committed to providing a buffer of approximately 10% through allocations within the emerging Allocations and Designations Plan. This will include consideration of whether an early release of the safeguarded land is necessary (see EX18/003).
MM6	MMC56	Mr James Ebdale		Miss Nicola Allan		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Supports the allocation of safeguarded land.	Comment noted.
мм6	MMC117	-	Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Story Homes object to removal of site HGA2 and safeguarded land to the south east of Springwell Village from the Plan.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council have accepted the recommendations of the Inspector to retain the sites in the Green Belt and consider that Policy SS3, as set out in MM6, is sound.

MM7	MMC57	Mr James Ebdale		Miss Nicola Allan		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Supports removal of HGA7 and retention of site in green belt.	Comment noted.
MM7	MMC131		Hellens	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	growth in North Sunderland where there is a deficiency. It can also address the need for larger detached dwellings identified in the policy.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council have accepted the recommendations of the Inspector to retain the sites in the Green Belt and consider that Policy SP4, as set out in MM7, is sound.
мм8	MMC76		Church Commissioners For England	Ms Lucie Jowett	Barton Willmore	No	No	No	No	No	Concerned that alternative housing sites have not been allocated to replace those deleted.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council consider that there is a sufficient supply of housing sites to meet the housing requirement and have committed to providing a buffer of approximately 10% through allocations within the emerging Allocations and Designations Plan (see Ex18.003).
MM8	ММС79	Goodwiii	Highways England			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Highways England consider that the removal of HGA7 North Hylton is not of such a scale that it would change the outcomes of the Sunderland Infrastructure Study.	Comment noted
MM8	MMC58	Mr James Ebdale		Miss Nicola Allan		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Supports deletion of HGA7.	Comment noted.
MM8	MMC134		Hellens	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	No		No			Induscape of river corridor (reasons cited by Inspector for removal of site) can be mitigated through design and limiting the size of the development, so there is no justification to delete HGA7. It is claimed that impacts to landscape and group bolt purposes are also everestimated and	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council have accepted the recommendations of the Inspector to retain the site in the Green Belt and consider that Policy SS4, as set out in MM8, is sound.
MM9	MMC86	i Palii Markinge	Paul Mackings Consulting Ltd	Mr Ian Lyle	E L G Planning	Yes					Supports the removal of the Hendon Paper Mill site from employment area as shown on Key Diagram South Sunderland.	Comment noted.
MM10	MMC115	Taylor Wimpey, Persimmon Homes and Story Homes	Burdon Lane Consortium	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Generally welcome proposed modifications but suggest that a number of further wording changes are required to make the policy effective and consistent with national policy.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The requested changes were discussed at length during the Hearing Sessions and the proposed modifications reflect those discussions.
MM11	MMC102	Mr John Jameson	Miller Homes Ltd		Hedley Planning Services	No		No			The Council needs to replace 370 dwellings lost through the removal of 2 HGA sites and 1 safeguarded site, and the exception circumstances for green belt deletion in the Coalfield apply to site HO3 Land at New Herrington which justifies safeguarding it for housing development.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council consider that there is a sufficient supply of housing sites to meet the housing requirement and have committed to providing a buffer of approximately 10% through allocations within the emerging Allocations and Designations Plan (see EX18.003).

MM11	MMC90	Gladman Developments				No	No	No	No	No	Do not consider that evidence has been provided that exceptional circumstances exist that justify Green Belt release. All alternative non-Green Belt sites have not been considered such as Gladman's site at North Road, Hetton.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council has prepared a detailed evidence base to justify that exceptional circumstances exist for Green Belt release.
MM11	MMC83	Mr Adam McVickers	Persimmon Homes	Mr Richard Swann	Barton Willmore	Yes					Supports removal of Russell Foster Football Centre from designated settlement break on Key Diagram for the Coalfield.	Comments noted.
MM12	MMC1	Cllr/Mrs Anne Lawson				No	No	No	No	No	Objects to MM12, the proposed removal of HGA9 from the Green Belt and its allocation for housing. Concerns over need for more housing, protection of hedgerows and trees, and traffic congestion. HGA9 offers same amenity green space value as sites removed from the Plan.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM12	MMC71	Dr Geoffrey Walker				No		No			The Council should instead focus on redeveloping brownfield land and the site should be retained as	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM12	MMC60	Ms Sandra Ballantyne				No		No			Objects to development of Green Belt land at Penshaw. Green Belt should be protected for future generations. Wish to retain existing views.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM12	MMC139	Taylor Wimpey	Taylor Wimpey	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Support proposed modifications in relation to site HGA9.	Comment noted.
MM14	MMC103	Mr John Jameson	Miller Homes Ltd	Mr Joe Ridgeon	Hedley Planning Services	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Supports the amendment to include net which adds clarity to the policy. The inclusion of Housing Implementation Strategy is also supported.	Comment noted.
MM14	MMC77		Church Commissioners For England	Ms Lucie Jowett	Barton Willmore	No	No	No	No	No	area will become available.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council consider that there is a sufficient supply of housing sites to meet the housing requirement and have committed to providing a buffer of approximately 10% through allocations within the emerging Allocations and Designations Plan (see EX18.003). The Council does not consider that there has been a persistent under delivery of housing and therefore a 5% buffer is appropriate for five-year land supply purposes.
MM14	MMC95	Mrs Joanne Harding	Home Builders Federation (HBF)			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Supports the amendment to include net which adds clarity to the policy. The inclusion of Housing Implementation Strategy is also supported.	Comment noted.
MM15	MMC104	Mr John Jameson	Miller Homes Ltd		Hedley Planning Services	No		No		No	There is insufficient evidence to demonstrate that this policy is needed, and it is not consistent with national policy. Part (iv) of the policy should be deleted. If the policy is to be retained, the addition of a transition period and the recognition that some schemes may not be viable is acknowledged.	

MM15	MMC63	Ms Amy F Ward	Barratt David Wilson Homes	Andrew Rose	Spawforths	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Supports the proposed modification.	Comment noted.
MM15	MMC91	Gladman Developments				No	No	No	No	No	Objects to the requirement for 10% of all dwellings to meet optional building regulations standard. Insufficient evidence has been provided to justify this requirement and impact on viability has not been considered fully.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council has submitted detailed evidence justifying the inclusion of a policy on accessible and adaptable dwellings.
MM15	MMC96		Home Builders Federation (HBF)			No		No		No	The HBF do not consider MM15 is sound, consider that part (iv) of the policy should be deleted, as according to the HBF, the Council has not provided sufficient evidence to demonstrate that the policy and thus it is considered that it is not in alignment with national policy. However, the HBF acknowledge the transition period, and other criteria within MM15.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council has submitted detailed evidence justifying the inclusion of a policy on accessible and adaptable dwellings.
MM16	MMC105	Mr John Jameson	IIVIIIIAI HOMAS I TO	Mr Joe Ridgeon	Hedley Planning Services	No		No			Concerns in relation to the viability of the requirements of this policy. The modification to include a final sentence in relation to viability is acknowledged; however, it is unclear whether this provides flexibility to or would help to deliver more homes. Miller Homes supports the addition to paragraph 6.18 which states that other evidence will be considered in relation to the housing mix and the amendment to paragraph 6.21 in relation to the distribution of affordable housing within a development.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The viability of the affordable housing requirement was considered as part of the Whole Plan Viability Assessment (SD.60).
MM16	MMC64	Ms Amy F Ward		Andrew Rose	Spawforths	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Supports the proposed modification.	Comment noted.
MM16	MMC97		Home Builders Federation (HBF)			No			No		The HBF do not consider MM16 to be sound. Continue to have concerns regarding the viability of the requirements of this policy. However, the HBF acknowledge the inclusion of the final sentence in relation to viability.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The viability of the affordable housing requirement was considered as part of the Whole Plan Viability Assessment (SD.60.
MM16	MMC138	Taylor Wimpey	Laylor Wilmpoy	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Concern regarding only allowing contributions to off-site affordable housing provision exceptionally and with regard to clustering. Suggest wording changes to subpoint 1 and Paragraph 6.21.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The inclusion of the word exceptionally in relation to off-site contributions for affordable housing was added at the recommendation of the Inspector (see Para.37, EX1.005). The clustering of affordable housing will help to achieve mixed and balanced communities and is considered to be appropriate.
MM16	MMC121		Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Concern regarding only allowing contributions to off-site affordable housing provision exceptionally and with regard to clustering. Suggest wording changes to subpoint 1 and Paragraph 6.21.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The inclusion of the word exceptionally in relation to off-site contributions for affordable housing was added at the recommendation of the Inspector (see Para.37, EX1.005). The clustering of affordable housing will help to achieve mixed and balanced communities and is considered to be appropriate.
MM19	MMC82	Mr Adam McVickers		Mr Richard Swann	Barton Willmore	Yes					Supports removal of Hendon Paper Mill site from employment area.	Comment noted.

MM19	MMC87	Paul Mackings	Paul Mackings Consulting Ltd	Mr Ian Lyle	E L G Planning	Yes					Supports the removal of the Hendon Paper Mill site from employment area as identified in Policy EG2.	Comment noted.
MM19	MMC99	Ms Lucy Bartley	National Grid			Yes					Informing of sites in close proximity to National Grid Infrastructure (PEA4, PEA8 and PEA10).	Comment noted.
MM21	MMC106	Mr John Jameson	Miller Homes Ltd	Mr Joe Ridgeon	Hedley Planning Services	No		No			Does not consider MM21 to be sound. There is insufficient evidence to introduce this policy in relation to the NDSS and it should be deleted. If the policy is to be retained, the addition of a transition period to part 14 of the policy is welcomed.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council has submitted detailed evidence justifying the inclusion of a policy for Nationally Described Space Standards
MM21	MMC65	Ms Amy F Ward	Barratt David Wilson Homes	Andrew Rose	Spawforths	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Supports the proposed modification.	Comment noted.
MM21	MMC98	Mrs Joanne Harding	Home Builders Federation (HBF)			No		No			The HBF do not consider that amendment MM21 is sound, the HBF consider that the Council do not have sufficient evidence to introduce this policy in relation to the NDSS and that it should be deleted. If the policy is to be retained, the transition period is supported.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The Council has submitted detailed evidence justifying the inclusion of a policy for Nationally Described Space Standards
MM21	MMC137	Taylor Wimpey	Taylor Wimpey	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Welcome changes to subpoint 8, subpoint 14 and paragraph 9.5. Suggest alternative wording to subpoint 10 to make it more clear and effective. Suggest that Paragraph 9.6 is amended to indicate that the 5ha threshold should only relate to non-residential development.	Comments noted. It is not considered that there are any issues of soundness of legal compliance that have been raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. It is considered that the thresholds are appropriate for residential development.
MM21	MMC118		Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Welcome changes to subpoint 8, subpoint 14 and paragraph 9.5. Suggest alternative wording to subpoint 10 to make it more clear and effective. Suggest that Paragraph 9.6 is amended to indicate that the 5ha threshold should only relate to non-residential development.	Comments noted. It is not considered that there are any issues of soundness of legal compliance that have been raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. It is considered that the thresholds are appropriate for residential development.
MM22	MMC129	Taylor Wimpey	Taylor Wimpey	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Welcomes proposed change to subpoint 3, however questions the need for the source of materials to be specified and considers that this requirement should be removed.	Comment noted. It is not considered that there are any issues of soundness or legal compliance that have been raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. It is considered that providing the source of materials allows consideration to be given to whether materials have been sourced locally to improve sustainability. It is considered that the policy provides sufficient flexibility should this information not be readily available at the time a planning application is submitted.
MM22	MMC119		Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No		Comment noted. It is not considered that there are any issues of soundness or legal compliance that have been raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. It is considered that providing the source of materials allows consideration to be given to whether materials have been sourced locally to improve sustainability. It is considered that the policy provides sufficient flexibility should this information not be readily available at the time a planning application is submitted.

MM24	MMC130	Taylor Wimpey	Taylor Wimpey	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Consider that wording changes are required to Policy BH9 to ensure consistency with the NPPF. Alternative wording proposed to subpoint 2 of Policy BH2.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require a modification to the Plan. The Council has worked closely with Historic England to ensure that the policy wording is appropriate and consistent with national policy. The Council has signed a Statement of Common Ground with Historic England (see SD.8k).
MM24	MMC120		Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Consider that wording changes are required to Policy BH9 to ensure consistency with the NPPF. Alternative wording proposed to subpoint 2 of Policy BH2.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require a modification to the Plan. The Council has worked closely with Historic England to ensure that the policy wording is appropriate and consistent with national policy. The Council has signed a Statement of Common Ground with Historic England (see SD.8k).
MM26	MMC132	Taylor Wimpey	Taylor Wimpey	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No			The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation. The wording regarding useable greenspace ensures consistency with Policy NE4.
MM26	MMC122		Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No			The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation. The wording regarding useable greenspace ensures consistency with Policy NE4.
MM26	MMC111	Taylor Wimpey, Persimmon Homes and Story Homes	Burdon Lane Consortium	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	provision of Green Infrastructure in the wildlife corridors. Suggest amendment to Paragraph 10.9	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation. The wording regarding useable greenspace ensures consistency with Policy NE4.
MM27	MMC107	Mr John Jameson	Miller Homes Ltd		Hedley Planning Services	Yes					Supports the amendments to Policy NE3.	Comment noted.
MM28	MMC133		Taylor Wimpey	Neil	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Do not consider proposed wording change to 'useable' greenspace is justified and suggest that this is reverted back to 'amenity' greenspace. Support change to the table.	The Council considers there have been no soundness issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The term useable ensures consistency with CSDP paragraph 10.25, which sets out that new or enhanced greenspaces must be publicly useable and available.

MM28	MMC123		Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Do not consider proposed wording change to 'useable' greenspace is justified and suggest that this is reverted back to 'amenity' greenspace.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The term useable ensures consistency with CSDP paragraph 10.25, which sets out that new or enhanced greenspaces must be publicly useable and available.
MM28	MMC113	Taylor Wimpey, Persimmon Homes and Story Homes	Burdon Lane Consortium	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	'useable' greenspace is justified and suggest that this is reverted back to 'amenity' greenspace. Support change to the table.	The Council considers there have been no soundness issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The term useable ensures consistency with CSDP paragraph 10.25, which sets out that new or enhanced greenspaces must be publicly useable and available.
MM29	MMC110		Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	and specifically the special character given to Springwell Village developed over the last 120	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM30	IN/IN/IC COO	Gladman Developments				No	No	No	No	No	Objects to the designation of Settlement Breaks. Settlement Breaks are contrary to national policy. Consider that the policy is unclear what is meant by essential development.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM30	11/11/1/(' /')	Russell Foster Football Centre		Ms Emma Hepplewhite	Fairhurst	No		No			Supports the modification that removes the Russell Foster Football Centre at Newbottle from settlement break, but proposes that a further area to the north is also removed as the proposed deletion from settlement break does not reflect the full extent of the Football Centre.	to the Plan. The proposed modification is consistent with the changes suggested by Persimmon Homes during the examination
MM32	MMC135	Taylor Wimpey	Taylor Wimpey	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Object to classification of valued landscapes being based on areas identified for landscape protection in the Landscape Character Assessment (LCA). The LCA was prepared in 2015 and does not provide a robust evidence base for valued landscapes. The 2019 NPPF provides further clarification on valued landscapes and may be a more appropriate consideration.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The policy does not restrict development within valued landscape areas, however recognises that it should be carefully designed to ensure that key landscape features are protected and enhanced. The Council considers the LCA provides an appropriate evidence base on which to define valued landscapes.

MM32	MMC116		Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	based on areas identified for landscape protection in the Landscape Character Assessment (LCA)	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The policy does not restrict development within valued landscape areas, however recognises that it should be carefully designed to ensure that key landscape features are protected and enhanced. The Council considers the LCA provides an appropriate evidence base on which to define valued landscapes.
MM32	MMC112		Hellens	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	No		No			provide a robust evidence base for valued landscapes. The 2019 NPPF provides further clarification on valued landscapes and may be a more appropriate consideration.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The policy does not restrict development within valued landscape areas, however recognises that it should be carefully designed to ensure that key landscape features are protected and enhanced. The Council considers the LCA provides an appropriate evidence base on which to define valued landscapes.
MM35	MMC80	Mr Mark Goodwill	Highways England			No		No			Highways England note the proposed wording change in MM35 in relation to SSTC4 Highways England request specific wording changes and new text to be added to background text at paragraph 12.6 and 12.8	Comments noted. The Council accepts the proposed wording changes by Highways England and propose to make these as an Additional Modification to the Plan.
MM35	MMC136	Taylor Wimpey	Taylor Wimpey	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Propose that Policy SP10 is revised to make it effective and consistent with national policy by making it clear that developer contributions to the transport network will only be sought where justified and in the absence of other funding sources.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation to warrant a change to the policy. As discussed at the Examination hearings, the Council is satisfied that the policy, in its current format is consistent with the planning obligations tests (as set out in Paragraph 14.11) and Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010.
MM35	MMC126		Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Propose that Policy SP10 is revised to make it effective and consistent with national policy by making it clear that developer contributions to the transport network will only be sought where justified and in the absence of other funding sources.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation to warrant a change to the policy. As discussed at the Examination hearings, the Council is satisfied that the policy, in its current format is consistent with the planning obligations tests (as set out in Paragraph 14.11) and Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010.
MM35	MMC114	Taylor Wimpey, Persimmon Homes and Story Homes	Burdon Lane Consortium	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Propose that Policy SP10 is revised to make it effective and consistent with national policy by making it clear that developer contributions to the transport network will only be sought where justified and in the absence of other funding sources.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation to warrant a change to the policy. As discussed at the Examination hearings, the Council is satisfied that the policy, in its current format is consistent with the planning obligations tests (as set out in Paragraph 14.11) and Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010.

ммз8	MMC68		Barratt David Wilson Homes	Andrew Rose	Spawforths	No	No	No	No	No	Support the clarity that a plan review can be any part of the Local Plan, however note that the monitoring framework still indicates that the site is protected for development well beyond the plan period.	Comment noted. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The wording is consistent with Paragraph 85 of the NPPF (2012) with regard to the purpose of safeguarded land.
MM38	MMC108	Mr John Jameson	Miller Homes Ltd	Mr Joe Ridgeon	Hedley Planning Services	No		No			Supports acknowledgement that the successful implementation of the Local Plan relies on coherent, robust and flexible monitoring process which will enable the Council to respond to changing circumstances. However, due to the removal of 370 dwellings through dropping 2 proposed HGA sites and 1 safeguarded site, additional land is required for new homes and HO3 Land at New Herrington should be allocated for housing or safeguarded.	The Council consider that there is a sufficient supply of housing sites to meet the housing requirement and have committed to providing a buffer of approximately 10% through allocations within the emerging Allocations and Designations Plan (see EX18.003). The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.
MM40	MMC69	Ms Amy F Ward	Barratt David Wilson Homes	Andrew Rose	Spawforths	No	No	No	No	No	Support the clarity that a plan review can be any part of the Local Plan, however that monitoring framework still indicates that the site is protected for development well beyond the plan period.	Comment noted. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. The wording is consistent with Paragraph 85 of the NPPF (2012) with regard to the purpose of safeguarded land.
MM40	MMC109	Mr John Jameson	Miller Homes Ltd	Mr Joe Ridgeon	Hedley Planning Services	No		No			There is a need to include Housing delivery (net additions) against the plan period requirements of average 745pa net additions as a monitoring indicator for Policy SS7. This will improve the effectiveness of the Plan.	The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan. It is considered that the delivery of housing is adequately monitored through the proposed monitoring framework.
MM41	MMC84	Mr Adam McVickers	Persimmon Homes	Mr Richard Swann	Barton Willmore	Yes					Supports removal of Hendon Paper Mill from employment area and removal of Russell Foster Football Centre and Land West of Mulberry Way from settlement break as shown on Policies Map.	Comment noted.
MM41	MMC89		Paul Mackings Consulting Ltd	Mr Ian Lyle	E L G Planning	Yes					Supports the removal of the Hendon Paper Mill site from employment area as shown on Policies Map.	Comment noted.
The Plan	MMC20	IMr Bradiay	Sunderland Green Party			No	No	No	No	No	The Core Strategy has failed to take in to account that the Council and national government has declared a climate emergency. The Sustainability Appraisal has not been updated to reflect the climate emergency and does not adequately assess the plan for climate change.	Comments noted. The Plan was submitted prior to the Council declaring a Climate Emergency. The impacts of the Plan upon climate change were considered at all stages of preparation through the Sustainability Appraisal, which included consideration of the Main Modifications.
The Plan	MMC88	Melanie Lindsley	The Coal Authority			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No comment.	Comment noted.
AM28	MMC59	Mr James Ebdale		Miss Nicola Allan		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Strongly supports the allocation of The Vaux site for mixed development including housing.	Comment noted.
NA/SP7	MMC125		Story Homes Ltd	Neil Westwick	Lichfields	Yes	No	No	No	No	Story Homes request that subpoint vii and the reference to Health Impact Assessments in paragraph 5.5 are deleted.	The comment does not relate to a proposed Main Modification. The Council considers there have been no soundness or legal compliance issues raised by this representation which require modifications to the Plan.