Sunderland Local Plan

Initial Assessment of Transport Impacts April 2017

Quality Management

Job No	CS/086329				
Project	Sunderland Local Plan				
Location	City of Sunderland				
Title	Initial Assessment of Transport I	mpacts			
Document Ref	SLP-CAP-TPL-XX-RP-1001	Issue / Revision	P04		
File reference	\\CSLNTCMU01\Data\Projects\Transport schemes\Sunderland Local Plan\03 Delivery\TPL TransportPlanning\04 Reports\4th Revision - SCC Changes, FINAL\SLP-CAP-TPL-XX-RP-Y-1001_Draft version GB ed 19-07-17.docx				
Date	April 2017				
Prepared by 1	Jolanta Otwinowska	Signature (for file)	R. suyle;		
Prepared by 2	Faye Styles	Signature (for file)	R. suyle		
Checked by	Stuart Clarke Signature (for file)				
Authorised by	Stuart Clarke	Signature (for file)	S. CL		

Revision Status / History

Rev	Date	Issue / Purpose/ Comment	Prepared	Checked	Authorised
P01	March 17	Draft	JO & CZ	FS	SC
P02	March 17	Draft	JO & CZ	SC	SC
P03	April 17	Draft	AF & FS	SC	SC
P04	April 17	Final Draft	FS	SC	SC



Contents

		,
	Background	1
	Sunderland Local Plan	1
	Transportation Assessment of the Emerging Local Plan	2
	Purpose & Report Structure	3
	Local Plan Development Sites	4
	Residential Sites	4
2.2	Employment Sites	4
	Traffic Modelling	5
	SSTC Strategic SATURN Model	5
	Local Plan SATURN Model	6
	Forecasting the Future Year	6
	Settlement Based Assessment – Gravity Model	7
	Modelling Development Scenarios	9
	Trip Generation	9
	Modelling Scenarios	9
	Trip Distribution Traffic Growth	10 11
	Forecast Traffic Demand	11
		13
	Local Plan Trip Generation Methodology Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment	13
	Local Plan Residential Sites	13
	Employment Land Review	17
	Local Plan Employment Sites	17
	Modelling Outputs & Impacted Junctions	20
	Ratio of Flow to Capacity	20
	RFC SATURN Node Results	20
6.3	RFC SATURN Junction Results	27
	RFC SATURN Junction Results for the AM Peak	27
	RFC SATURN Junction Results for the PM Peak	30
6.6	Impacted junctions	33
7.	High-Level Highway Mitigation	36
	Introduction	36
	Geographical Locations Requiring Highway Mitigation	36
	Potential Major Highway Mitigation	36
	NECA Pipeline Schemes	38
	NECA Pipeline Schemes & Local Plan Modelling Results IAMP Committed Highway Improvements	39 40
	Highways England	40
		42
8. 8.1	Public Transport Accessibility Metro Services	42
	Bus Services	42
	Public Transport Accessibility Assessment Framework	45
	Ranked Public Transport Accessibility for Local Plan Sites	
	Public Transport Accessibility Grading Criteria	46



8.6	Potential Public Transport Accessibility Improvements	49
9.	Cycling & Walking Accessibility	51
9.1	Introduction	51
9.2	Cycling & Walking Acceptable Distances	51
9.3	Existing Cycling & Walking Network	51
9.4	Cycling & Walking Accessibility Assessment Framework	53
9.5	Ranked Cycle & Walking Accessibility for the Local Plan Sites	53
9.6	Potential Accessibility Improvements	54
10.	Conclusions	56
10.1	Traffic Modelling & Traffic Impact	56
	Smarter Choices & Sustainable Travel	57

Figures

Figure 1 SATURN Trip Rate Methodology for Residential Sites	15
Figure 2 Trips Generated by the Local Plan Residential Sites	16
Figure 3 SATURN Trip Rate Methodology for Employment Sites	19
Figure 4 Metro Accessibility for Residential Sites	47
Figure 5 Bus Stop Accessibility for Residential Sites	48
Figure 6 Bus Service Frequency for Residential Sites	48

Tables

Table 1 Phase 0 Trip Generation	10
Table 2 Phase 1 Trip Generation	10
Table 3 Phase 2 Trip Generation	10
Table 4 Phase 3 Trip Generation	10
Table 5 Trip Rate Reduction Factors	14
Table 6 Trip Rates for B1 Use Class	17
Table 7 Trip Rates for B2 Use Class	17
Table 8 Trip Rates for B6 Class	18
Table 9 Relationship: Inbound and Outbound Trips for the AM & PM Peaks	18
Table 10 Percentage of Jobs Deliverable during the Local Plan PeriodsTable 11 Ratio of Flow to Capacity (RFC) Results for the Modelled	18
Network	21
Table 12 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 0 for the	21
AM Peak	27
Table 13 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 1 for the	
AM Peak	29
Table 14 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 2 for the AM Peak	29
Table 15 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 3 for the	20
AM Peak	30
Table 16 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 0 for the	
PM Peak	30
Table 17 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 1 for the PM Peak	31



Table 18 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 2 for the PM Peak	32
Table 19 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 3 for the PM Peak	33
Table 20 Junctions Reaching Capacity during the AM & PM Peaks	33
Table 21 Potential Major Highway Mitigation for Impacted Junctions	36
Table 22 NECA Schemes & Local Plan Junctions with Forecasted	
Capacity Issues	39
Table 23 Sunderland Metro Stations' Facilities	42
Table 24 Bus Services & Frequencies in the Study Area	44
Table 25 Public Transport Accessibility Grading Criteria	45
Table 26 Public Transport Accessibility Grading Volumes for the Local	
Plan Sub-areas	46
Table 27 Metro Accessibility by Sub-area	46
Table 28 Cycling & Walking Accessibility Grading Criteria	53
Table 29 Cycling Accessibility Grading Volumes for the Local Plan Sub-	
areas	53

Appendices

Appendix A SHLAA & Housing Release Sites
Appendix B Employment Sites
Appendix C Local Plan Residential & Employment Sites Trip Generation
Appendix D Census Mode Share Devised Vehicular Trip Rates
Appendix E RFC SATURN Modelling Results for all of the Local Plan Delivery Phases
Appendix F Modelled Network Junction Reference Numbers
Appendix G NECA Pipeline Highway Schemes
Appendix H Existing Bus Services & Connections
Appendix I Public Transport Accessibility Ranking Results
Appendix J Identified Bus Service Improvements
Appendix K Sunderland Cycling Map
Appendix L Cycling & Walking Accessibility Ranking Results
Appendix M Identified Improvements to the Cycling Network
Appendix N Minor Highway Mitigation Works

1. Background

1.1 Sunderland Local Plan

- 1.1.1 Sunderland City Council (SCC) is progressing the preparation of their Local Plan which will set out the long-term vision for development in Sunderland and define the strategic policies to shape spatial and economic development in the city up to 2033.
- 1.1.2 The Sunderland Local Plan aims to establish a policy framework that guides and shapes development, but is not seen as prescriptive. It will set the parameters for this to be achieved and will encourage and support development in coming forward. It will ensure that Sunderland is a city that is open for business and growth: providing jobs and prosperity for local people; delivering housing to meet the needs and aspirations of all of our communities; and tackling health inequalities and deprivation within the city, whilst protecting the city's important natural and historic environmental assets.
- 1.1.3 Sunderland's Local Plan is being prepared in the following three parts:
 - Part One Core Strategy & Development Plan (CSDP): which will set out an overarching strategy for future change and growth in Sunderland and include detailed development management policies. It is a strategic plan which covers the period 2015 to 2033. The CSDP will cover the whole of the area within Sunderland's administrative boundaries.

Once adopted, the CSDP will become part of Sunderland's statutory planning framework; guiding decisions on all development and regeneration activity over the period to 2033. The CSDP will replace some of the saved policies of the Sunderland Unitary Development Plan (UDP) 1998 and UDP Alteration No.2 (2007) which covers the Central Sunderland area. Some saved policies will continue to be used in the determination of planning applications until such time that they are replaced by the Local Plan Part 2: Allocations and Designations Plan.

- **Part Two Allocations & Designations Plan:** which will set out site-specific policies for development, protection, and conservation of land in Sunderland in order to deliver the overall strategy set out within the CSDP.
- Part Three International Advanced Manufacturing Park (IAMP) Area Action Plan (AAP) 2017-2037: which will set out site specific policies for delivery of a large advanced manufacturing park on land to the north of the existing Nissan car manufacturing plant. SCC is working jointly with South Tyneside Council on the preparation of the AAP, as the cross-boundary site is located within the administrative areas of both authorities. Planning applications within the AAP boundary will be primarily assessed against the policies within the IAMP AAP. However, where there are no specific relevant policies contained within the AAP, policies within the CSDP will apply.
- 1.1.4 Sunderland's growth aspirations up to 2033 will inevitably place pressures on the city's strategic transport network in particular the highway network of trunk roads and other major roads. These pressures need to be managed and planned for as an integral part of SCC's Local Plan. The Local Plan will, therefore, draw on a wide range of strategic policies including housing provision, the economy, transport, and the environment to deliver a 'spatial plan' of integrated policies that encompass all aspects of planning for Sunderland's growth.



1.1.5 As part of the Local Plan preparation, SCC has commissioned Capita to undertake a transportation assessment of the emerging CSDP strategic residential and employment sites to appraise the suitability of the local highway impacted by the traffic generated by the Local Plan proposals. The assessment report will be used to provide an evidence base to support the transport policies in the Local Plan.

1.2 Transportation Assessment of the Emerging Local Plan

- 1.2.1 The purpose of the transportation assessment is to guide the emerging Local Plan and the supporting Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP). The main requirements of the transportation assessment are to assess the suitability of the local highway network impacted by the Local Plan strategic sites and identify potential network improvements to enable the delivery of Sunderland's Local Plan.
- 1.2.2 There are two key elements in delivering this. Firstly, traffic modelling utilising an existing highway assignment model (SATURN) supported by a discrete settlement based model (gravity model), and secondly a Smarter Choices Assessment to review sustainable development accessibility and the identification of sustainable transport improvements.
- 1.2.3 To achieve this, the scope of works includes the following:
 - An assessment of traffic flows generated by the Local Plan sites and the impact on the road network, especially proposed development site allocations;
 - Development of a strategic traffic model which is appropriate to understand the overall impact the Local Plan sites will have on the highway network, as well as an understanding of the localised impacts of the strategic sites. The model is required to cover the full geographical extents of the Core Strategy, incorporate significant planned and committed highway infrastructure proposals, and must originate from a fully calibrated and validated SATURN model (to WebTAG standards), evidence for which is provided in a Local Model Validation Report (LMVR) which is available on request due to the size of the document;
 - Scenario testing to be based at 5-yearly intervals throughout the plan period;
 - An brief assessment of where and when future travel patterns will trigger the need for capacity improvements at particular junctions;
 - An examination of high, medium, and low growth assumptions based on a review of existing strategy, demographic, and economic trends, and mobility and accessibility trends extrapolated into the future; and
 - Consideration of the scope for Smarter Choices and sustainable travel, including existing public transport and cycling connections and the identification of high level gaps in transport provision between the large Local Plan sites that are likely to generate and attract large volumes of people.
- 1.2.4 The transportation assessment has built upon recent transport modelling work using SATURN that was undertaken in developing the major scheme business case for the Sunderland Strategic Transport Corridor scheme (SSTC).
- 1.2.5 The Sunderland SATURN model has been amended and used to test the Local Plan strategic sites up to 2033, to determine the traffic impacts of the preferred site allocations.
- 1.2.6 The results of the modelling work has been used to recommend potential highway infrastructure improvements to mitigate the traffic impacts of delivering the Local Plan sites, covering vehicular traffic as well as sustainable transport improvements. 139 housing sites, comprising



of a mixture of SHLAA sites and Housing Release sites, and 66 employment sites identified by SCC have been considered in the transportation assessment.

1.3 Purpose & Report Structure

- 1.3.1 This report provides the results of the transportation assessment undertaken to determine the likely transport impacts of the emerging Sunderland Local Plan preferred options. The report is structured into the following sections:
 - Chapter 2: describes the proposed Local Plan development sites, explaining the residential and employment site allocations and the general locations within the Sunderland sub-areas;
 - Chapter 3: introduces the traffic modelling methodology adopted for the assessment, including the development of the strategic SATURN model and the gravity model developed for the settlement based assessment;
 - Chapter 4: presents the modelling development scenarios and the four modelling phases that have been assessed in the SATURN model to establish the impacts of the Local Plan upon the highway network. This section also presents the trip generation exercises and the trip distribution process associated with the gravity model;
 - Chapter 5: describes the Local Plan trip generation methodology for the residential and employment sites;
 - Chapter 6: presents the SATURN modelling outputs and results, and describes the locations on the highway network which can be expected to experience capacity issues, and during which delivery stage of the Local Plan;
 - Chapter 7: provides a summary of potential mitigation measures for those junctions that have been forecasted to become oversaturated during the Local Plan period, for which developer funding will be sought;
 - Chapter 8: introduces the existing public transport network across the study extents, describes the results of the public transport accessibility assessment, and identifies potential improvements to the public transport network which would improve connectivity between the largest Local Plan sites where movements between the sites are anticipated to be significant;
 - Chapter 9: introduces the existing cycling and walking network across the study extents and describes the results of the cycling/walking accessibility assessment. This chapter identifies potential improvements to the cycle network which would improve sustainable access between the largest Local Plan sites where movements between the sites are anticipated to be significant; and
 - Chapter 10: concludes the transportation assessment by summarising the traffic modelling and traffic impact, and smarter choices and sustainable travel associated with the Local Plan strategic sites.

2. Local Plan Development Sites

2.1 Residential Sites

- 2.1.1 The emerging Sunderland Core Strategy and Development Plan proposes the allocation of 15 Housing Release Sites and the South Sunderland Growth Area (4 sites) for residential development. Whilst the remainder of the residential site allocations will be made through the Site Allocations and Designations Plan, for modelling purposes, all of the sites included as deliverable and developable in the SHLAA within the Local Plan period have been included.
- 2.1.2 The spatial location of the sites denotes a few clusters of sites spread across the five sub-areas with varying degrees of proposed development volume. The largest cluster of proposed residential development with the largest volume of planned dwellings is located in the Sunderland south Growth Area, north of Burdon Lane and adjacent to and surrounding Hall Farm with immediate connections to the A19 in the west.
- 2.1.3 There are also a number of clusters of residential development proposed for the Coalfield subarea, generally located along the western boundary of the Coalfield area and in the south of the Coalfield area. There are a very small number of residential developments proposed for the Sunderland central sub-area, including student accommodation.
- 2.1.4 In the Washington and Sunderland north sub-areas, the proposed residential developments are more dispersed across the area as individual isolated developments rather than being clustered together.
- 2.1.5 In total, 139 residential sites have been included within the transport modelling undertaken for this transportation assessment, comprising of 124 sites from the SHLAA (which also include the SSGA sites) and 15 Housing Release sites proposed through the Core Strategy and Development Plan.
- 2.1.6 A plan showing the location of the SHLAA sites and proposed Housing Release Sites is shown in Appendix A.

2.2 Employment Sites

- 2.2.1 The emerging Core Strategy proposes to safeguard a range of existing employment land for B1, B2, and B8 use. Within these safeguarded areas, the Employment Land Review identified a total of 66 parcels of land available for development. The spatial location of the proposed developments shows a number of employment sites in the Washington sub-region, and across the boundaries of the Sunderland north, Sunderland central, and Sunderland south sub-areas along the River Wear.
- 2.2.2 A large cluster of employment sites, including the enterprise zone sites, are situated along the A1231 Sunderland Highway in the Washington sub-area.
- 2.2.3 A small cluster of employment sites is also proposed for the western section of the Coalfield sub-area around Houghton-le-Spring, and along the eastern boundary of the Sunderland south sub-area adjacent to the North Sea.
- 2.2.4 Whilst not specifically being allocated through the Core Strategy, the proposed employment land at IAMP has also been included within the traffic modelling undertaken for the transportation assessment.
- 2.2.5 A plan showing the location of the Local Plan employment sites is provided in Appendix B.

3. Traffic Modelling

3.1 SSTC Strategic SATURN Model

- 3.1.1 The base SSTC strategic SATURN model was developed from the A19 Highways Assessment Model 2 (A19HAM2), a model of the Tyne and Wear area developed by Arup for Highways England (HE). The model was built to undertake various assessments of key junctions on the A19, and was subsequently extended to assess the A19/A184 Testos junction improvement scheme. The modelled area includes all of Tyneside and smaller sections of Wearside and Northumberland.
- 3.1.2 The A19HAM2 model only considered the area to the north of the River Wear within Sunderland as part of the fully modelled and simulated area, although the residential areas were at a coarse level of detail. The area to the south of the River Wear was in the model as a simple buffer network (not part of the simulated network), and this included parts of Washington and Coalfield.
- 3.1.3 The SSTC SATURN modelling work therefore, increased on the level of detail that was in the A19HAM2 model. Areas to the north of the River Wear and the area within the central part of Sunderland to the south of the River Wear, was converted to detailed simulation network for inclusion in the fully modelled area for the assessment of the SSTC scheme.
- 3.1.4 In order to include the central part of Sunderland and the areas south of the River Wear as part of the detailed simulation network, additional SATURN zones were created in the model. These were initially based upon output areas and residential areas formed by two or more output areas, whilst employment areas were based upon workplace zones where possible. Other significant traffic generators, such as retail parks, were included as bespoke zones. Zones were constructed so that they were located within a single lower super output area.
- 3.1.5 The SSTC strategic SATURN model considers trips made by car, light goods vehicles, and heavy goods vehicles, and the car trips are segmented by trip purpose into commuting, business, and other trips. The traffic demand and flow matrices used in the SSTC strategic model were constructed using information from the following three sources:
 - Roadside interviews undertaken in September 2015 to capture existing traffic movements across the River Wear;
 - The demand matrices from the A19HAM2 model which were used for traffic to the north and west of the Sunderland urban area. The matrices were growthed to 2015 using rates from the National Trip End Model using the TEMPro software; and
 - A synthetic gravity model created using TEMPro trip-end data and average trip length data from the roadside interviews, providing a traffic demand for unobserved movements to the south of Sunderland, as well as 24 hour production-attraction demand for the variable demand model.
- 3.1.6 The assignment of trips within the SSTC SATURN model uses the Wardrop User Equilibrium assignment for multiple user classes, applying the Frank-Wolfe Algorithm. The principle methodology behind the application of this assignment is that traffic arranges itself in the modelled network such that the cost of travel on all routes used between the origin and destination is equal to the minimum cost of travel.
- 3.1.7 The SSTC strategic SATURN model represents a 2015 base model, which calibrates and validates to acceptable standards against screenlines, link flows, and journey times. The following data sources were used to calibrate and validate the SSTC SATURN model:



- Roadside interviews at three locations River Wear screenline (Queen Alexandra Bridge and Wearmouth Bridge), Washington Road and Chester Road;
- Traffic data aggregated into 13 screenline locations around Sunderland;
- Trafficmaster GPS data for Sunderland; and
- In-car journey time surveys for four routes Washington Road, Wessington Way, Chester Road/The Broadway and Queen Alexandra Bridge/Wearmouth Bridge loop.
- 3.1.8 The LMVR documents the full methodology adopted to develop the SSTC strategic SATURN model which is available on request.
- 3.1.9 The calibrated and validated SATURN model developed for the SSTC major scheme business case has been amended and used to assess the preferred development proposals in the emerging Sunderland Local Plan.

3.2 Local Plan SATURN Model

- 3.2.1 The Local Plan base SATURN model represents 2015 traffic conditions. Background traffic growth from the base 2015 to the assessment year of 2033 was undertaken using TEMPro 7 data. This data allocates a local growth factor for trips based upon Super Middle Output Areas, which was subsequently reduced to take into account committed developments that will be brought forward outside of the Sunderland Local Plan.
- 3.2.2 Individual growth factors were applied for different trip types and were not just limited to commuter based trips. As such, numerous traffic growth factors were included within the SATURN model based upon each zone and trip type.
- 3.2.3 The years of assessment for the impact of the Sunderland Local Plan follows the assessment years of the SSTC scheme, +15 years. This coincides with the aspirations of Sunderland's Core Strategy for shaping spatial and economic development in the city for 15-20 years, up to 2033.
- 3.2.4 The peak hours for assessment of the Local Plan proposals are based upon those contained within the original A19HAM2 SATURN model and retained for the SSTC model. The AM peak within the model is 08:00-09:00hr, with traffic flows contained within this hour used as the AM peak hour traffic flows. The PM peak within the model is 16:00-18:00hrs, the PM peak hour being identified as the average hour within this timeframe.
- 3.2.5 The strategic SATURN model also includes an inter-peak period of 10:00-15:00 with a subsequent inter-peak hour defined as the average hour within this timeframe. As traffic flows are lower in the inter-peak period when compared to the AM and PM peak hours, the inter-peak has not been used for the transportation assessment of Sunderland's emerging Local Plan.
- 3.2.6 The SATURN model considers car, light goods vehicle (van), and heavy goods vehicle trips. The car trips are segmented into the following four trip purposes:
 - Commuting;
 - Business;
 - Education; and
 - Other.

3.3 Forecasting the Future Year

3.3.1 The transportation assessment has considered a future year of 2033 in line with Sunderland's



Local Plan period. The base year was considered as 2015 and the modelling assessments have considered four future year phases spanning from year zero to year seventeen from the base year. The future year modelling scenarios that have been assessed include the following:

- Phase 0: 0-5 years;
- Phase 1: 5-10 years;
- Phase 2: 11-15 years; and
- Phase 3: 16-18 years.
- 3.3.2 Whilst the Core Strategy and Development Plan covers an eighteen year plan period from 2015-2033, the transport modelling assessments that were undertaken in early 2017 for the transportation assessment cover a 17 year period because development completions within the first year of the Local Plan period (2015/2016) had already taken place
- 3.3.3 Traffic growth was applied to the base model traffic flows to forecast the likely traffic demand in 2033. Traffic growth was calculated based on best practice guidance and future housing targets and this is discussed in chapter 4 of this report.
- 3.3.4 Committed development that would deliver modifications to the highway network are included in the SATURN model; the coding of links and junctions in the model were amended to reflect highway improvements that were approved and programmed to be delivered outside of the Local Plan.
- 3.3.5 The Local Plan traffic flows relating to specific development sites were added into the demand matrices for the SATURN model. This involved estimating the traffic demand for each development, and distributing these trips across the modelled network.
- 3.3.6 The model outputs were used to access the impact of these four scenarios. The model outputs include traffic flows, forecast junction capacity, and Ratio to Flow Capacity (RFC).

3.4 Settlement Based Assessment – Gravity Model

- 3.4.1 A first principles gravity model has been developed for the Local Plan development settlements which fall outside of the detailed simulation network in the SATURN model.
- 3.4.2 The gravity model was constructed using a more robust trip generation exercise for the Local Plan residential and employment sites, available through the use of locally based survey data. The model produced an origin-destination trip matrix to reflect new trips arising from the Sunderland Local Plan, made by population, employment, and other demographic changes.
- 3.4.3 The gravity model has been used to forecast the origin-destination pattern of travel in the future years being assessed, and produce demand matrices and traffic distributions that were assigned in the SATURN highway assignment model.
- 3.4.4 To reflect the new levels of trips/new trip types that will be made as a result of the Sunderland Local Plan sites (changes to the disposition of offices, residential, retail etc.), the gravity model required data concerning the number of trips generated by each zone and the number of trips attracted to each zone, input as origin trip ends and destination trip ends for each zone. These were obtained from the base year trip matrix and represent the base trip ends.
- 3.4.5 To calculate the future levels of trip making, traffic anticipated from committed development with planning consent, development likely to acquire planning approval, and trip generation from the Local Plan development sites, were added to the base trip ends for each model zone and input into the gravity model to provide the future phase 3 trip matrix.
- 3.4.6 The future phase 3 trip matrix was also constrained to reflect 67% of trips originating in Sunderland and remaining in Sunderland for employment purposes, and 33% of trips travelling



from outside of Sunderland. New employment sites were also constrained to attract more trips from new housing developments. This is discussed in detail in section 5.4 of this report.

3.4.7 The base year trip matrices and the future year trip matrices were then input into the Local Plan SATURN model.

4. Modelling Development Scenarios

4.1 Trip Generation

- 4.1.1 The estimated traffic demand for all developments included within the Local Plan modelling scenarios was not estimated from TRICS; a nationally recognised database of trip rates for development sites in the UK, that is used for transport planning purposes to quantify the trip generation of new/proposed development. In general, the TRICS database proportions higher trip rates by using mean averages in the calculations.
- 4.1.2 Use of the database was deemed not suitable for the transportation assessment as more robust trip generation sources for the Local Plan residential and employment sites was available in the form of locally based survey data. The methodology adopted in the calculation of trip rates for the residential sites is described in section 5.2 and the methodology for the employment sites is described in section 5.4.

4.2 Modelling Scenarios

- 4.2.1 The future year modelling scenarios that have been assessed as part of the transportation assessment include the following:
 - Phase 0: is the base scenario which includes committed developments and traffic growth in the year zero (0) to year five (5);
 - Phase 1: includes phase 0 with the committed developments and traffic growth in years six (5) to eleven (10);
 - Phase 2: include phase 0 and phase 1 with the committed developments and traffic growth in years twelve (11) to year fifteen (15); and
 - Phase 3: is the full Local Plan scenario which includes phases 0 to 2 with the committed developments and traffic growth for the sixteen (16), seventeen (17), and eighteen (18) years.
- 4.2.2 Phase 0 is the reference case scenario. It includes developments which have planning permission and live applications that are likely to be granted planning approval. Other developments that are likely to gain planning permission by 2033 have been included where information was available. This is not prejudicial to the planning process and is based on guidance on uncertainty as defined by Table A2 in TAG Unit M4 *Forecasting and Uncertainty*.
- 4.2.3 Phase 3 includes traffic demand for a mix of development types, such as residential, employment, leisure, and retail, and consists of the following assumptions:
 - Developments which have been completed between 2011 and 2016;
 - Developments which have been granted planning permission (committed development); and
 - All developments where a planning application has been submitted to the Local Authority as of May 2016, which have not yet been determined but are likely to be granted planning approval.
- 4.2.4 Site specific information for committed developments and those likely to be granted planning approval, including estimated peak trip rates and site access onto the highway network, was taken from the relevant supporting planning application documents.



4.2.5 A summary of the trip generation for the residential sites, including the SHLAA and Housing Release Sites, and the employment sites included within phase 0, 1, 2, and 3, are shown in Tables 1 to 4. A detailed list of all of the developments included within the trip generation exercises is shown in Appendix C, which includes both the SHLAA and Housing Release Sites identified for residential development.

Table 1 Phase 0 Trip Generation

	Vehicle trips				
Development type	Morning peak		Evening peak		
	In	Out	In	Out	
Residential	691	1657	1609	960	
Employment	206	43	37	128	

Table 2 Phase 1 Trip Generation

	Vehicle trips				
Development type	Morning peak		Evening peak		
	In	Out	In	Out	
Residential	2004	4814	4682	2785	
Employment	522	109	94	324	

Table 3 Phase 2 Trip Generation

	Vehicle trips				
Development type	Morning peak		Evening peak		
	In	Out	In	Out	
Residential	2776	6663	6663	3885	
Employment	736	153	133	457	

Table 4 Phase 3 Trip Generation

	Vehicle trips					
Development type	Morning peak		Evening peak			
	In	Out	In	Out		
Residential	2905	6973	6783	4068		
Employment	773	161	140	480		

4.2.6 The site access for each Local Plan development site was assumed based upon the location of the site and the characteristics of the adjacent highway network. These assumptions were made for the purposes of the transportation assessment, they are not representative of SCC's preferences or prejudicial to future planning applications that will be prepared in support of the Local Plan.

4.3 Trip Distribution

4.3.1 The trips generated by each new Local Plan development site were distributed across the SATURN model using the gravity model. The gravity model distributes trips based on an assumed relationship between the length of a trip and the number of trips made. Traffic is,



therefore, distributed based on the total forecast traffic generation and the cost of travel between origin and destination zones within the modelled network.

- 4.3.2 The cost of travel varies depending on trip 'purpose' and, therefore, a separate model was used for each different trip purpose. The trips were disaggregated by purpose using data from the National Trip End Model (NTEM).
- 4.3.3 The gravity model used for trip distribution in this study was of the form:

$$T_{ij} = \alpha O_i D_j f(c_{ij}) \tag{1}$$

where T_{ij} is the number of trips between origin *i* and destination *j*, α is a proportionality factor, O_i is the total number of trips starting at origin *i*, D_j is the total number of trips ending at destination *j* and $f(c_{ij})$ is a generalised function of travel costs known as the deterrence function.

4.3.4 The deterrence function used was of the form:

$$f(c_{ij}) = c_{ij}^n e^{\beta c_{ij}} \tag{2}$$

where c_{ij} is the cost of travel between origin *i* and destination *j* and *n*, β are parameters to be defined.

- 4.3.5 Finally, the furness procedure was applied to the future year demand matrices to ensure the trip totals for each development were correct.
- 4.3.6 It should be noted that the assumptions regarding the highway network and traffic demand in small rural settlements included in the gravity model are simplistic. The highway network only includes key routes and traffic demand is aggregated into broad locations. This means that the costs used within the gravity model are often inaccurate for new trips that could potentially stay within the rural settlements. The result of this is that trips generated by new developments in rural locations are all external to that settlement, which represents a pessimistic assessment of trip generation on the wider highway network that is likely to be an overestimate.

4.4 Traffic Growth

- 4.4.1 Traffic growth is the change in the number of cars and goods vehicles on the highway network over time. When forecasting the performance of the highway network in the future it is necessary to allow for changes in traffic demand. Traffic growth can be split into two broad areas:
 - **New trips:** changes in population, employment, and car ownership which directly affect how many vehicles travel on the highway network; and
 - Frequency of trips: changes in GDP, income, and travel costs which affect how frequently people travel.

4.5 Forecast Traffic Demand

4.5.1 Growth in traffic demand in the future year modelling scenarios was considered in line with the fixed demand approach as defined in TAG Unit M4 *Forecasting and Uncertainty*. This approach was used so that the impact of the Local Plan development sites could be clearly assessed



between scenarios without the impact of other variables. Other variables include considerations such as, induced or suppressed traffic due to changes in travel costs, changes in travel choice, and changes in peak spreading.

- 4.5.2 Uncertainty in relation to the growth factors has not been considered as part of the transportation assessment. It was deemed unnecessary as the key outputs of the assessment are the differing impacts between different modelled scenarios.
- 4.5.3 The NTEM dataset represents the Department for Transport's standard assumptions about growth in travel demand, access to which is provided through TEMPro software. TEMPro version 7.0 has been used to calculate growth factors for cars based on the future year being assessed, trip purpose, time period, and the origin and destination of trips. The assumptions within NTEM were adjusted using the alternative assumptions facility within TEMPro to derive at values representative of the Local Plan proposals.
- 4.5.4 The Local Plan aspires to deliver at least 13,842 net additional dwellings over the plan period from 2015 to 2033. It is assumed that this will involve the following build and delivery profile, which includes the 124 SHLAA sites and the 15 Housing Release Sites identified for residential development through the draft Core Strategy:
 - 3,324 homes will be constructed between 0-5 years;
 - 5,107 will be constructed between 6-10 years;
 - 3464 homes will be developed 11-15 years; and
 - 598 homes will be constructed in 16 and 18 years of the Local Plan period.
- 4.5.5 These dwelling volumes were used as the baseline for future housing numbers. The housing numbers from the specific developments in each scenario were then subtracted from this baseline and the housing assumptions within TEMPro were adjusted to match this Local Plan target.
- 4.5.6 This process ensured that the impact of new housing is not double-counted by including the developments in the model directly as well as applying a growth factor. The growth factors still account for other forecast changes that may affect traffic growth, such as demographic changes, car ownership, and economic changes.
- 4.5.7 As a fixed demand approach was used, fuel and income factors were calculated using TAG Databook Table M4.2.1 *Forecast Fuel Price and Income Adjustment Factors*. These factors are based upon relationships between car travel, household income, and fuel costs.
- 4.5.8 Growth factors for light goods vehicles and heavy goods vehicles were estimated from the National Transport Model, adjusted using local NTEM factors.



5. Local Plan Trip Generation Methodology

5.1 Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment

- 5.1.1 Local Authorities are required to undertake Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessments (SHLAA) to assess potential sites for future housing development. The SHLAA forms part of the evidence base for the emerging Sunderland Local Plan.
- 5.1.2 The transportation assessment has considered 139 potential sites for residential development covering the plan period up to 2033, which are spread across north, south, and central Sunderland, Coalfield, and Washington. These sites are derived from the draft Core Strategy and SHLAA.

5.2 Local Plan Residential Sites

- 5.2.1 The vehicular trips generated by each development site were separated into the key journey purposes experienced during the AM and PM peaks. Each journey purpose results in a different trip distribution and gravity models for each different journey type were developed.
- 5.2.2 Vehicular trips rates were calculated based on traffic data from actual housing developments in the local region. Using 2011 Census data and GIS data, Census Output Areas have been linked to the SATURN zones within the modelled network. Census data provides information about modal split at Output Area level (neighbourhood level), and using a combination of traffic flow information and forecasted employment growth in Sunderland, trip rates were calculated for each output area and corresponding SATURN zone.
- 5.2.3 Using Census data, car mode share (%) for work journeys were assigned to Output Areas (geographical areas broken down by postcode units providing consistently sized areas for neighbourhood statistics).
- 5.2.4 In Sunderland, the range of car usage for work journeys is between 25% 81%. The lowest calculated trip rate was assigned to the sites with the lowest car usage and the highest calculated trip rate was assigned to the sites with the highest car usage.
- 5.2.5 The calculated trip rates are shown in Appendix D, based upon mode share data. When calculating these vehicular trip rates, cycling, walking, and public transport accessibility has been considered and the trip rates have been reduced to take account of the levels of accessibility by sustainable modes. The reduction factors are shown in Table 5 on the following page, where H represents high accessibility, M represents medium accessibility, and L represents low accessibility.
- 5.2.6 The methodology for assessing cycling, walking, and public transport accessibility is described in chapter 8 Public Transport Accessibility and chapter 9 Cycling & Walking Accessibility.
- 5.2.7 Additionally, vehicular trip rates were reduced for sites with student accommodation. For these sites, trip rates were assumed as zero because all of the student residential sites are located in the city centre areas which have high walking, cycling, and public transport accessibility.
- 5.2.8 The total number of houses planned to be built during the Local Plan period was provided by SCC, and based upon this number and the total number of houses for which the analysis was undertaken for, growth/constraint factors were applied to each site. All residential sites were linked to a SATURN Zone.



Table 5 Trip Rat	te Reduction Fa	ctors	Deduction
Public Transport	Cycling	Walking	Reduction Factor
Н	Н	Н	10%
Н	Н	М	9%
Н	Н	L	8%
Н	М	Н	8%
Н	М	М	7%
Н	М	L	7%
Н	L	Н	6%
Н	L	М	6%
Н	L	L	6%
М	Н	н	5%
М	Н	М	5%
М	Н	L	5%
М	М	Н	4%
М	М	М	4%
М	М	L	4%
М	L	Н	3%
М	L	М	3%
М	L	L	3%
L	Н	Н	2%
L	Н	М	2%
L	Н	L	2%
L	М	н	2%
L	М	М	2%
L	М	L	2%
L	L	н	1%
L	L	М	1%
L	L	L	1%

Table 5 Trip Rate Reduction Factors

- 5.2.9 The next stage involved calculating the number of trips in every considered time period using trip rates and yields delivered in each stage.
- 5.2.10 The diagram in Figure 1 shows the methodology for calculating the vehicular trips generated by the Local Plan residential sites and corresponding SATURN zones in the modelled network for the purposes of the transportation assessment.



Figure 1 SATURN Trip Rate Methodology for Residential Sites



5.2.11 Figure 2 below shows the volume of trips anticipated to be generated by each Local Plan residential site.



Sunderland Local Plan April 2017 Commercial in Confidence 5/ Local Plan Trip Generation Methodology



Figure 2 Trips Generated by the Local Plan Residential Sites

Key

SHLAA and Housing Release Sites 18 Year Plan Period

0 - 110
110 - 220
220 - 330
330 - 440
440 - 553





- 5.2.12 It is important to note that the 15 sites in Sunderland that are proposed to be released from the green belt and brought forward for residential development as Housing Release Sites, have been subject to the same trip generation methodology as the 124 SHLAA sites.
- 5.2.13 A summary of the trip generation for the Housing Release Sites produced for phase 0, 1, 2, and 3 is shown in the spreadsheet in Appendix C, with the green belt sites being identifiable in column H of the spreadsheet.

5.3 Employment Land Review

- 5.3.1 SCC appointed Nathaniel Lichfield & Partners and Lambert Smith Hampton to prepare an Employment Land Review (ELR) for the local authority area in 2016. The purpose of the ELR was to provide SCC with evidence to support the development of Sunderland's Local Plan. The review provided an understanding of the city's current employment land supply and anticipated future employment growth and the impacts of this on land availability up to 2033.
- 5.3.2 In relation to market area profiles, the ELR considers that the market for employment premises can be divided into three distinctive areas; the Sunderland urban area, the Washington urban area, and the dispersed settlements of the Coalfield.
- 5.3.3 The study concluded and recommended that SCC needs to allocate between 95ha and 115ha of employment land up to 2033 across the three distinctive market areas. The transportation assessment has considered 66 sites that have been identified for employment land by SCC following the ELR.

5.4 Local Plan Employment Sites

- 5.4.1 For the purpose of assessing the transport impacts of the Local Plan employment sites, the sites identified in the ELR were reviewed. The area and composition of each site was calculated for each use class including B1, B2, and B8.
- 5.4.2 In addition to the sites identified through the ELR, SCC is separately preparing an Area Action Plan jointly with South Tyneside Council to release land from the green belt for the IAMP site. The impact of the IAMP site has been considered in the transportation assessment.
- 5.4.3 Trip rates for the employment sites were calculated based upon data from the North East region from AM peak inbound trip rates to employment sites for B1, B2, and B8 use classes. The trip rates are shown in Tables 6 to 8. This methodology was adopted as the AM inbound trips to employment sites represent the strongest correlation between the number of jobs being generated by the Local Plan and the trip generation calculation.
- 5.4.4 The trip rates for the Local Plan employment sites were then pro rata for each site based upon the size of the site and the proposed composition of use classes. All employment sites were linked with SATURN Zones.

Table 6 Trip Rates for B1 Use Class

• •	np mateo lei Bi eco elao	U
	B1	Arrivals
	AM	1.552

Table 7 Trip Rates for B2 Use Class

B2	Arrivals
AM	0.516



Table 8 Trip Rates for B6 Class

B8	Arrivals
AM	0.031

5.4.5 Using the trip rates shown in Tables 6 to 8 above, and by estimating arrival and departure trip profiles for various local employment sites in the TRICS database, the volume of inbound and outbound trips for the AM and PM peaks were calculated based upon the relationship shown in Table 9.

Table 9 Relationship: Inbound and Outbound Trips for the AM & PM Peaks

	AM	РМ
IN	Х	18%*X
OUT	34%*PM OUT	62%*X

- 5.4.6 The number of jobs planned to be delivered during each of the four Local Plan periods was calculated pro rata using the same proportions as delivered yields for the residential sites. This methodology was adopted because it was unknown at the time of preparing the transportation assessment of how many jobs would be delivered in each of the four Local Plan periods.
- 5.4.7 The calculated percentage volume of jobs to be delivered in each Local Plan period is shown in Table 10.

Table 10 Percentage of Jobs Deliverable during the Local Plan Periods

5 Years	6-10 Years	11-15 Years	16,17,18 Years
27%	41%	28%	5%

- 5.4.8 In order to formulate representative vehicular trips that may arise as a result of the new employment sites in Sunderland, mode share from the 2011 Census data for journeys to work by car was applied to the volume of jobs estimated to be delivered over the Local Plan period. The mode share for journeys to work by car was 65% (2011) and this has been applied to the volume of jobs expected to be delivered.
- 5.4.9 The calculation of the vehicular trip generation for the employment sites is shown in Appendix C.
- 5.4.10 The 2016 ELR denotes that the existing vehicular trips that originate from within Sunderland and travel to existing employment land in Sunderland represent 67% of total trips to existing employment sites. This is the self-containment rate and this has been applied to the Local Plan vehicle trips, i.e. 67% of the Local Plan trips will originate, remain, and travel to sites within Sunderland. The remaining 33% of Local Plan trips have been assumed to originate from neighbouring authorities outside of Sunderland and travel to existing employment sites within Sunderland.
- 5.4.11 67% of vehicular trips generated by the Local Plan have been assumed to represent selfcontainment trips, and therefore, to reduce double counting, the assessment of cycling, walking, and public transport accessibility has been undertaken for residential sites only as these trips constitute trips travelling to employment sites.
- 5.4.12 Employment sites held for expansion by an existing occupier were excluded from the ELR site



allocations on the basis that they are not available to the wider market for economic development and their inclusion would have resulted in double counting of potential job creation.

5.4.13 The diagram in Figure 3 shows the methodology for calculating the vehicular trips generated by the Local Plan employment sites for the purposes of this transportation assessment.

Figure 3 SATURN Trip Rate Methodology for Employment Sites



6. Modelling Outputs & Impacted Junctions

6.1 Ratio of Flow to Capacity

- 6.1.1 The Ratio of Flow to Capacity (RFC) of a highway link or a junction is one of the principle factors influencing queues and delays on the highway network. RFC is an indicator of the likely performance of a junction under a future year scenario incorporating future traffic demand.
- 6.1.2 An RFC of 85% (0.85) demonstrates that a junction will operate within capacity and with 15% spare capacity, and an RFC of 86% (0.86) and above suggests a junction will operate within capacity but may experience capacity issues in the future. An RFC of 100%+ (1.00) indicates that a junction will operate beyond the design capacity and become oversaturated, highlighting that traffic delays and congestion are more than likely to occur.
- 6.1.3 RFC is therefore, a useful tool in helping to devise improvements that will enable a link or junction to operate without major queues and delays if it is predicted to become oversaturated.

6.2 RFC SATURN Node Results

- 6.2.1 In relation to the SATURN modelling results in Table 11 below, the following points should be noted when reviewing SATURN outputs:
 - Large complex junctions in the network have been coded as several nodes joining together to form the junction, for example, nodes 7125, 7127, 7129, 7131 and 7133 are all part of the A1231/A690 junction. This is a coding condition of SATURN and the number of nodes in Table 11 does not equate to the number of junctions;
 - Signalised junctions have been added in the simulation results without undertaking signal optimisation as the assessment is to test if the current infrastructure can withstand future demand. A reduction in the RFC at some junctions could be expected if signal optimisation had been undertaken to improve junction performance. However, this would have modified the existing infrastructure and not provided accurate results based upon existing junction operations;
 - Gyratory type roundabouts have been coded as signalised junctions in the modelled network and will exhibit higher RFCs given that signal optimisation has not been undertaken; and
 - Nodes with very low traffic flows and low capacity also showed higher RFCs but in general there are not to be included as the capacity can be increased with a significant reduction in RFC.
- 6.2.2 Table 11 shows the RFC results for each node within the SATURN model (multiple nodes are used to code up individual junctions). The RFCs have been presented for each Local Plan phase, including the 2015 base year, for the AM and PM peaks. In the table, red shading indicates a node is operating with an RFC of 1.00+, amber shading shows RFCs of 0.86 to 0.99, and the cells unshaded are locations with RFCs of 0.00-0.85.
- 6.2.3 Further analysis has been undertaken which shows when individual junctions in the modelled network will experience capacity issues over the Local Plan period, which is discussed in sections 6.4 to 6.6 following Table 11.



Commercial in Confidence 6/ Modelling Outputs & Impacted Junctions Table KeyRed shading - RFC of 1.00+Amber shading - RFCs of 0.86 to 0.99Unshaded - RFCs of 0.00-0.85

Table 11 Ratio of Flow to Capacity (RFC) Results for the Modelled Network

				Ratio	of Flow to	Capacity ((RFC)			
Scenario:	2015	Base	Pha	se O	Pha	se 1	Pha	se 2	Pha	se 3
Junction	AM	PM	AM	РМ	AM	PM	AM	РМ	AM	PM
A19 NB offslip/A1290 Downhill Ln	1.00	0.75	1.41	1.27	1.42	1.28	1.28	1.31	1.45	1.30
Sunderland Highway/A19	0.61	0.94	1.37	1.36	1.41	1.42	1.34	1.53	1.44	1.48
A19/A183 W	0.35	0.54	0.55	0.42	0.54	0.47	0.65	0.50	0.60	0.51
A19N onslip/A183W	0.60	0.93	1.18	1.20	1.37	1.32	1.11	1.39	1.47	1.41
A19S offslip/Parkside south	0.80	0.97	1.04	1.14	1.19	1.20	1.14	1.23	1.25	1.22
A19N offslip/Durham Rd	0.71	0.64	0.84	0.84	1.12	1.10	1.13	1.14	1.19	1.14
A19/Durham Road	0.50	0.57	0.64	0.53	0.69	0.62	0.72	0.64	0.69	0.64
A19N Onslip/Durham Road	0.84	1.07	1.12	1.09	1.34	1.29	1.26	1.36	1.46	1.42
City Way/Emperor Way	0.31	0.38	0.43	0.75	1.22	1.55	1.20	1.66	1.45	1.69
City Way/Monarch Way	0.85	1.15	1.24	1.01	1.23	1.30	1.21	1.28	1.24	1.31
City Way/Camberwell Way	0.40	0.51	0.63	3.37	0.66	2.07	1.04	2.17	0.71	2.01
City Way/Doxford Park Way	0.69	0.74	1.12	1.09	1.41	1.36	1.37	1.33	1.39	1.35
Doxford Park Way/Silksworth Way	0.70	0.69	1.13	1.09	1.68	1.18	5.19	4.66	9.30	5.67
Doxford Park Way/Half Farm Road	0.04	0.06	0.15	0.14	0.26	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.24	0.20
Doxford Park Way/Burdon Road	0.21	0.39	0.55	0.54	1.66	1.71	2.26	1.75	1.92	1.70
Burdon Road/Bardon Lane	0.29	1.02	0.27	0.22	0.83	0.82	0.95	0.88	0.91	0.89
Burdon Road/Bevan Avenue	0.12	0.25	0.10	0.11	1.90	1.68	1.84	1.86	1.87	1.84
Burdon Lane/Ryhope Street South	0.27	0.55	0.37	0.32	1.57	1.48	1.38	1.46	1.50	1.46
Ryhope Street South/Black Road	0.20	0.69	0.76	0.60	1.18	1.20	1.27	1.20	1.19	1.20
Ryhope Street South/Bevan Avenue	0.09	0.30	0.71	0.63	3.69	3.48	2.43	2.83	2.87	2.79
Stockton Road/The Village	0.20	0.40	0.58	0.41	0.84	0.88	0.78	1.01	1.02	1.00
The Village/ Robson PI	0.14	0.22	0.22	0.28	0.23	0.26	0.30	0.28	0.32	0.28
Sea View/A1018	0.63	0.75	0.65	0.72	1.05	1.10	1.36	1.21	1.27	1.22
A1018/B1287	0.53	0.92	0.87	0.80	1.08	1.06	1.04	1.07	1.07	1.07
Ryhope Road/Sea View	0.32	0.54	0.46	0.60	0.33	0.33	0.40	0.37	0.38	0.37
Ryhope St/Nelson St	0.09	0.29	0.67	0.53	0.64	0.60	0.51	0.60	0.62	0.60
Toll Bar Road/Fenside road	0.29	0.60	0.50	0.51	1.01	0.88	1.01	1.10	1.11	1.09
B1405/Salterfen Road	0.63	0.96	0.98	0.92	1.20	1.26	1.43	1.37	1.38	1.38
A1018/Salterfen Road	0.61	0.95	0.98	0.95	1.07	1.09	1.03	1.10	1.08	1.11

Sunderland Local Plan April 2017

Toll Bar Road/Carrmere Road	0.10	0.23	0.16	0.15	0.36	0.32	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.38
Toll Bar/Hollycarrside Road	0.22	1.00	1.02	0.86	1.05	1.03	1.05	1.06	1.15	1.09
Tunstall Village Green/Burdon Road	0.28	0.49	0.86	0.80	1.16	1.09	2.00	1.48	2.08	1.48
Tunstall Village Lane/Tunstall Village Rd	0.21	0.36	0.46	0.44	0.32	0.54	0.24	0.26	0.34	0.26
Maple Avenue/Paddock Lane	0.18	0.27	0.48	0.38	1.21	0.85	0.64	1.03	1.28	1.04
Tunstall Hope Road/Paddock Lane	0.33	0.50	0.53	0.65	1.08	0.52	0.45	1.08	1.08	1.08
Toll Bar Road/Leechmere Road	0.36	0.64	0.50	0.51	0.72	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.82	0.70
Leechmere Way/Toll Bar Road	0.29	0.37	0.33	0.32	0.57	0.51	0.60	0.67	0.58	0.61
Leechmere/Toll Bar North	0.21	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.39	0.36	0.38	0.38	0.40	0.38
Leechmere Road	0.21	0.29	0.20	0.17	0.26	0.21	0.27	0.24	0.26	0.22
Leechmere Road/Tunstall Road	0.90	1.14	1.25	1.18	2.16	2.13	2.46	2.26	2.26	2.22
Premier Road/Essen Way	0.73	1.28	1.63	1.29	2.71	2.31	2.92	2.72	3.46	2.63
Warwick Terrace/Silksworth Lane	0.43	0.46	0.56	0.73	0.59	0.61	0.53	0.55	0.60	0.53
Silksworth Lane/North Moor Lane	0.33	0.43	0.30	0.33	1.07	1.46	1.60	1.47	1.57	1.34
Silksworth Lane/Silksworth Road	0.46	0.83	0.79	0.85	0.60	0.68	0.67	0.69	0.63	0.69
Silksworth Way/Silksworth Road	0.55	0.89	0.81	0.93	1.10	1.05	1.15	1.14	1.22	1.11
Essen Way/Langley Road	0.43	1.15	0.90	0.58	1.08	0.74	1.37	0.82	1.19	0.71
A690/West Park	0.37	0.70	0.41	0.48	1.07	1.07	0.60	1.08	1.08	1.07
West Park/Herringon Road	0.26	0.29	1.23	1.25	1.40	1.35	1.96	1.39	1.45	1.38
A690/Herrington Road	0.76	1.10	1.75	2.01	1.59	1.44	1.30	1.66	1.41	1.41
A690/North Moor Lane	0.95	1.27	1.24	1.21	1.37	1.23	1.20	1.22	1.26	1.23
Durham Road/Premier Road	0.97	1.33	1.52	0.99	1.13	1.40	1.66	2.25	1.60	1.76
A690/Barnes Park Road	0.39	0.55	0.59	0.46	0.73	0.59	0.82	0.61	0.75	0.65
Queen Alexandra Road/Silksworth Lane	0.55	0.74	0.73	0.69	0.87	0.85	0.93	0.93	0.87	0.86
Durham Road/Richard Avenue	0.33	0.56	0.69	0.62	0.81	0.70	0.93	0.76	0.87	0.73
Durham Road/Ettrick Grove	0.80	1.23	1.11	0.90	1.12	1.02	1.11	1.12	1.11	0.94
Ryhope Road/Ocean Road South	0.71	1.01	0.88	0.91	0.90	1.02	0.92	1.07	0.83	1.05
A1018/Ocean Road South	0.61	1.03	1.00	0.96	0.98	1.04	1.00	1.06	0.98	1.05
Queen Alexandra Rd/Ashbrooke Range	0.46	0.91	0.92	0.92	1.05	1.06	1.02	1.00	1.03	1.04
The Cedars/Ryhope Road	0.52	0.69	0.87	0.71	1.01	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
St Alban's Street/Commercial Road	0.72	1.03	1.05	1.01	1.06	1.02	1.07	1.05	1.09	1.03
Commercial Road/Robinson Terrace	0.70	0.93	0.95	0.87	1.04	0.98	1.15	0.96	1.04	0.97
Ryhope Road/Mowbray Road	0.41	0.46	0.60	0.50	1.12	1.08	1.10	1.10	1.12	1.16

Sunderland Local Plan April 2017

Commercial Road/White House Road	0.72	0.97	1.02	0.93	1.14	1.08	0.99	1.07	1.17	1.08
A690/Barnes Park Road	0.39	0.55	0.59	0.46	0.73	0.59	0.82	0.61	0.75	0.65
Park Road/A1231	0.15	0.31	0.28	0.25	0.69	0.77	0.71	0.80	0.81	0.76
Burn Park/Eden House Road	0.35	0.72	0.55	0.78	0.78	0.91	1.00	0.93	0.92	0.96
New Durham Road/Burn Park Road	0.17	0.28	0.45	0.85	0.57	0.91	0.40	0.93	0.40	0.83
Burn Park/Derby Street	0.16	0.25	0.29	0.30	0.27	0.98	0.39	0.96	0.38	0.93
Chester Road/The Royalty	0.23	0.46	0.35	0.44	0.38	0.41	0.47	0.45	0.47	0.46
Chester Road/St Michael Way	1.00	1.64	0.98	0.91	1.87	1.65	1.55	1.73	1.92	1.64
Grange Terrace/ Thornhill Cres	0.48	0.54	0.75	0.81	0.96	1.08	1.06	1.08	1.00	1.07
A1231/A690	0.77	0.78	0.86	0.75	0.94	1.16	1.16	1.50	1.10	2.19
A690/St Michaels Way	0.58	0.66	0.67	0.78	1.01	1.12	1.11	1.12	1.10	1.12
SB St Michaels Way/ A690	0.52	0.44	0.63	0.75	0.65	0.78	0.68	0.79	0.73	0.80
Mary St/St Michaels Way	0.33	0.37	0.46	0.56	0.45	0.52	0.49	0.51	0.50	0.52
A1231/Belvedere Road	0.79	0.91	0.75	0.88	0.95	0.95	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.94
Lawrence St/Hendon Road	0.70	0.90	0.90	0.87	0.92	0.96	0.97	0.93	0.90	0.93
Borough Road/A1018	0.57	0.77	0.74	0.83	0.66	0.74	0.73	0.76	0.70	0.82
WB West Wear Street/Wearmouth Bridge	0.62	1.08	0.85	1.07	1.01	1.07	1.04	1.07	1.05	1.07
Wearmouth Bridge/St Mary's Way EB	0.30	0.34	0.43	0.40	0.48	0.44	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.45
WB St Mary's Way/Wearmouth Bridge	0.46	0.84	0.74	0.81	0.67	0.73	0.77	0.74	0.75	0.76
SB Wearmouth Bridge/West Wear Street	0.65	1.01	0.82	0.93	0.95	0.94	0.86	0.99	0.86	0.99
Chester Road/St Marks's Road	0.24	0.92	0.98	1.38	1.20	1.06	1.14	1.23	1.14	1.20
Kayll Road/Chester Road	0.97	1.03	0.97	0.93	1.05	1.56	1.12	1.17	1.15	1.26
Ettrick Grove/Chester Road	0.36	0.56	0.38	0.27	0.56	0.33	0.78	0.33	0.45	0.35
The Broadway/Springwell Road	0.97	1.67	1.13	1.21	1.17	1.18	1.22	1.14	1.17	1.16
Chester Road/Grindon Lane	0.58	1.09	0.66	0.56	0.76	0.59	1.07	1.00	0.95	0.50
Chester Road/Pennywell Road	0.82	1.03	1.06	1.06	1.27	1.29	1.18	1.16	2.26	2.17
Chester Road/Greenwood Road	0.71	1.21	0.82	0.79	0.84	0.78	1.13	0.80	0.99	0.81
Chester Road/Prestbury Road	0.31	0.93	1.02	0.96	1.33	1.30	1.47	1.45	1.50	1.56
Prestbury Road/Hylton Road	0.18	0.31	0.33	0.28	0.37	0.34	0.41	0.45	0.44	1.07
Hylton Road/Waterford Green	0.22	0.38	0.39	0.29	0.81	0.33	0.95	0.70	1.02	1.00
Hylton Road/Grindon Lane	0.47	0.84	0.78	0.94	1.14	1.00	1.13	1.04	1.13	1.04
Hylton Road/Portsmouth Road	0.27	0.41	0.52	0.39	0.62	0.41	0.60	0.42	0.59	0.42
Hylton Road/Font Road	0.51	0.53	0.83	0.88	1.22	1.20	1.28	1.20	1.20	1.20

Sunderland Local Plan April 2017

Hylton Road/Kayll Road	0.87	1.02	1.19	0.97	0.97	0.99	1.01	1.12	1.01	1.10
St Luk's Terrace/St Luke's Road	0.14	0.18	0.21	0.40	0.42	0.79	0.40	0.79	0.47	0.83
Pallion New Road/Lisburn Terrace	0.29	1.07	0.38	0.41	0.41	0.46	0.46	0.47	0.45	0.50
Trimdon Street/ Silksworth Row	0.85	1.06	1.00	1.06	1.14	1.18	1.20	1.17	1.24	1.20
Hylton Road/Rutland Street	0.19	0.29	0.24	0.34	0.39	0.50	0.57	0.52	0.52	0.49
Hylton Road/St Marks Road North	0.27	0.96	0.49	0.60	0.53	0.94	0.51	0.96	0.65	0.98
A1018/Dame Dorothy Street	0.64	0.87	0.84	0.81	0.84	0.80	0.77	0.83	0.81	0.83
A1018/Sheepfolds North	0.51	0.66	0.66	0.62	1.90	1.94	1.78	1.99	1.81	2.05
Church Street/Dame Dorothy Street	0.68	1.05	1.03	0.95	1.00	0.90	0.95	0.96	0.96	0.92
North Bridge Street/Roker Avenue	0.74	1.08	0.95	1.11	1.44	1.11	1.03	1.27	1.03	1.27
Roker Avenue/Fulwell Road	0.89	1.11	1.00	0.94	1.00	0.99	0.98	1.01	0.99	1.02
Roker Avenue/Church Street	0.73	1.09	0.96	0.69	0.88	0.71	0.83	0.70	0.83	0.71
Dame Dorothy Street/Harbour View	0.30	0.35	0.38	0.39	0.39	0.36	0.42	0.38	0.38	0.36
Roker Baths Road/Fulwell Road	0.41	0.74	0.58	0.50	0.67	0.49	0.57	0.47	0.65	0.50
Roker Terrace/St George's Terrace	0.25	0.37	0.34	0.38	0.35	0.35	0.38	0.39	0.36	0.36
Whitburn Road/Chichester Road	0.19	0.25	0.31	0.34	0.31	0.31	0.34	0.36	0.32	0.33
Whitburn Road/Dykelands Road	0.96	1.28	1.01	1.01	1.41	1.51	1.62	1.87	1.88	1.94
Newcastle Road/A1018	0.42	0.70	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.53	0.64	0.52	0.56	0.53
A1018/Dovedale Road	0.40	1.03	0.95	0.85	0.95	0.95	1.07	0.95	0.97	0.95
Newcastle Road/Chalton Road	0.90	1.13	1.04	1.04	1.06	1.06	1.10	1.10	1.07	1.11
Newcastle Road/Newhaven Avenue	0.23	0.43	0.35	0.25	0.38	0.36	0.37	0.36	0.40	0.36
Newcastle Road/Crozier Street	0.27	0.46	0.41	0.61	0.44	0.58	0.42	0.64	0.43	0.70
Southwick Road/Stadium Way	0.43	0.75	0.83	0.81	0.92	0.89	0.93	0.97	0.93	0.97
Queens Road/Kier Hardie Way	0.57	0.87	0.74	0.86	0.84	0.91	0.94	1.00	0.86	1.01
Southwick Road/B1291	0.76	0.81	0.96	0.86	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05
A1231/Camden Street	0.86	0.93	0.82	0.68	0.66	0.84	0.72	0.81	0.68	0.81
Camden Street/Trafford Road	0.29	0.37	0.29	0.33	0.28	0.73	0.27	0.81	0.28	0.73
Wessington Way/Queens Road	0.81	0.94	1.01	0.74	1.05	0.95	1.06	0.92	1.06	0.84
Wessington Way/A1231	0.85	1.20	1.07	0.97	1.29	1.20	1.31	1.20	1.31	1.20
Northern Way/Dean Terrace	0.83	0.80	0.85	0.87	0.93	0.84	0.90	0.87	0.94	0.97
Northern Way/North Hylton Road	0.33	0.55	0.49	0.38	0.68	0.60	0.70	0.61	0.72	0.59
Old Mill Road/Wembley Road	0.11	0.19	0.16	0.17	0.21	0.30	0.26	0.35	0.25	0.35
Hylton Road/Castletown Way	0.92	0.91	0.78	0.98	1.00	1.02	0.99	1.02	1.00	1.02

Sunderland Local Plan April 2017

Commercial in Confidence 6/ Modelling Outputs & Impacted Junctions

North Hylton Road/Radlett Road	0.45	0.85	0.86	0.59	0.73	0.53	0.93	0.60	0.80	0.73
Castletown Way/Riverside Road	0.63	0.42	0.67	0.48	1.17	1.11	1.11	1.10	2.24	0.80
Wessington Way/Castletown Way	0.94	1.23	1.18	1.07	1.19	1.19	1.21	1.53	1.54	1.18
Wessington Way/Colima Avenue	0.89	1.21	1.87	1.11	1.29	1.57	1.45	1.41	1.35	1.43
Grange Road/Barons Quay Road	0.26	0.34	0.36	0.76	0.54	0.80	0.68	0.85	0.49	0.88
Wessington Way/Ferryboat Lane	0.98	1.21	1.15	1.07	1.29	1.10	1.31	1.21	1.31	1.12
Washington Road/Ferryboat Lane	0.74	1.15	1.08	1.11	1.08	1.16	1.03	1.18	1.08	1.17
Washington Road/Blackwood Road	0.65	1.10	0.99	1.02	1.10	1.04	1.07	1.03	1.10	1.04
Washington Road/Canterbury Road	0.18	0.32	0.28	0.55	0.28	0.68	0.28	0.65	0.33	0.67
Washington Road/Hylton Lane	0.85	1.02	0.88	1.00	1.04	0.95	1.07	0.93	1.03	0.93
Washington Road/Craigavon Road	0.71	0.89	1.00	0.95	1.08	1.06	1.10	1.06	1.08	1.05
Washington Road/Rotherfield Road	0.38	0.90	0.93	0.66	0.92	0.51	1.57	0.53	0.94	0.66
Kingsway Road/Hylton Lane	0.10	0.14	0.29	0.28	0.48	0.53	0.59	0.56	0.50	0.50
A1290/Downhill Lane	0.21	0.47	0.94	1.43	1.51	1.23	1.03	1.32	1.22	1.31
A1290/Nissan Sight	0.67	0.65	1.01	1.04	1.15	1.26	0.90	1.38	1.28	1.36
A1290/Cherry Blossom Way	0.20	0.49	1.11	0.99	7.59	2.10	0.61	3.32	6.79	3.91
Nissan Way/A1231	0.14	0.25	0.55	0.38	3.02	2.01	0.32	3.02	3.76	3.01
Sunderland Highway/ SB Pattinson Road	0.16	0.21	0.26	0.12	0.18	0.15	0.26	0.15	0.18	0.15
NB Pattinson Way/A1231	0.33	0.55	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67
Sunderland Highway/ NB Nissan Way	0.11	0.19	0.17	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.24	1.07	1.00
Glover Road/Spire Road	0.11	0.37	0.53	0.49	0.70	0.66	0.49	0.95	0.82	0.95
A1231/Spire Road	0.15	0.53	0.62	0.60	0.88	0.74	0.65	1.03	0.93	1.03
A1231/Horsley Road	0.20	0.34	0.97	0.27	0.37	0.33	0.31	1.01	0.47	1.01
Northumberland Way SB Onslip/Sunderland Highway	0.21	0.34	0.19	0.36	0.22	0.34	0.31	0.34	0.27	0.35
Northumberland Way /Sunderland Hwy Offslip	0.19	0.22	0.31	0.29	0.29	0.25	0.33	0.17	0.30	0.17
Northumberland Way NB Onslip/Sunderland Hwy	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.34	0.34	0.37	0.38	0.38	0.36	0.38
Northumberland Way NB/Sunderland Hwy Offslip	0.22	0.30	0.32	0.35	0.37	0.36	0.38	0.30	0.36	0.29
Washington Hwy SB Offslip/A1231	0.75	0.92	1.01	1.01	1.03	1.09	1.05	1.14	1.05	1.14
Sunderland Hwy EB Offslip/Washington Hwy SB	0.84	0.97	1.05	0.73	1.00	0.73	0.79	0.72	0.96	0.70
Washington Hwy NB Offslip/A1231	0.51	0.73	0.82	0.94	0.83	0.98	0.68	0.94	0.93	0.95



Sunderland Local Plan April 2017

A1231 WB Offslip/Washington Hwy	0.83	0.93	0.94	1.02	0.93	1.01	1.07	1.06	1.02	1.06
A182 - Salter's Ln	0.00	0.01	0.69	0.81	1.70	1.27	1.67	1.36	1.99	1.37
A182 - Murton Ln	0.00	0.00	1.44	1.54	1.45	1.54	1.45	1.55	1.29	1.55
WB A182 - B1284	0.01	0.01	1.43	1.69	2.35	2.23	2.86	4.34	3.41	4.81
SB A182 - B1284	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.39	0.31	0.46	0.28	0.50	0.35	0.50
A182 - Caroline St	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.36	2.12	2.73	2.17	2.48	2.27	2.57
A182 - Regent St	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	1.66	1.70	1.33	1.47	1.48	1.50
A182 - The Bungalows	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.26	1.35	1.40	1.27	1.46	1.34	1.42
B1260 - B1284	0.01	0.01	0.32	0.28	0.40	0.32	0.42	0.43	0.41	0.47
B1284 - Cygnet Way	0.00	0.01	0.60	0.43	0.90	0.52	0.61	0.66	1.01	0.67
A690 - Slip Roads/ Cygnet Way	0.02	0.02	1.00	0.74	1.23	0.87	1.11	1.04	1.26	1.06
A182 - B1260	0.01	0.01	1.21	2.31	2.58	3.46	2.63	3.10	2.72	2.98
B1404 - B1260	0.00	0.01	0.97	0.29	2.34	1.06	1.50	1.32	1.41	1.23
B1404 - Salters Lane	0.26	0.26	0.40	0.29	0.76	0.65	0.68	0.70	0.91	0.69
A1052 - Dairy Lane	0.01	0.01	0.50	0.63	0.50	0.54	0.51	0.48	0.52	0.49
A1052 - Britannia Terrace	0.24	0.40	1.70	1.66	1.63	1.73	1.91	1.93	1.85	1.94
A690 - A1052 NB off slip	0.00	0.00	0.92	0.90	0.85	1.05	0.78	1.00	0.76	0.96
A690 - A1052 Dairy Lane entry	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.40	1.15	0.56	1.05	0.82	1.17	0.88
A690 - A1052 SB off slip	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.52	0.77	0.55	0.85	0.58	0.83	0.62
A690 - A1052 A182 entry	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.63	1.16	0.69	1.09	0.84	1.22	0.93
A690 - B1404 - NB parallel slip	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.19	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.23	0.19	0.23
A690 - B1404 - A182 Hillside Way	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.19	0.50	0.27	0.53	0.38	0.57	0.42
A690 - B1404 - A182 SB off slip	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.11	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
A690 - B1404 - Lake Road entry	0.00	0.00	1.09	1.04	1.18	1.21	1.42	1.25	1.50	1.28
Vigo Ln - Picktree Ln	0.45	0.80	1.03	1.09	1.04	1.04	1.05	1.04	1.03	1.04
Picktree Ln - Bonemill Ln	0.47	0.72	1.02	0.97	1.19	1.11	1.14	1.15	1.26	1.14
Bonemill Ln/ Vigo Ln	0.31	0.61	0.66	0.75	0.75	0.90	0.74	0.93	0.78	0.94
A182 - Coaley Ln	1.01	1.02	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.11	2.00
A182 - B1286	0.86	1.07	1.48	1.35	1.97	1.77	1.46	2.06	2.47	2.13
A182 - A183	1.00	1.04	1.45	1.29	1.97	1.75	2.16	2.00	2.26	2.06



6.3 RFC SATURN Junction Results

- 6.3.1 The SATURN outputs have been used to determine when junctions on the modelled network will begin to experience capacity issues over the whole Local Plan period. Junctions that have been shown to trigger capacity issues have been identified; those which will generate an RFC of 0.91 and above. Modelled junctions with RFCs of 0.00 to 0.90 have not been included in this analysis as the results demonstrate that these junctions are not likely to become oversaturated as a result of the Local Plan development traffic.
- 6.3.2 As part of this individual junction analysis, the first Local Plan period when a junction triggers capacity issues has been discussed below. For example, if a junction is anticipated to experience capacity issues during phases 0 and 3, then phase 0 has been recorded as the trigger time for when the junction will require some form of mitigation to resolve future capacity issues as a result of future traffic demand.
- 6.3.3 The GIS plans in Appendix E illustrate the junction locations and the RFC results for each of the four modelled phases.

6.4 RFC SATURN Junction Results for the AM Peak

- 6.4.1 During the AM modelled peak:
 - 70 junctions will trigger capacity issues during phase 0;
 - 40 junctions will trigger capacity issues during phase 1;
 - 11 junctions will trigger capacity issues during phase 2; and
 - 6 junctions will trigger capacity issues during phase 3.
- 6.4.2 Tables 12 to 15 show the junctions that are likely to trigger capacity issues during phase 0, 1, 2, and 3 of the Local Plan for the modelled AM peak. Cells highlighted in amber show RFCs of 0.91 to 1.00 and cells shaded in red indicate RFCs of greater than 1.00.
- 6.4.3 The plan in Appendix F shows the corresponding junction reference numbers contained in the "Ref" columns in the results tables below.

Ref	Junction Name	RFC	Ref	Junction Name	RFC
131	NB Pattinson Way/A1231	2.67	104	Newcastle Road/Chalton Road	1.04
154	A182 - Coaley Ln	2.00	94	Church Street/Dame Dorothy Street	1.03
118	Wessington Way/Colima Avenue	1.87	151	Vigo Ln - Picktree Ln	1.03
41	A690/Herrington Road	1.75	27	Toll Bar/Hollycarrside Road	1.02
148	A1052 - Britannia Terrace	1.70	82	Chester Road/Prestbury Road	1.02
33	Premier Road/Essen Way	1.63	55	Commercial Road/White House Road	1.02
43	Durham Road/Premier Road	1.52	152	Picktree Ln - Bonemill Ln	1.02
155	A182 - B1286	1.48	129	A1290/Nissan Sight	1.01
156	A182 - A183	1.45	134	Washington Hwy SB Offslip/A1231	1.01

Table 12 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 0 for the AM Peak

Sunderland Local Plan April 2017

136	A182 - Murton Ln	1.44	110	Wessington Way/Queens Road	1.01
137	WB A182 - B1284	1.43	101	Whitburn Road/Dykelands Road	1.01
1	A19 NB Offslip/A1290 Downhill Ln	1.41	143	A690 - Slip Roads	1.00
2	Sunderland Highway/A19	1.37	96	Roker Avenue/Fulwell Road	1.00
32	Leechmere Road/Tunstall Road	1.25	49	A1018/Ocean Road South	1.00
6	City Way/Monarch Way	1.24	90	Trimdon Street/ Silksworth Row	1.00
42	A690/North Moor Lane	1.24	125	Washington Road/Craigavon Road	1.00
40	West Park/Herringon Road	1.23	122	Washington Road/Blackwood Road	0.99
144	A182 - B1260	1.21	62	Chester Road/St Michael Way	0.98
88	Hylton Road/Kayll Road	1.19	25	A1018/Salterfen Road	0.98
3	A19N onslip/A183W	1.18	24	B1405/Salterfen Road	0.98
117	Wessington Way/Castletown Way	1.18	75	Chester Road/St Marks's Road	0.98
120	Wessington Way/Ferryboat Lane	1.15	76	Kayll Road/Chester Road	0.97
78	The Broadway/Springwell Road	1.13	145	B1404 - B1260	0.97
9	Doxford Park Way/Silksworth Way	1.13	132	A1231/Horsley Road	0.97
8	City Way/Doxford Park Way	1.12	149	A690 - A1052 A182 entry	0.97
4	A19N Onslip/Durham Road	1.12	96	Roker Avenue/Church Street	0.96
130	A1290/Cherry Blossom Way	1.11	109	Southwick Road/B1291	0.96
47	Durham Road/Ettrick Grove	1.11	95	North Bridge Street/Roker Avenue	0.95
150	A690 - B1404 - Lake Road entry	1.09	53	Commercial Road/Robinson Terrace	0.95
121	Washington Road/Ferryboat Lane	1.08	103	A1018/Dovedale Road	0.95
110	Wessington Way/A1231	1.07	134	A1231 WB Offslip/Washington Hwy	0.94
80	Chester Road/Pennywell Road	1.06	128	A1290/Downhill Lane	0.94
52	St Alban's Street/Commercial Road	1.05	126	Washington Road/Rotherfield Road	0.93
134	Sunderland Hwy EB Offslip/Washington Hwy SB	1.05	149	A690 - A1052 NB off slip	0.92
4	A19S offslip/Parkside south	1.04	50	Queen Alexandra Rd/Ashbrooke Range	0.92

Ref	Junction Name	RFC	Ref	Junction Name	RFC
16	Ryhope Street South/Bevan Avenue	3.69	37	Silksworth Way/Silksworth Road	1.10
131	Nissan Way/A1231	3.02	38	Essen Way/Langley Road	1.08
138	A182 - Caroline St	2.12	30	Tunstall Hope Road/Paddock Lane	1.08
93	A1018/Sheepfolds North	1.90	20	A1018/B1287	1.08
13	Burdon Road/Bevan Avenue	1.90	39	A690/West Park	1.07
135	A182 - Salter's Ln	1.70	35	Silksworth Lane/North Moor Lane	1.07
11	Doxford Park Way/Burdon Road	1.66	19	Sea View/A1018	1.05
139	A182 - Regent St	1.66	124	Washington Road/Hylton Lane	1.04
14	Burdon Lane/Ryhope Street South	1.57	23	Toll Bar Road/Fenside road	1.01
140	A182 - The Bungalows	1.35	71	WB West Wear Street/Wearmouth Bridge	1.01
87	Hylton Road/Font Road	1.22	51	The Cedars/Ryhope Road	1.01
5	City Way/Emperor Way	1.22	65	A690/St Michaels Way	1.01
29	Maple Avenue/Paddock Lane	1.21	114	Hylton Road/Castletown Way	1.00
15	Ryhope Street South/Black Road	1.18	63	Grange Terrace/ Thornhill Cres	0.96
116	Castletown Way/Riverside Road	1.17	68	A1231/Belvedere Road	0.95
28	Tunstall Village Green/Burdon Road	1.16	74	SB Wearmouth Bridge/West Wear Street	0.95
149	A690 - A1052 Dairy Lane entry	1.15	64	A1231/A690	0.94
85	Hylton Road/Grindon Lane	1.14	111	Northern Way/Dean Terrace	0.93
4	A19N offslip/Durham Rd	1.12	69	Lawrence St/Hendon Road	0.92
54	Ryhope Road/Mowbray Road	1.12	107	Southwick Road/Stadium Way	0.92

Table 13 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 1 for the AM Peak

Table 14 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 2 for the AM Peak

Ref	Junction Name	RFC	Ref	Junction Name	RFC
81	Chester Road/Greenwood Road	1.13	108	Queens Road/Kier Hardie Way	0.94
79	Chester Road/Grindon Lane	1.07	115	North Hylton Road/Radlett Road	0.93
7	City Way/Camberwell Way	1.04	45	Queen Alexandra Road/Silksworth Lane	0.93
58	Burn Park/Eden House Road	1.00	46	Durham Road/Richard Avenue	0.93
84	Hylton Road/Waterford Green	0.95	48	Ryhope Road/Ocean Road South	0.92
12	Burdon Road/Bardon Lane	0.95			

_									
	Ref	Junction Name	RFC	Ref	Junction Name	RFC			
	131	Sunderland Highway/ NB Nissan Way	1.07	134	Washington Hwy NB Offslip/A1231	0.93			
	17	Stockton Road/The Village	1.02	132	A1231/Spire Road	0.93			
	142	B1284 - Cygnet Way	1.01	146	B1404 - Salters Lane	0.91			

Table 15 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 3 for the AM Peak

- 6.4.4 The results show that 127 junctions can be expected to trigger capacity issues over the whole Local Plan period during the modelled AM peak. 70 (55%) of these junctions are anticipated to trigger capacity issues during phase 0 of the Local Plan, which includes 24 junctions nearing capacity and 46 junctions forecasted to become oversaturated and operate beyond existing design capacities.
- 6.4.5 110 (87%) of these junctions are anticipated to trigger capacity issues during phase 0 and phase 1 of the Local Plan during the AM peak.
- 6.4.6 These results suggests that the majority of the junctions will require substantial remedial works or appropriate alternative link road schemes to be considered prior to phase 0 of the Local Plan to ensure that the forecasted saturated links at the junctions operate within capacity.
- 6.4.7 As the Local Plan periods progress, the volumes of links/junctions that are forecasted to have capacity issues decreases during phases 1, 2, and 3.

6.5 RFC SATURN Junction Results for the PM Peak

- 6.5.1 During the PM modelled peak:
 - 65 junctions will trigger capacity issues during phase 0;
 - 40 junctions will trigger capacity issues during phase 1;
 - 12 junctions will trigger capacity issues during phase 2; and
 - 5 junctions will trigger capacity issues during phase 3.
- 6.5.2 Tables 16 to 19 show the junctions that are likely to trigger capacity issues during phase 0, 1, 2, and 3 of the Local Plan for the modelled PM peak. Cells highlighted in amber show RFCs of 0.91 to 1.00 and cells shaded in red indicate RFCs of greater than 1.00.
- 6.5.3 The plan in Appendix F shows the corresponding junction reference numbers contained in the "Ref" columns in the results tables below.

Ref	Junction Name	RFC	Ref	Junction Name	RFC
7	City Way/Camberwell Way	3.37	104	Newcastle Road/Chalton Road	1.04
131	NB Pattinson Way/A1231	2.67	150	A690 - B1404 - Lake Road entry	1.04
144	A182 - B1260	2.31	129	A1290/Nissan Sight	1.04
41	A690/Herrington Road	2.01	122	Washington Road/Blackwood Road	1.02
154	A182 - Coaley Ln	2.00	134	A1231 WB Offslip/Washington Hwy	1.02
137	WB A182 - B1284	1.69	52	St Alban's Street/Commercial Road	1.01

Table 16 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 0 for the PM Peak

Sunderland Local Plan April 2017

148	A1052 - Britannia Terrace	1.66	6	City Way/Monarch Way	1.01
136	A182 - Murton Ln	1.54	134	Washington Hwy SB Offslip/A1231	1.01
128	A1290/Downhill Lane	1.43	101	Whitburn Road/Dykelands Road	1.01
75	Chester Road/St Marks's Road	1.38	124	Washington Road/Hylton Lane	1.00
2	Sunderland Highway/A19	1.36	130	A1290/Cherry Blossom Way	0.99
155	A182 - B1286	1.35	43	Durham Road/Premier Road	0.99
156	A182 - A183	1.29	114	Hylton Road/Castletown Way	0.98
33	Premier Road/Essen Way	1.29	110	Wessington Way/A1231	0.97
1	A19 NB Offslip/A1290 Downhill Ln	1.27	152	Picktree Ln - Bonemill Ln	0.97
40	West Park/Herringon Road	1.25	88	Hylton Road/Kayll Road	0.97
78	The Broadway/Springwell Road	1.21	49	A1018/Ocean Road South	0.96
42	A690/North Moor Lane	1.21	82	Chester Road/Prestbury Road	0.96
3	A19N onslip/A183W	1.20	125	Washington Road/Craigavon Road	0.95
32	Leechmere Road/Tunstall Road	1.18	25	A1018/Salterfen Road	0.95
4	A19S offslip/Parkside south	1.14	94	Church Street/Dame Dorothy Street	0.95
121	Washington Road/Ferryboat Lane	1.11	96	Roker Avenue/Fulwell Road	0.94
95	North Bridge Street/Roker Avenue	1.11	85	Hylton Road/Grindon Lane	0.94
118	Wessington Way/Colima Avenue	1.11	134	Washington Hwy NB Offslip/A1231	0.94
9	Doxford Park Way/Silksworth Way	1.09	37	Silksworth Way/Silksworth Road	0.93
4	A19N Onslip/Durham Road	1.09	76	Kayll Road/Chester Road	0.93
151	Vigo Ln - Picktree Ln	1.09	74	SB Wearmouth Bridge/West Wear Street	0.93
8	City Way/Doxford Park Way	1.09	55	Commercial Road/White House Road	0.93
120	Wessington Way/Ferryboat Lane	1.07	24	B1405/Salterfen Road	0.92
71	WB West Wear Street/Wearmouth Bridge	1.07	50	Queen Alexandra Rd/Ashbrooke Range	0.92
117	Wessington Way/Castletown Way	1.07	62	Chester Road/St Michael Way	0.91
80	Chester Road/Pennywell Road	1.06	48	Ryhope Road/Ocean Road South	0.91
90	Trimdon Street/ Silksworth Row	1.06			

Table 17 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 1 for the PM Peak

Ref	Junction Name	RFC	Ref	Junction Name	RFC
16	Ryhope Street South/Bevan Avenue	3.48	54	Ryhope Road/Mowbray Road	1.08
Sunderland Local Plan April 2017

138	A182 - Caroline St	2.73	63	Grange Terrace/ Thornhill Cres	1.08
131	Nissan Way/A1231	2.01	39	A690/West Park	1.07
93	A1018/Sheepfolds North	1.94	145	B1404 - B1260	1.06
11	Doxford Park Way/Burdon Road	1.71	20	A1018/B1287	1.06
139	A182 - Regent St	1.70	109	Southwick Road/B1291	1.05
13	Burdon Road/Bevan Avenue	1.68	149	A690 - A1052 NB off slip	1.05
5	City Way/Emperor Way	1.55	27	Toll Bar/Hollycarrside Road	1.03
14	Burdon Lane/Ryhope Street South	1.48	47	Durham Road/Ettrick Grove	1.02
35	Silksworth Lane/North Moor Lane	1.46	51	The Cedars/Ryhope Road	1.00
140	A182 - The Bungalows	1.40	53	Commercial Road/Robinson Terrace	0.98
135	A182 - Salter's Ln	1.27	60	Burn Park/Derby Street	0.98
87	Hylton Road/Font Road	1.20	69	Lawrence St/Hendon Road	0.96
15	Ryhope Street South/Black Road	1.20	110	Wessington Way/Queens Road	0.95
64	A1231/A690	1.16	68	A1231/Belvedere Road	0.95
65	A690/St Michaels Way	1.12	103	A1018/Dovedale Road	0.95
116	Castletown Way/Riverside Road	1.11	92	Hylton Road/St Marks Road North	0.94
4	A19N offslip/Durham Rd	1.10	59	New Durham Road/Burn Park Road	0.91
19	Sea View/A1018	1.10	58	Burn Park/Eden House Road	0.91
28	Tunstall Village Green/Burdon Road	1.09	108	Queens Road/Kier Hardie Way	0.91

Table 18 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 2 for the PM Peak

Ref	Junction Name	RFC	Ref	Junction Name	RFC
23	Toll Bar Road/Fenside road	1.10 17 S		Stockton Road/The Village	1.01
30	Tunstall Hope Road/Paddock Lane	1.08	1.08 79 Chester Road/Grindon Lane		1.00
143	A690 - Slip Roads	1.04 107 Southwick Road/Stadium Way		0.97	
132	A1231/Spire Road	1.03	1.03 131 Glover Road/Spire Road		0.95
29	Maple Avenue/Paddock Lane	1.03	153 Picktree Ln - Bramhall Dr		0.93
132	A1231/Horsley Road	1.01	45 Queen Alexandra Road/Silksworth Lane		0.93

Ref	Junction Name	RFC	Ref	Junction Name	RFC
83	Prestbury Road/Hylton Road	1.07	111	Northern Way/Dean Terrace	0.97
131	Sunderland Highway/ NB Nissan Way	1.00	149	A690 - A1052 A182 entry	0.93
84	Hylton Road/Waterford Green	1.00			

Table 19 RFCs for Junctions with Capacity Issues during Phase 3 for the PM Peak

- 6.5.4 The results show that 122 junctions can be expected to trigger capacity issues over the whole Local Plan period during the modelled PM peak. 65 (53%) of these junctions are anticipated to trigger capacity issues during phase 0 of the Local Plan, which includes 23 junctions nearing capacity and 42 junctions forecasted to become oversaturated and operate beyond existing design capacities.
- 6.5.5 105 (86%) of these junctions are anticipated to trigger capacity issues during phase 0 and phase 1 of the Local Plan during the PM peak.
- 6.5.6 The results for the modelled PM peak suggest that the majority of the junctions will require substantial remedial works or appropriate alternative link road schemes to be considered prior to phase 0 of the Local Plan. This is to ensure that the forecasted saturated links at the junctions during the first two phases of the Local Plan are mitigated against.
- 6.5.7 As the Local Plan periods progress, the volumes of links/junctions that are forecasted to have capacity issues decreases during phases 1, 2, and 3.

6.6 Impacted junctions

- 6.6.1 The results show that a number of the junctions that will trigger capacity issues during phase 0 of the Local Plan will experience oversaturated conditions during both the AM and PM peak periods, and these junctions are shown in Table 20.
- 6.6.2 The table highlights that the junctions expected to experience capacity issues during the first five years of the Local Plan are located within the immediate vicinity of the largest employment site (IAMP) in Washington and those around the largest cluster of residential sites in the Sunderland South Growth Area around Burdon Lane. This is due to the large increase in traffic associated with the development of these strategic sites.
- 6.6.3 Consequently, the junctions along the A19 providing direct connectivity between these areas will experience an increase in traffic volumes and capacity pressures.

Ref	Junction Name	RFC AM	RFC PM	Ref	Junction Name	RFC AM	RFC PM	
1	A19 NB Offslip/A1290 Downhill Ln	1.45	1.30	80	Chester Road/Pennywell Road	2.26	2.17	
2	Sunderland Highway/A19	1.44	1.48	82	Chester Road/Prestbury Road	1.50	1.56	
3	A19N onslip/A183W	1.47	1.41	84	Hylton Road/Waterford Green	1.02	1.00	
4	A19S offslip/Parkside south	1.25	1.22	85	Hylton Road/Grindon Lane	1.13	1.04	
4	A19N offslip/Durham Rd	1.19	1.14	87	Hylton Road/Font Road	1.20	1.20	
4	A19N Onslip/Durham Road	1.46	1.42	88	Hylton Road/Kayll Road	1.01	1.10	

Table 20 Junctions Reaching Capacity during the AM & PM Peaks

Sunderland Local Plan April 2017

5	City Way/Emperor Way	1.45	1.69	90	Trimdon Street/ Silksworth Row	1.24	1.20
6	City Way/Monarch Way	1.24	1.31	93	A1018/Sheepfolds North	1.81	2.05
7	City Way/Camberwell Way	0.71	2.01	94	Church Street/Dame Dorothy Street	0.96	0.92
8	City Way/Doxford Park Way	1.39	1.35	95	North Bridge Street/Roker Avenue	1.03	1.27
9	Doxford Park Way/Silksworth Way	9.30	5.67	96	Roker Avenue/Fulwell Road	0.99	1.02
11	Doxford Park Way/Burdon Road	1.92	1.70	101	Whitburn Road/Dykelands Road	1.88	1.94
12	Burdon Road/Bardon Lane	0.91	0.89	102	Newcastle Road/A1018	0.56	0.53
13	Burdon Road/Bevan Avenue	1.87	1.84	103	A1018/Dovedale Road	0.97	0.95
14	Burdon Lane/Ryhope Street South	1.50	1.46	104	Newcastle Road/Chalton Road	1.07	1.11
15	Ryhope Street South/Black Road	1.19	1.20	107	Southwick Road/Stadium Way	0.93	0.97
16	Ryhope Street South/Bevan Avenue	2.87	2.79	108	Queens Road/Kier Hardie Way	0.86	1.01
17	Stockton Road/The Village	1.02	1.00	109	Southwick Road/B1291	1.05	1.05
19	Sea View/A1018	1.27	1.22	110	Wessington Way/Queens Road	1.06	0.84
20	A1018/B1287	1.07	1.07	110	Wessington Way/A1231	1.31	1.20
23	Toll Bar Road/Fenside Road	1.11	1.09	111	Northern Way/Dean Terrace	0.94	0.97
24	B1405/Salterfen Road	1.38	1.38	114	Hylton Road/Castletown Way	1.00	1.02
25	A1018/Salterfen Road	1.08	1.11	116	Castletown Way/Riverside Road	2.24	0.80
27	Toll Bar/Hollycarrside Road	1.15	1.09	117	Wessington Way/Castletown Way	1.54	1.18
28	Tunstall Village Green/Burdon Road	2.08	1.48	118	Wessington Way/Colima Avenue	1.35	1.43
29	Maple Avenue/Paddock Lane	1.28	1.04	120	Wessington Way/Ferryboat Lane	1.31	1.12
30	Tunstall Hope Road/Paddock Lane	1.08	1.08	121	Washington Road/Ferryboat Lane	1.08	1.17
32	Leechmere Road/Tunstall Road	2.26	2.22	122	Washington Road/Blackwood Road	1.10	1.04
33	Premier Road/Essen Way	3.46	2.63	124	Washington Road/Hylton Lane	1.03	0.93
35	Silksworth Lane/North Moor Lane	1.57	1.34	125	Washington Road/Craigavon Road	1.08	1.05
37	Silksworth Way/Silksworth Road	1.22	1.11	128	A1290/Downhill Lane	1.22	1.31
38	Essen Way/Langley Road	1.19	0.71	129	A1290/Nissan Sight	1.28	1.36
39	A690/West Park	1.08	1.07	130	A1290/Cherry Blossom Way	6.79	3.91
40	West Park/Herringon Road	1.45	1.38	131	Nissan Way/A1231	3.76	3.01
41	A690/Herrington Road	1.41	1.41	131	NB Pattinson Way/A1231	2.67	2.67



Sunderland Local Plan April 2017

42	A690/North Moor Lane	1.26	1.23	131	Sunderland Highway/ NB Nissan Way	1.07	1.00
43	Durham Road/Premier Road	1.60	1.76	132	A1231/Spire Road	0.93	1.03
47	Durham Road/Ettrick Grove	1.11	0.94	132	A1231/Horsley Road	0.47	1.01
48	Ryhope Road/Ocean Road South	0.83	1.05	134	Washington Hwy SB Offslip/A1231	1.05	1.14
49	A1018/Ocean Road South	0.98	1.05	134	Washington Hwy NB Offslip/A1231	0.93	0.95
50	Queen Alexandra Rd/Ashbrooke Range	1.03	1.04	134	A1231 WB Offslip/Washington Hwy	1.02	1.06
51	The Cedars/Ryhope Road	1.00	1.00	135	A182 - Salter's Ln	1.99	1.37
52	St Alban's Street/Commercial Road	1.09	1.03	136	A182 - Murton Ln	1.29	1.55
53	Commercial Road/Robinson Terrace	1.04	0.97	137	WB A182 - B1284	3.41	4.81
54	Ryhope Road/Mowbray Road	1.12	1.16	138	A182 - Caroline St	2.27	2.57
55	Commercial Road/White House Road	1.17	1.08	139	A182 - Regent St	1.48	1.50
58	Burn Park/Eden House Road	0.92	0.96	140	A182 - The Bungalows	1.34	1.42
59	New Durham Road/Burn Park Road	0.40	0.83	143	A690 - Slip Roads	1.26	1.06
62	Chester Road/St Michael Way	1.92	1.64	144	A182 - B1260	2.72	2.98
63	Grange Terrace/ Thornhill Cres	1.00	1.07	145	B1404 - B1260	1.41	1.23
64	A1231/A690	1.10	2.19	148	A1052 - Britannia Terrace	1.85	1.94
65	A690/St Michaels Way	1.10	1.12	149	A690 - A1052 A182 entry	1.22	0.93
68	A1231/Belvedere Road	0.95	0.94	150	A690 - B1404 - Lake Road entry	1.50	1.28
69	Lawrence St/Hendon Road	0.90	0.93	151	Vigo Ln - Picktree Ln	1.03	1.04
71	WB West Wear Street/Wearmouth Bridge	1.05	1.07	152	Picktree Ln - Bonemill Ln	1.26	1.14
74	SB Wearmouth Bridge/West Wear Street	0.86	0.99	154	A182 - Coaley Ln	2.11	2.00
75	Chester Road/St Marks's Road	1.14	1.20	155	A182 - B1286	2.47	2.13
76	Kayll Road/Chester Road	1.15	1.26	156	A182 - A183	2.26	2.06
78	The Broadway/Springwell Road	1.17	1.16				

7. High-Level Highway Mitigation

7.1 Introduction

7.1.1 For the junctions that have been forecasted to experience the most severe capacity issues; those anticipated to become oversaturated during phase 0 of the Local Plan, potential mitigation to alleviate the impacts have been explored. Please note, the high level mitigation suggestions shown in this chapter of the report have not been modelled as part of the transportation assessment.

7.2 Geographical Locations Requiring Highway Mitigation

- 7.2.1 The areas of the highway network that will be impacted by traffic generated by the Local Plan development sites are generally centred around the IAMP site (Washington) and the residential sites surrounding Burdon Lane (Sunderland South Growth Area). The highway network adjacent to Burdon Lane, for example, mainly consists of one-lane carriageways which do not provide adequate capacity for the traffic demand generated by the residential sites, which subsequently causes delay and queuing at key junctions, such as those providing access to the A19.
- 7.2.2 This is suggestive that mitigation should not be explored in isolation for each individual location on the highway network, but should consider the links/approaches to junctions, as well as the operation of the junctions themselves. New bus and cycle connections should also be considered to reduce the need to travel by vehicles.
- 7.2.3 Some of the Local Plan sites will directly impact upon junctions in Sunderland city centre. Mitigation for this part of the highway network will need to be thoroughly tested and modelled as traffic and transport issues in city centres are often more complex given the volume of traffic, travel demand, and extent of the urbanised area found in these locations.

7.3 Potential Major Highway Mitigation

- 7.3.1 Appendix N provides a list of potential minor highway mitigation works that have been compiled for the purposes of the transportation assessment.
- 7.3.2 Table 21 below highlights the potential major highway mitigation works that have been compiled for the assessment. The table includes a list of known and identified schemes provided by SCC that would mitigate capacity issues at the junctions listed. The plan in Appendix F shows the corresponding junction reference numbers in Table 21.

Junction Ref	Junction Name	Potential Major Highway Mitigation	Council Identified Schemes
9	Doxford Park Way / Silksworth Way	Doxford Park Way/City Way junction to Monarch Way, the carriageway has 1 lane and cannot accommodate additional traffic. Creating an additional lane from Doxford Park Way to Monarch Way	A19 junction improvements and City Way dualling.

Table 21 Potential Major Highway Mitigation for Impacted Junctions

		would provide additional capacity.	
12	Burdon Road / Burdon Lane	Increasing the number of lanes on Burdon Lane from 1 lane in each direction to 2 lanes in each direction, and the introduction of a signalised junction at this location to control higher traffic flows on this part of the network. Consideration could be given to bus/cycle provision along Burdon Lane.	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link Road and localised junction improvements.
13	Burdon Road / Bevan Avenue	The same as above.	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link Road and localised junction improvements.
14	Burdon Lane / Ryhope Street South	The same as above.	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link Road and localised junction improvements.
15	Ryhope Street South / Black Road	Introduce traffic signal control.	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link Road and localised junction improvements.
16	Ryhope Street South / Bevan Avenue	Introduce traffic signal control.	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link Road and localised junction improvements.
20	Saint Nazaire Way / B1287	Because of the high volumes of traffic on A1018, vehicles turning from Sea View will not have sufficient gaps in the traffic to make these manoeuvres. Signalising the junction would accommodate the safe turning movements and reduce queuing.	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link Road and localised junction improvements.
27	Toll Bar Road / Hollycarrside Road	High traffic volumes on Toll Bar Road means vehicles turning from Hollycarrside Road will not have sufficient gaps in the traffic to make the turning manoeuvres. Signalising the junction would accommodate these movements and reduce queueing/congestion.	Toll Bar Road / Hollycarrside Road roundabout and localised junction improvements.



42	A690 / North Moor Lane	High traffic demand from Durham Road and vehicles from North Moor Lane will not have sufficient gaps in the traffic to enter the roundabout. Creating a signalised junction with a new layout would alleviate this issue.	Farringdon Bypass.
47	Durham Road / Ettrick Grove	Potential traffic signal controlled junction layout.	A690 Durham Road Corridor improvements.
52	St Alban's Street / Commercial Road	Increase the number of lanes or build a flare on Alban's Street approach.	SSTC5 and localised junction improvements.
55	Commercial Road / White House Road	Hendon Road approach is 1 lane and cannot accommodate traffic going to Commercial Road. Increase the number of lanes or extend flare on Hendon Road.	SSTC5 and localised junction improvements.
136	A690 - Slip Roads	Build additional lane for merge on A690.	A690 / A19 junction improvements.
143	A690 - B1404 - Lake Road entry	Introducing 2 lanes on the exit at Lake Road.	Central Route and localised junction improvements.
4	A19S off-slip / Parkside south	Traffic signal optimisation.	A690 / A19 junction improvements.
39	Parkside South / West Park	Traffic signal optimisation.	A690 / A19 junction improvements.

7.4 NECA Pipeline Schemes

- 7.4.1 The spreadsheet shown in Appendix G shows the capital project pipeline for Sunderland and includes major highway improvement schemes that will deliver various benefits to the surrounding highway network. This pipeline of works has been cross referenced with the impacted junctions identified in this transportation assessment.
- 7.4.2 The following NECA pipeline schemes address areas of the highway network that have been identified as having capacity issues:
 - Commercial Road/White House Road being improved by SSTC phase 5;
 - A1018 between southern bridgehead of Wearmouth Bridge and the roundabout junction of Hendon Road/Commercial Road;
 - Proposed single carriageway road linking SSTC/A1018 Southern Radial Route with the Port of Sunderland;
 - Improved transport links with south Sunderland and A690;
 - Remodelling of a section of carriageway between A19 and A1018 to create improved transport links;
 - Durham Road/A19/A690/B1286 involving improved transport links with the south of Sunderland and A19 south Sunderland growth area access, the Doxford International Technology Park access (B1286) and the A19 junction study;



Sunderland Local Plan April 2017

- Ryhope Road mitigations involving the provision of a single carriageway link road between Doxford Park Way and A1018;
- Traffic signal junctions upgrading and optimisation of existing traffic signal operation at junctions city wide;
- Chester Road/St Marks's Road, Kayll Road/Chester Road, Chester Road/Pennywell Road, Chester Road/Prestbury Road, Chester Road/St Michael Way – involving Chester Road bus corridor junctions; A183/A82, Grindon Mill/Springwell Road, and Royalty Road/Springwell Road;
- Mitigations for Sunderland city centre involving Park Lane interchange entrance from Stockton Road, St Michael's Way/Durham Road junction, and the proposed link road to St Mary's Way and St Michael's Way/High Street West junction; and
- Mitigations and highway improvements being delivered as part of IAMP are discussed below.

7.5 NECA Pipeline Schemes & Local Plan Modelling Results

- 7.5.1 Some of the junctions that have been identified as triggering capacity issues as part of the delivery of the Local Plan are included within the existing NECA pipeline of transport schemes in Sunderland.
- 7.5.2 Table 22 illustrates the junctions identified in this transportation assessment that have been forecasted to trigger capacity issues with the Local Plan delivery, and the NECA schemes already proposed for those junctions. The plan in Appendix F shows the corresponding junction reference numbers in Table 22.

Junction Ref	Junction	Name of Project from the NECA Pipeline of Schemes
1	JB - A19/A1290	IAMP highway infrastructure improvements and A1290 / A19 junction improvements.
2	Sunderland Highway/A19	SSTC4 and A1231 / A19 junction improvements.
3	A19N onslip/A183W	SSTC4 and A183 / A19 junction improvements.
4	A19N Onslip/Durham Road	A690 Durham Road Improvements, A690 / A19 junction improvements.
15	Ryhope Street South/Black Road	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link Road and localised junction improvements.
16	Ryhope Street South/Bevan Avenue	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link Road and localised junction improvements.
42	A690/North Moor Lane	Durham Roads Improvements and Farringdon Bypass.
43	Durham Road/Premier Road	A690 Durham Road Improvements.
47	Durham Road/Ettrick Grove	A690 Durham Road Improvements.
52	St Alban's Street/Commercial Road	SSTC5 and localised junction improvements.

Table 22 NECA Schemes & Local Plan Junctions with Forecasted Capacity Issues

55	Commercial Road/White House Road	SSTC5 and localised junction improvements.
62	Chester Road/St Michael Way	Upgrading of existing traffic signals city wide and city centre junction improvements.
65	A690/St Michaels Way	Upgrading of existing traffic signals city wide and city centre junction improvements.
80	Chester Road/Pennywell Road	Signalisation of junctions and construction of direct access to land to the north in order to facilitate regeneration of the Pennywell housing area. Link 2 £3,000,000. A183 Chester Road corridor improvements.
82	Chester Road/Prestbury Road	A183 Chester Road corridor improvements.
85	Hylton Road/Grindon Lane	Durham Road (A690) / Grindon Lane and A183 Chester Road corridor improvements.
110	Wessington Way/A1231	SSTC4 and A1231 / A19 junction improvements.
114	Wessington Way/Castletown Way	SSTC4 and A1231 / A19 junction improvements.
118	Wessington Way/Colima Avenue	SSTC4 and A1231 / A19 junction improvements.
120	Wessington Way/Ferryboat Lane	SSTC4 and A1231 / A19 junction improvements.
121	Washington Road/Ferryboat Lane	SSTC4 and A1231 / A19 junction improvements.
122	Washington Road/Blackwood Road	SSTC4 and A1231 / A19 junction improvements.
125	Washington Road/Craigavon Road	SSTC4 and A1231 / A19 junction improvements.
128	A1290/Follingsby Lane	IAMP highway infrastructure.
129	A1290/Nissan Sight	IAMP highway infrastructure.
130	A1290/Cherry Blossom Way	IAMP highway infrastructure.
131	Nissan Way/A1231	IAMP highway infrastructure.

7.6 IAMP Committed Highway Improvements

- 7.6.1 IAMP is the largest employment site in the Sunderland area and a detailed assessment was prepared by SYSTRA JMP Consultants, which included highway improvements and new infrastructure to support the delivery of the IAMP site. The number of jobs in IAMP will increase significantly during the Local Plan period meaning there will be a large impact on the highway network. In reference to IAMP in Table 18 above, the following mitigation will be delivered as part of this extensive site:
 - 1. A1290 to become dual carriageway and tie into existing single carriageway immediately east of West Moor Farm;



- 2. A1290 to become dual carriageway. Interim tie in to existing Downhill Lane, final proposed tie in to HE's Downhill Lane junction scheme;
- 3. Construction of new single carriageway road from a new junction on A1290 eastward towards A19;
- 4. Construction of new single carriageway bridge over A19 from new road in the west to Washington Road in the east;
- 5. Washington Road carriageway works, road level to be raised to meet new bridge over A19 with localised widening works and retaining structures;
- 6. Construction of a new single carriageway road to run parallel to the west of the A1290;
- Construction of new dual carriageway which changes to single carriageway. In the north it will connect to the new bridge being delivered over the River Wear as part of the SSTC works;
- 8. Construction of a new single carriageway single span bridge over the River Don;
- 9. Construction of new single carriageway from new bridge over the River Don northward;
- 10. Highway to be stopped up once alternative access to North Moor Farm is provided;
- 11. Surface improvements to Follingsby Lane and West Pastures;
- 12. Diverted access to North Moor Farm;
- 13. Highways to be stopped up and the Great North Forest Heritage Trail to be diverted;
- 14. Upgrade to non-motorised user facilities;
- 15. Construction of a new single carriageway road from Washington Road to connect to new road;
- 16. Diverted access to Elliscope Farm;
- 17. Proposed Nissan car park access; and
- 18. Proposed non-motorised users link to Elliscope Farm.

7.7 Highways England

- 7.7.1 SCC have consulted HE on Sunderland's Local Plan proposals. In 2016, HE investigated the impact of the Local Plan development aspirations on the strategic road network (SRN).
- 7.7.2 A colour-coded grading of each Local Plan residential and employment site was provided to SCC to illustrate the impact the sites may have on the SRN. Green sites identified those not deemed to have a significant impact on the SRN, amber sites identified those that required further assessment, and red sites identified those where mitigation would be required to alleviate the impact on the SRN.
- 7.7.3 The HE adopted a methodology for trip generation and distribution across the SRN, which differs from the SATURN model and gravity model adopted for this transportation assessment. This study has focused upon identifying junctions which are likely to experience capacity issues over the Local Plan period which are discussed in the chapter above.
- 7.7.4 SCC will hold further consultation with HE concerning the transport impacts of the Local Plan.

8. Public Transport Accessibility

8.1 Metro Services

- 8.1.1 The Metro, the North East's urban transit system managed by Nexus, connects 60 stations across Tyne and Wear on two railway lines (yellow line and green line). The yellow line extends from St James Park stadium in Newcastle upon Tyne and loops around the coastal areas in North Tyneside and along the southern banks of the River Tyne to South Shields. The green line extends from Newcastle International Airport to South Hylton in Sunderland. 12 stations on the Metro system provide interchange between the yellow line and green line.
- 8.1.2 Zone C of the green line runs through Sunderland and provides rail connectivity to nine stations including South Hylton, Pallion, Millfield, University, Park Lane, Sunderland, St Peters, Stadium of Light, and Seaburn. These stations are generally located along the southern banks of the River Wear with the Metro system entering Sunderland in the north at Seaburn.
- 8.1.3 Table 23 shows the transport facilities that are available at the Metro stations in Sunderland. All stations provide cycle parking facilities to support sustainable multi-modal journeys, all stations except three provide car parking provision, and the central Metro stations, including Park Lane and Sunderland, provide rail and bus interchange.

Station	Cycle Parking	Car Parking	Taxi Rank	Rail Interchange	Bus Interchange
South Hylton	10	24	No	No	No
Pallion	10	0	No	No	No
Millfield	8	12	Yes	No	No
University	12	0	No	No	No
Park Lane	24	630 (Civic Centre)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sunderland	10	0	Yes	Yes	Yes
St Peters	10	23	2 spaces	No	No
Stadium of Light	10	182	No	No	No
Seaburn	10	11	No	No	No

Table 23 Sunderland Metro Stations' Facilities

- 8.1.4 The average one-directional frequency of Metro services serving the stations in Sunderland is every 12 minutes Monday-Saturday and every 15 minutes on Sundays. All nine stations are positioned in built-up locations with access enabled by walking/cycling through a combination of general highway infrastructure and mode specific infrastructure, such as cycle lanes and bus services.
- 8.1.5 The platforms at Sunderland station are shared by light rail (Metro) and heavy rail (National Rail) services. Therefore, Sunderland station provides interchange with long distance rail journeys, to places such as Blaydon, Durham, Gateshead, Middlesbrough, and Newcastle, as well as towns/cities further afield including York, Leeds, Liverpool, London, and Edinburgh.
- 8.1.6 The Metro and the heavy rail systems do not extend into the Coalfield and Washington areas.



8.2 Bus Services

- 8.2.1 Given the scale and extents of the study area being reviewed in this transportation assessment, there are countless bus stops located within northern, southern, and central Sunderland, Coalfield, and Washington that provide local and regional public transport connections. The bus route map shown in Appendix H shows the extent of the bus routes and connections throughout the study area.
- 8.2.2 Sunderland city centre, Sunderland central, and parts of the northern and southern sub-areas, are the most built-up urban areas within the study extents. The city centre and surrounding urban fringes provide multiple opportunities for public transport interchange and numerous bus services operate from within and through the city centre. Most of the public transport services originate and are destined for Sunderland Interchange near Park Lane. The main bus operators in the city centre, central, northern, and southern sub-areas are Go North East, Stagecoach, and Arriva.
- 8.2.3 In comparison, the bus service coverage in the Washington and Coalfield sub-areas is not as comprehensive as the city centre, central, north, and south sub-areas. The existing bus services in Washington and Coalfield are not frequent services and operate mostly during peak periods. The sub-area with the weakest bus service provision is Washington.
- 8.2.4 Bus services going to/from Washington connect Sunderland with Consett (Go North East 78 and 78A) and Stanley (Go North East 8). An existing bus service going to the IAMP site from Sunderland travels through the central part of Washington (Go North East 56).
- 8.2.5 In relation to the Coalfield, the accessibility to public transport is high in relation to many of the Local Plan sites. However, the bus services do not provide regular and frequent hourly services and the Coalfield sub-area has been identified as having the lowest public transport accessibility. The Coalfield area is connected with Heworth, Durham, and South Shields by Go North East services, and with Peterlee and Houghton Health Centre by Go North East and Station Taxi services.
- 8.2.6 Table 24 provides a summary of the bus services and the average weekday hourly frequency.

Table 24 Bus Services & Frequencies in the Study Area

Service	Route	Operator	Hourly Peak Frequency
S3	Hylton Castel – Sunderland - Farrington	Stagecoach	6
S4	Downhill – Sunderland – Barnes Park – Doxford Park	Stagecoach	6
S16	Red House Estate – Sunderland – Sunderland Royal Hospital –	Stagecoach	6
S20	Pennywell - Sunderland	Stagecoach	6
S23	Dene Estate – Sunderland – Thorney Close	Stagecoach	6
S10	Pennywell – Sunderland – Grangetown	Stagecoach	4
S11	Pennywell – Sunderland – Grangetown	Stagecoach	4
S12	Town End Farm – Sunderlan – Doxford Park	Stagecoach	3
S13	Town End Farm – Sunderlan – Doxford Park	Stagecoach	3
SE1	South Shields – Whitburn - Sunderland	Stagecoach	3
SE6	South Shields – Whitburn - Sunderland	Stagecoach	3
SE2	South Shields – Whitburn - Sunderland	Stagecoach	3
S18	South Shields – Chichester – Brockley Whins	Stagecoach	2
SX1	Sunderland – Doxford International	Stagecoach	3
GNE2	Vicarage Farm Est – Sunderland – Barnwell/Harraton - Washington	GoNorthEast	2
GNE2A	Vicarage Farm Est – Sunderland – Barnwell/Harraton - Washington	GoNorthEast	2
GNE8A	Sunderland - Stanley	GoNorthEast	0
GNE78	Sunderland – Chester-le-Street – Stanley - Consett	GoNorthEast	2
GNE78A	Sunderland – Chester-le-Street – Stanley - Consett	GoNorthEast	2
GNE8	Sunderland - Stanley	GoNorthEast	2
GNE9	North Shields - Sunderland	GoNorthEast	3
GNE20A	South Shields – Sunderland – Houghton-le-Spring - Durham	GoNorthEast	3
GNEX20	South Shields – Sunderland – Houghton-le-Spring - Durham	GoNorthEast	3
GNE33	Doxford International/Doxford Park Shops - Sunderland	GoNorthEast	3
GNE20	South Shields – Sunderland – Houghton-le-Spring - Durham	GoNorthEast	3
GNE35A	Heworth – Sunderland – Low Moorsley/Rainton Bridge	GoNorthEast	2
GNE35	Heworth – Sunderland – Low Moorsley/Rainton Bridge	GoNorthEast	2
GNE36	Chester-le-Street - Castletown	GoNorthEast	2
GNE38	Sunderland Tunstall Bank Estate	GoNorthEast	1
GNE38C	Sunderland Tunstall Bank Estate	GoNorthEast	1
GNE39	Pennywell - Sunderland - Doxford International	GoNorthEast	2
GNE238	Sunderland - Barnwell	GoNorthEast	1
GNE39A	Pennywell - Sunderland - Doxford International	GoNorthEast	2
GNEN56	Newcastle - Springwell - Concord - Sunder	GoNorthEa	nights
GNE56	Newcastle - Springwell - Concord - Sunderland	GoNorthEast	4
GNE60	Sunderland - Ryhope - Seaham/Parkside	GoNorthEast	6
GNE61	Sunderland - Ryhope - Seaham/Parkside	GoNorthEast	6
GNEX5	Sunderland - Ryhope - Seaham/Parkside	GoNorthEast	2
GNEX6	Sunderland - Seaham - Peterlee - Hartlepool	GoNorthEast	1
GNEX7	Sunderland - Seaham - Peterlee	GoNorthEast	1
GNEX36	Newcastle - Boldon - Sunderland	GoNorthEast	1
A22	Sunderland – Pewtelee - Durham	Arriva	2
A23	Hartlepool - Sunderland	Arriva	2
AX21	Darlington - Sunderland	Arriva	1
AED2	Houghton-le-Spring - Peterlee	Arriva	1
TB20	Houghton Health Centre – Dairy Lane - Houghton Health Centre	Station Taxis	3



8.3 Public Transport Accessibility Assessment Framework

- 8.3.1 For reference for this section of the report, the 124 SHLAA sites and 15 Housing Release sites for the Sunderland Local Plan are shown in Appendix A.
- 8.3.2 The purpose of assessing the public transport accessibility of the Local Plan sites is to determine the existing public transport connectivity available surrounding the site locations. The sites deemed to have low accessibility would require the most public transport improvements to raise the level of accessibility.
- 8.3.3 The assessment framework developed for grading public transport accessibility for each Local Plan site considers three factors; Metro accessibility, bus accessibility, and bus frequencies/services, which are summarised in Table 25. This criteria was developed by Capita in support of the transportation evidence developed for another Local Authority as part of their emerging Local Plan.

Metro Acce	ess	Bus Stop Ac	cess	Bus Service Frequency						
Distance	Access	Distance	Service Levels	Provision						
Within 500m	High	Within 400m	High	Multiple services with 15 minute frequency	High					
500m – 1200m	Medium	400m – 800m	Medium	Multiple services with 30 minute frequency	Medium					
More than 1200m	Low	More than 800m	Low	Single service with 30 minute frequency or less	Low					

Table 25 Public Transport Accessibility Grading Criteria

8.4 Ranked Public Transport Accessibility for Local Plan Sites

- 8.4.1 Using the grading criteria from the assessment framework, each Local Plan residential site has been ranked in terms of the existing level of public transport accessibility. The full grading results for each site is shown in Appendix I, where the sites identified as having high accessibility are shaded in green, medium accessibility shaded in orange, and low accessibility shaded in red.
- 8.4.2 With consideration to all three of the public transport accessibility grading factors, 35% of the residential sites have been ranked as having high public transport accessibility, 35% have been ranked as having medium public transport accessibility, and 30% have been ranked as having low public transport accessibility.
- 8.4.3 The sites with high public transport accessibility tend to be located within the vicinity of Sunderland city centre, where bus services and routes begin/terminate and provide interchange with light and heavy rail services at various Metro stations and Sunderland station.
- 8.4.4 The sites with low public transport accessibility tend to be situated within the Coalfield areas where there are no Metro stations and there are very few bus services that provide moderate hourly frequencies. Almost half of the sites that have been rated as having low public transport accessibility are located within the Coalfield sub-area.
- 8.4.5 Table 26 below summarises the volume of sites found within each of the Local Plan sub-areas and the grading of public transport accessibility.

Sub-area	Number of Sites: Low Access	Number of Sites: Medium Access	Number of Sites: High Access
Coalfield	21	16	10
Sunderland Central	0	0	13
Sunderland North	4	5	8
Sunderland South	7	15	15
Washington	10	13	3
Sum	42	49	49

Table 26 Public Transport Accessibility Grading Volumes for the Local Plan Sub-areas

8.5 Public Transport Accessibility Grading Criteria

- 8.5.1 Of the three grading factors used in the public transport accessibility assessment framework, the weakest public transport access is for Metro with only 15% of the residential sites having high or medium access to a Metro station within acceptable distances. Sites with high or medium accessibility to a Metro station are located within Sunderland central, north, and the northern section of Sunderland south where the Metro line operates.
- 8.5.2 85% of the residential sites have low Metro accessibility, which given the physical location of the Metro system and the stations along the southern bank of the River Wear, this can be expected. The Metro system is not expansive in Sunderland and therefore, the catchment for its use will be limited to the specific locations. The stations could possibly form part of multi-modal journeys given the transport facilities that are provided at the stations.
- 8.5.3 The construction of new Metro stations adjacent to the larger sites would improve Metro accessibility for the key Local Plan sites. But given the physical constraints associated with the existing Metro system, existing access from the emerging Local Plan sites is generally low.
- 8.5.4 All sites in Coalfield and Washington have low Metro accessibility given that the Metro does not operate in these locations. The Metro accessibility for each of the Sunderland sub-areas is shown in Table 27, and the overall grading of Metro accessibility is shown in Figure 4 below.

Sub-area	Number of Sites: Low Access	Number of Sites: Medium Access	Number of Sites: High Access
Coalfield	47	0	0
Sunderland Central	1	1	11
Sunderland North	14	1	2
Sunderland South	31	2	4
Washington	26	0	0
Sum	105	4	17

Table 27 Metro Accessibility by Sub-area



Figure 4 Metro Accessibility for Residential Sites

- 8.5.5 Of the three grading factors used in the accessibility assessment framework, the strongest public transport access is for bus accessibility with 75% of the residential sites having high levels of access to bus services within acceptable distances. Sites with high bus accessibility are located in the Sunderland central and south sub-areas.
- 8.5.6 Approximately 10% of the residential sites would benefit from improvements to bus services to enhance bus accessibility. These sites are located in the Coalfield, Sunderland south, north, and Washington sub-areas.
- 8.5.7 The overall grading of bus stop accessibility is shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5 Bus Stop Accessibility for Residential Sites

- 8.5.8 In relation to the third grading factor used in the public transport accessibility; bus frequencies and provision, even though 75% of the residential sites have high levels of access to bus services within acceptable distances, for a number of these sites the bus services do not necessarily provide frequent hourly services.
- 8.5.9 Only 39% of the residential sites have high bus service frequencies even though accessibility to the bus stops and the services is deemed high. These sites are located mainly in the Sunderland central and south sub-areas.
- 8.5.10 31% of the residential sites have low bus service frequencies, which is defined as two or less services per hour serving the bus stops within the vicinity of the sites. These sites are located within the Coalfield, Washington and Sunderland south sub-areas.
- 8.5.11 The overall grading of bus service frequency is shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6 Bus Service Frequency for Residential Sites



8.6 Potential Public Transport Accessibility Improvements

- 8.6.1 The public transport accessibility assessment framework and corresponding grading criteria have been used to determine the existing level of public transport connectivity at each of the identified residential sites.
- 8.6.2 The future traffic flows extrapolated from the strategic SATURN model provided volumes of origin and destination demand likely to be generated by travel between the Local Plan residential and employment sites. The modelling data also provide travel routes and distributional data for the travel demand generated by the sites.
- 8.6.3 A combination of the accessibility assessment results and the anticipated SATURN model travel demand, has enabled an analysis of where there may be gaps in public transport accessibility and provision of services for travel between the Local Plan residential and employment sites. The analysis indicates that there are only a small number of gaps in the existing public transport accessibility accessibility across Sunderland and the various sub-areas.
- 8.6.4 Recommended public transport connections linking the Local Plan sites where travel demand has been forecasted to be high and overall public transport accessibility is deemed low, have been developed and are shown in Appendix J. These suggestions include a combination of making changes to existing public transport connections and bus routes, and providing new bus services in those locations where connections do not exist to serve the Local Plan sites.
- 8.6.5 The cluster of residential sites numbered 62, 477, 35, and 81 which are located in the Sunderland south sub-area will generate the highest traffic demand from the residential sites included within this assessment. There is very little public transport accessibility between these residential sites (largest residential demand) and the largest employment site and employment attractor, namely IAMP.
- 8.6.6 Based upon this analysis, the following public transport accessibility enhancements would improve public transport connectivity between the largest residential and employment sites being delivered as part of the Local Plan (Appendix J):
 - Connection 1: connecting Local Plan sites located in the northern part of Washington (sites 56, 538, 312, 258, 628, 632, 543, 252) with the cluster of employment sites (62, 64, 66 and 70) around the industrial parks along A1231, as well as Sunderland north sub-area and Sunderland city centre;
 - Connection 2: connecting Sunderland city centre with the employment sites located on the Port and Hendon Industrial Estate, connecting to the large residential sites located on Burdon Lane in the Sunderland south sub-area, and connecting with Rainton Bridge North Industrial Estate;
 - Connection 3: linking Sunderland city centre with the cluster of residential sites (sites 417, 115, 468 etc) located in the Coalfield sub-area which have the lowest level of public transport accessibility;
 - Connection 4: connecting the residential sites situated south of IAMP in Washington to the IAMP employment site and the employment sites at the industrial parks around the A1231;
 - Connection 5: linking Sunderland city centre and the Sunderland north sub-area with the residential sites in south-west Washington. This connection includes passing through Turbine Business Park;
 - Connection 6: connecting Sunderland city centre with Sunderland south sub-area and with Rainton Bridge North Industrial Estate, via Burton Way, and the western sites in the Coalfield sub-area;



- Connection 7: connecting the major residential sites surrounding Burdon Lane in the Sunderland south sub-area with the major IAMP employment site in Washington, via the A19; and
- Connection 8: an alternative to connection 7 where the route takes an alternative path through the residential sites around Burdon Lane.

9. Cycling & Walking Accessibility

9.1 Introduction

- 9.1.1 As part of the smarter choices assessment, existing walking and cycling accessibility within the Sunderland study area has been examined in relation to some of the larger residential sites. Similarly to the public transport connectivity review, an accessibility assessment framework has been adopted to provide an indication of the active travel accessibility surrounding the propsoed residential sites.
- 9.1.2 Whithin Sunderland, there are various means by which people can make sustainable journeys. The urban environment offers various infrastructure types for use by cyclists, including dedicated cycle paths/lanes, shared use paths/surfaces, as well as advisory cycle routes on local roads deemed suitable for cycling. The national cycling network (NCN) also travels through Sunderland and provides connections to the wider North East region. Some sections of the NCN overlaps with the local cycling routes in Sunderland.
- 9.1.3 Most, if not all, urban environments have pedestrian/walking infrastructure. Footways, footpaths, street lighting, pedestrian crossings (controlled and uncontrolled), flush kerbs/tactile paving, and shared use paths are all examples of the infrastructure evident in the developed areas in Sunderland, including all of the sub-areas identified in this assessment.

9.2 Cycling & Walking Acceptable Distances

- 9.2.1 There are limits to the distances generally considered acceptable for utility cycling and walking. LTN 1/04 - Policy, Planning and Design for Walking and Cycling (Department for Transport) states that 'the mean average length for walking journeys is approximately 1 km (0.6 miles) and for cycling, it is 4km (2.4 miles), although journeys of up to three times these distances are not uncommon for regular commuters'.
- 9.2.2 The distances people are prepared to walk or cycle depend on their fitness and physical ability, journey purpose, settlement size, and walking/cycling conditions. The suggested acceptable maximum walking distance for commuter, school, and leisure trips is 2km (Guidelines for Providing for Journeys on Foot (CIHT, 2000), and 5km suggested as the maximum acceptable cycling distance.

9.3 Existing Cycling & Walking Network

- 9.3.1 Sunderland is conducive to encouraging walking and cycling due to its relatively flat topography with only slight height differential between sea / river level and inland, with no steep hills throughout the walking environment. Sunderland contains a number of small urban areas which provide accessible services within short walking and cycling distances.
- 9.3.2 A number of the NCN and RCN routes in the North East region travel through Sunderland and the transportation study extents. The majority of the Local Plan sites are not located where there is easy access to these routes. The NCN and RCN routes that are within the study area include the following:
 - NCN, Route 7: this route begins at the mouth of the River Wear and travels westbound along the north banks of the Wear in Sunderland central and north sub-areas. The route continues westbound into the Washington sub-area loosely following the path of



the River Wear;

- NCN, Route 1: this route travels along the coastline through Seaburn and Roker and into the mouth of the River Wear on the northern banks. The cycle route crosses the Wearmouth Bridge and connects to The Bridges shopping centre in the Sunderland central sub-area. The cycle route travels into the Sunderland south sub-area along A690 Durham road, and south of Plains Farm, the cycle route travels east through Tunstall and to Ryhope on the coastline; and
- NCN, Route 70: this route travels along the coastline through Seaburn and Roker and the mouth of the River Wear on the northern banks. The cycle route crosses the Wearmouth Bridge and connects to The Bridges shopping centre in the Sunderland central sub-area. The cycle route travels into the Sunderland south sub-area along A690 Durham road, and south of Plains Farm, the route travels south west to Farringdon and into the Coalfield sub-area to Hetton-le-Hole.
- 9.3.3 South of the River Wear, a mainly traffic free cycle path travels through the Sunderland central and south sub-areas, following the Metro line from University Metro station to South Hylton Metro station. This cycle route then connects to a range of cycle paths/routes through the Sunderland south, Washington, and Coalfield sub-areas.
- 9.3.4 The Sunderland central sub-area and the Sunderland north and south sub-areas adjacent to central benefit from the most comprehensive cycling connections and infrastructure given the extensive development in these urban areas. The three NCNs in Sunderland connect in Sunderland city centre and provide local and regional cycle connectivity to various locations.
- 9.3.5 The Coalfield and Sunderland south sub-areas have the least comprehensive cycle connectivity coverage, particularly in the central section of Coalfield where the majority of the cycle paths are advisory and there is very limited dedicated local cycling infrastructure such as cycle lanes (on-road and off-road). NCN 70 which runs through the Coalfield sub-area provides long-distance cycle connectivity, likely to be leisure cycling, rather than providing cycle accessibility between residential and employment settlements.
- 9.3.6 In relation to the largest employment site (IAMP) and the largest cluster of residential developments (around Burdon Lane, Sunderland south sub-area) being delivered as part of the Local Plan, the existing cycle connectivity and accessibility surrounding these locations includes the following:
 - IAMP employment site: a traffic-free route surrounds the IAMP site, which travels along the A1290, Cherry Blossom Way, off-road to the west of the Nissan site, and provides immediate cycle connectivity to various off-road and on-road cycle paths. These include cycle accessibility through Washington via traffic free routes and NCN 7, direct cycle access to the east into the Sunderland north sub-area along the traffic free paths running alongside Washington Road and via NCN 7, which also provides cycle connectivity into Sunderland central and Sunderland south sub-areas via the existing cycle infrastructure. The proposed Local Plan residential sites located within these localities appear to be accessible via existing cycling infrastructure, however, some of the distances between the sites would indicate that the use of the infrastructure would be dependent upon the experience of cyclists; and
 - Burdon Lane cluster of residential sites: NCN 70 accessible via Hangmans Lane in the west of Burdon Lane, provides a traffic-free route south into the Coalfield sub-area, as well as north into the Sunderland south sub-area and Sunderland city centre. These routes represent long-distance cycle journeys. Although there is a very limited volume of employment sites that will be located within acceptable cycling distances from this cluster of residential sites.



9.4 Cycling & Walking Accessibility Assessment Framework

- 9.4.1 For reference for this section of the report, the proposed 124 SHLAA sites and 15 Housing Release sites for the Sunderland Local Plan are shown in Appendix A.
- 9.4.2 The purpose of reviewing the cycling and walking accessibility of the residential sites is to determine the existing sustainable connectivity available surrounding the site locations. The sites deemed to have low accessibility would potentially require new provision of cycling/walking infrastructure to raise the level of accessibility.
- 9.4.3 The grading criteria for walking and cycling accessibility, based upon professional judgement, is summarised in Table 28. This was developed for the evidence base for another Local Authority in support of their emerging Local Plan.

waiking & Cycling Accessibility Grading												
High Accessibility	Medium Accessibility	Low Accessibility										
Close to strategic cycle/walking network with good access to key destinations	Reasonable distance linking to key destinations	Requires additional information to connect strategic cycle/prow network										

Table 28 Cycling & Walking Accessibility Grading Criteria

9.5 Ranked Cycle & Walking Accessibility for the Local Plan Sites

- 9.5.1 Using this grading criteria, each residential site has been graded in terms of the existing level of cycling and walking accessibility using the criteria shown in Table 28. The full grading results for each site are shown in Appendix L.
- 9.5.2 With consideration to the walking and cycling grading criteria, 50% of the residential sites are in locations with high cycling accessibility and about 20% with high walking connectivity. 30% have been rated as having medium cycling and walking accessibility. 20% have been rated as having low cycling accessibility and 50% rated as having low walking accessibility.
- 9.5.3 Analysis of the site grading results demonstrates that the most comprehensive and accessible cycling/walking connectivity is in the Sunderland central sub-area (and the borders with Sunderland north and south sub-areas) where all sites have high cycling and walking accessibility. The Washington and Sunderland north sub-areas have been graded as having medium accessibility and the Coalfield sub-area has been ranked as having low accessibility, where half of the residential sites in the Coalfield have low cycling accessibility.
- 9.5.4 Table 29 below summarises the volume of sites found within each of the Local Plan sub-areas and the grading of cycling accessibility.

Sub-area	Low Accessibility	Medium Accessibility	High Accessibility
Coalfield	22	9	16
Sunderland Central	0	0	13
Sunderland North	1	4	12
Sunderland South	2	6	28
Washington	2	15	10
Sum	27	34	79

Table 29 Cycling Accessibility Grading Volumes for the Local Plan Sub-areas



9.6 Potential Accessibility Improvements

- 9.6.1 All residential sites will be constructed where connections to existing footpaths will be provided for pedestrians entering/exiting the sites, making all sites permeable to surrounding walking infrastructure.
- 9.6.2 Given the small scale nature of walking; the fact that people can walk wherever they choose to, and the nature of built up environments in Sunderland which provide the necessary infrastructure to enable walking journeys, this transportation assessment has not identified any significant gaps in walking accessibility surrounding the residential and employment sites.
- 9.6.3 Walking distances between the residential sites and nearby bus stops/public transport services varies approximately between 200m and 800m+. However, the majority of the largest sites are located where public transport services operate on nearby carriageways.
- 9.6.4 The public transport accessibility plan shown in Appendix J illustrates recommended improvements to public transport provision where additional/extension to existing services would deliver improved accessibility between the residential sites where connectivity is currently limited/none existent.
- 9.6.5 In relation to cycling accessibility, the area within the Sunderland extents where connectivity is the weakest is the central part of the Coalfield sub-area, where the cycle network connections are sparse. Advisory cycle routes are common with a very small volume of traffic free cycle paths.
- 9.6.6 Within this area, a cluster of proposed employment sites including Dubmire and Rainton Bridge North Industrial Estates (sites 0, 78, 80, 81, 85, 87) are located within reasonable distances from the Local Plan residential sites (339, 112, 197, 540, 462, 637, 11, 74 etc.). The average distances between these sites is 3.5km-5km, which constitute acceptable cycling distances.
- 9.6.7 Within Washington, cycling accessibility mainly constitutes advisory cycle paths or paths (where people are advised to walk their bikes). NCN 7, however, provides a good level of cycling connectivity in Washington, and connects the IAMP site with Sunderland city centre.
- 9.6.8 Based upon this analysis, the transportation assessment suggests the following cycle accessibility enhancements for improved connectivity between the largest residential and employment sites, which are shown in Appendix M:
 - Connection 1: linking NCN 70 with the traffic free routes that provide access to Rainton Bridge North Industrial Estate;
 - Connection 2: linking the traffic free routes located at the east of A182 with traffic routes into Rainton Bridge North Industrial Estate via B1260;
 - Connection 3: linking some advisory cycle paths at the east of A19 with A183 along the B1286;
 - Connection 4: linking NCN 1 with NCN 70 via Burdon Lane;
 - Connection 5: linking NCN 1 to Sunderland city centre with a new cycle connection along the A1018 and via Hendon Industrial Estate;
 - Connection 6: linking NCN 7 with the traffic free cycle path east of A1 (eastern boundary of the borough) via A1231. This link would connect Nissan, Pattinson North and Stephenson Industrial Estate and provide direct cycle routes to the existing traffic free cycle paths located south of the IAMP site; and
 - Connection 7: linking the proposed connection 3 above with the advisory cycle paths on the east of A19 south of Market Place Industrial Estate.



- 9.6.9 At this stage in the Local Plan process, these connections have been suggested to bridge current gaps in cycle accessibility between the largest of the residential sites. Further detailed feasibility studies to inform the IDP to be undertaken by Highways/Traffic Engineers would be required to determine the appropriateness of providing on-road/off-road cycle paths and connections between the sites.
- 9.6.10 In relation to the largest of the sites which will generate a high footfall of movements, pedestrian crossings would be recommended as part of delivering a high standard of site permeability for sustainable transport and access.

10. Conclusions

10.1 Traffic Modelling & Traffic Impact

- 10.1.1 The transportation assessment has examined the traffic impacts of the strategic residential and employment sites proposed in the emerging Sunderland Local Plan. The study area included in the assessment is Sunderland, consisting of the Sunderland central, north, south, Washington, and Coalfield sub-areas. The sites examined have included 124 SHLAA sites, 15 additional Housing Release sites, and 66 employment sites spread across the sub-areas. The assessment also included the proposed IAMP development.
- 10.1.2 A methodical trip generation exercise has been undertaken to determine the anticipated vehicular trips likely to be generated by the Local Plan sites, calculated based upon the number of jobs and houses being delivered, as well as a comprehensive review of committed development trips and future traffic growth.
- 10.1.3 A strategic SATURN model of the study area has been developed from a model generated to assess the impacts of the SSTC scheme. The future year matrix development and future year traffic distribution has been supported by a settlement based gravity model which considers parts of the study area that were not contained within the SATURN simulation network.
- 10.1.4 The entire Local Plan period is broken down into four groups; phase 0 (0-5 years), phase 1 (6-10 years), phase 2 (11-15 years), and phase 3 (16 and 18 years). The traffic demand for these four periods have been assessed in the SATURN model for the weekday AM and PM peak periods to highlight the traffic impact of the Local Plan proposals on junctions in the modelled network.
- 10.1.5 70 junctions and 40 junctions in the AM peak are anticipated to experience capacity issues during phase 0 and phase 1 of the Local Plan respectively. 65 junctions and 40 junctions in the PM peak are anticipated to experience capacity issues during phase 0 and phase 1 of the Local Plan respectively. During the proceeding Local Plan phases, however, the volume of junctions that are forecasted to experience capacity issues reduces significantly, indicating that mitigation works will be required during the first 5 years of the Local Plan.
- 10.1.6 Of these junctions, 70+ can be expected to become oversaturated during both the AM and PM peaks. These junctions are located within the immediate vicinity of the largest employment site (IAMP) in Washington and those around the largest cluster of residential sites in the Sunderland South Growth Area around Burdon Lane. This is due to the large increase in traffic associated with the development of these sites. Consequently, the junctions along the A19 providing direct connectivity between these areas will experience an increase in traffic volumes and capacity pressures.
- 10.1.7 Various high level mitigation recommendations have been made for those junctions that are anticipated to become oversaturated during the Local Plan period. These remedial suggestions are indicative at best and will require further investigation and traffic modelling to determine the impact of them on junction performance.
- 10.1.8 There is a clear indication from the SATURN modelling that the highway network in the Coalfield sub-area will need to be upgraded to accommodate the additional traffic generated by the residential and employment sites in the Local Plan. Whilst major highway interventions are not currently programmed for implementation during the Local Plan period, it is evident that these will need to be brought forward if the sites identified in the Coalfield sub-area are to be delivered.



- 10.1.9 Furthermore, the suggested improvements and highway mitigations contained in this report would need to be tested in the SATURN model to determine the impacts of the mitigation at the specific junctions and the impacts on the wider highway network collectively. Individual modelling of specific complex junctions' may be required also which would provide a greater understanding of the effects of junction changes which may not be as obvious in the strategic SATURN model.
- 10.1.10 This further work will be required at this level of detail to inform the proposals for the IDP. On determination of the specific highway modifications required, and following traffic modelling to support these improvements, the costs for the improvements can be divided between the site developers where traffic is deemed to contribute to future capacity issues. The contribution costs should be calculated based upon the share of the impact of each Local Plan site (based upon the number of trips generated at each junction), and the cost share should be applied pro rata for each site.

10.2 Smarter Choices & Sustainable Travel

- 10.2.1 The transportation assessment has assessed the public transport accessibility of the Local Plan sites to determine the existing public transport connectivity available surrounding the site locations. The grading criteria used included Metro accessibility, bus accessibility, and bus services/frequencies.
- 10.2.2 35% of the Local Plan sites have been ranked as having high public transport accessibility, 35% have been ranked as having medium public transport accessibility, and 30% have been ranked as having low public transport accessibility. The sites with high accessibility tend to be located within the vicinity of Sunderland city centre and sites with low accessibility tend to be situated within the Coalfield sub-area.
- 10.2.3 Eight public transport improvements have been suggested to provide bus links between the Local Plan residential and employment sites where significant movements are anticipated to occur, and where low public accessibility has been determined. These are a combination of extensions to existing bus services and the provision of new services linking Sunderland city centre with the key residential sites and the largest employment sites, the distribution for which has been determine by the strategic SATURN model outputs.
- 10.2.4 The transportation assessment has reviewed the cycling and walking accessibility of the Local Plan sites to establish the existing sustainable connectivity and infrastructure available surrounding the site locations. 50% of the Local Plan sites are proposed in locations with high cycling accessibility, 20% with high walking accessibility, and 30% have been rated as having medium cycling and walking accessibility. 20% have been rated as having low cycling accessibility and 50% have been rated as having low walking accessibility.
- 10.2.5 The most comprehensive and accessible cycling/walking connectivity has been found to be in the Sunderland central sub-area. The Coalfield sub-area has been ranked as having low sustainable accessibility, where half of the Local Plan sites within this area have been ranked as having low cycling accessibility.
- 10.2.6 Seven cycling accessibility improvements have been suggested to provide improved sustainable transport connections between the Local Plan residential and employment sites where significant movements are anticipated to occur, and where cycling distances are deemed acceptable for journeys by bicycle.

Со

Capita Property and Infrastructure Ltd North Tyneside Council The Quadrant The Silverlink North Cobalt Business Park North Tyneside NE27 0BY

Appendix A SHLAA & Housing Release Sites







The Quadrant, The Silverlink North, Cobalt Business Park, North Tyneside NE27 0BY - 0191 643 4819 www.capitaproperty.co.uk Capita Property and Infrastructure Ltd.

Appendix B Employment Sites





EMPLOYMENT SITES



Commercial in Confidence

Appendix C Local Plan Residential & Employment Sites Trip Generation

SHLAA_R ef_No	Local_ref_1	Site_Name Ownership	Monitoring Delivery Sub Area	y PDL_or_gre enfield	Settlemen (t Break	Green_Be Si lt?	to avail Descent Discussing Ctati Discussing Am	n_On_Ava On_Achievab	New Saturn Census Code Zones	MSOA	Car% Initial_LA_Yield_As	PT/Walking/C ycling	Reduction factor	AM rate PM rate	le_Withi	ps PM t OB IB	DeliveraAM tripsble_WitAM trips0Bhin_6_to _10_YeaIB	PM trips IB O	Deliver AM tr able_W ithin_1 1_to_1 IB	ips PM trips OB IB OB	e vr	PM trips IB OB A	Student Accomodation	otal ses 17 ears (self contained units)
54 16 56 16	5/00003/SHLAA 5/00103/SHLAA		Sunderland South Sunderland South Washington	PDL PDL PDL	FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE	1.27 90 % net d Permitted – under cons 09/01165/VAF Suitable A 0.19 100% net d Permitted – not started 16/00509/FUF Suitable A 2.92 75% net de Lapsed permission 06/03786/FUF Suitable A	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	892 E00044370 897 E00044328 749 E00045041	E02001814 E02001811 E02001797	67% 19 44% 9 64% 66	HLL HLL HLL	6% (6% (0.228 0.542 0.520 0.324 0.167 0.424 0.438 0.240 0.218 0.524 0.506 0.310	0	10 10 4 4 0 0	rs 10 6 4 10 2 2 4 0 66 14 35	10 4 33	5 Year 6 4 2 2 20 14	10 10 6 4 4 2 35 33 20	17 4 10 2 4 14 35	10 6 4 2 33 20	FALSE FALSE FALSE	19FALSE9FALSE66FALSE
62 16 63 16	5/00044/SHLAA 5/00045/SHLAA	Vaux Brewery (site of), Gill Bridge Avenue Council/HCA,	Coalfield Sunderland South Sunderland Central Sunderland South	PDL Mixed (50/50 PDL PDL	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	21.0175% net de Permitted – under cons09/02328/REN SuitableA30.3075% net de No planning statusSuitableA5.8675% net de Permitted – not started15/02557/HY4SuitableA1.3190 % net de Permitted - not started15/02375/FULSuitableA	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	879 E00044680 856 E00170229 885 E00044181 902 E00044177	E02001820 E02001818 E02001803 E02001801	72% 359 70% 800 30% 201 50% 43	LMM MMH HHH MHH	4% (10% (0.2550.5970.5670.3620.2430.5720.5460.3450.1310.3460.3810.1890.1820.4560.4600.261	150 38 0 0 20 3 0 0	90 85 0 0 7 8 0 0	54 33 47 109 0 250 61 143 4 150 22 59 0 43 8 20	104 136 65 20	66 47 86 250 121 32 31 26 11 8 8	109 104 66 286 273 173 70 77 38 20 20 11	47 109 100 146 343 26 70 8 20	104 66 327 207 77 38 20 11	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	183 FALSE 600 FALSE 201 FALSE 43 FALSE
78 16 79 16	5/00049/SHLAA	Murton Lane, Easington LanePrivateFarringdon Row Phase 2-4CouncilHylton Lane/Blaydon Ave, Hylton LaneGentooChapelgarth sitePrivate	Coalfield Sunderland Central Sunderland North Sunderland South	Greenfield Mixed (G30 B Greenfield Greenfield	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE	14.0875% net de Permitted – under cons06/02209/FULSuitableA4.5975% net de No planning statusSuitableA2.5175% net de No planning statusSuitableA35.5975% net de Permitted – not startedSuitableA	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	882 E00044418 689 E00044183 746 E00044882 898 E00044505	E02001826 E02001803 E02001793 E02001821	57% 387 41% 69 50% 66 71% 750	HLH HMH HLL LMH	8% (6% (0.1960.4830.4750.2800.1580.4020.4200.2260.1800.4510.4550.2580.2510.5910.5620.357		61 60 0 0 0 0 41 39	35 25 61 0 0 0 0 66 12 30 25 250 80 189	60 0 30 180 1	35 25 0 69 11 17 12 14	61 60 35 28 29 16 30 30 17 337 320 204	25 61 11 28 12 30 100 168 396	60 35 29 16 30 17 376 239	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	126FALSE69FALSE66FALSE670FALSE
85 16 86 16 91 16	5/00006/SHLAA 5/00183/SHLAA 5/00150/SHLAA		Sunderland South Coalfield Sunderland North Sunderland South	PDL PDL PDL Mixed (75/29	FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE	31.6575% net de No planning statusSuitable1.2790% net de No planning statusSuitable1.8090% net de No planning statusSuitable6.1375% net de No planning statusSuitable	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	673 E00044465 818 E00044419 730 E00044796 828 E00044817	E02001802 E02001826 E02001795 E02001813	42% 700 51% 75 53% 50 44% 138	MHM MLM HHH	3% (10% (0.165 0.420 0.437 0.237 0.188 0.470 0.473 0.270 0.179 0.445 0.444 0.256 0.160 0.406 0.419 0.230	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 150 25 63 0 75 14 35 0 50 9 22 0 138 22 56	66 35 22 58	35 150 49 20 14 13 9 32 22	126 131 71 35 35 20 22 22 13 56 58 32	80 63 160 14 35 9 22 22 56	166 90 35 20 22 13 58 32	FALSE FALSE FALSE	380 FALSE 75 FALSE 50 FALSE 138 FALSE
98 16 100 16 101 16	5/00104/SHLAA 5/00277/SHLAA 5/00152/SHLAA	Ayton Village Primary School, Dunlin Drive, AytonCouncilEthel Ave / Brewer Terrace, Ryhope VillageGentooDownhill Phase 1+2, DownhillGentooCarley Hill School, Emsworth RoadCouncil	Washington Sunderland South Sunderland North Sunderland North	PDL PDL Mixed (50/50	FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE	1.58 90 % net de No planning status Suitable A 4.92 75% net de Permitted - under const 12/02259/FUL Suitable A 4.70 75% net de Permitted - not started 15/00123/FUL Suitable A 4.20 75% net de Pre-App Suitable A	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	667 E00044984 905 E00170247 664 E00044896 732 E00044237	E02001810 E02001818 E02001793	61% 43 59% 143 44% 88 41% 110	MLH HMH HMM	3% (8% (7% (0.214 0.522 0.508 0.306 0.197 0.483 0.473 0.281 0.166 0.420 0.433 0.238 0.164 0.420 0.438 0.236	0 4 1 88 15	0 0 2 2 37 38	0 43 9 22 1 1 2 21 15 37	22 2 38	13 9 1 1 21 15 26 18	33 32 22 22 13 2 2 1 37 38 21	9 22 1 2 15 37	22 13 2 1 38 21	FALSE FALSE FALSE	43 FALSE 4 FALSE 88 FALSE
106 16 107 16	5/00278/SHLAA 5/00010/SHLAA 5/00105/SHLAA	High Ford Estate, Flodden RoadGleeson HomePhases 2-6, West PennywellGentooGlebe ParkwayGentoo	Sunderland South Sunderland South Washington	PDL PDL PDL	FALSE FALSE FALSE		8.3775% net de Permitted – under cons11/01794/FULSuitableA16.7275% net de No planning statusSuitableA1.6490 % net de Permitted - not startedSuitableA	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	889 E00170243 802 E00044333 618 E00044942	E02001794 E02001802 E02001811 E02001809	56% 285 44% 500 48% 44	HMH HMM HHM	8% (7% (9% (0.1890.4680.4620.2710.1660.4200.4330.2380.1710.4280.4350.244	40 7 175 33 0 20 3	17 18 82 81 0 0 9 9 2 2	3 70 18 40 47 54 43 107 0 150 25 63 5 24 8 19	48 106 65 19	20 18 62 43 36 150 11 8	40 48 20 107 106 62 126 130 71 19 19 11	18 40 43 107 60 60 151 8 19	48 20 106 62 156 86 19 11	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	110 FALSE 229 FALSE 360 FALSE 44 FALSE
113 115 16	5/00186/SHLAA 5/00302/SHLAA	Land at Neil Street and the Bat CaveGentooSite of former Broomhill Estate.GentooNew Herrington Workingmen's Club, Langley Street, Houghton-le-Spring, IHolmelands, land adjacent to - Hawthorn StreetGentoo	Coalfield	PDL Mixed (G15 F PDL	FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE	1.61Permitted – under cons11/00360/FULSuitableA3.8975% net dePermitted – not started12/03140/FULSuitableA1.59 </td <td>Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable</td> <td>882 E00044400 652 E00170241 407 E00044661 880 E00170240</td> <td>E02001826 E02001825 E02001822 E02001823</td> <td>72% 26 62% 148 64% 63% 147</td> <td>HLH HHH MLL LLM</td> <td>10% (3% (</td> <td>0.2440.5730.5440.3470.2020.4900.4760.2880.2250.5410.5220.3200.2260.5450.5280.322</td> <td>4 1 75 15 0 110 25</td> <td>2 2 37 36 0 0 60 58</td> <td>1 1 2 22 73 30 73 0 7 2 4 35 37 33 80</td> <td>2 70 4 78</td> <td>1 1 43 30 2 10 47 33</td> <td>2 2 1 73 70 43 9 9 5 80 78 47</td> <td>1 2 30 73 0 4 9 33 80</td> <td>2 1 70 43 9 5 78 47</td> <td>FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE</td> <td>4 FALSE 148 FALSE 17 FALSE 147 FALSE</td>	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	882 E00044400 652 E00170241 407 E00044661 880 E00170240	E02001826 E02001825 E02001822 E02001823	72% 26 62% 148 64% 63% 147	HLH HHH MLL LLM	10% (3% (0.2440.5730.5440.3470.2020.4900.4760.2880.2250.5410.5220.3200.2260.5450.5280.322	4 1 75 15 0 110 25	2 2 37 36 0 0 60 58	1 1 2 22 73 30 73 0 7 2 4 35 37 33 80	2 70 4 78	1 1 43 30 2 10 47 33	2 2 1 73 70 43 9 9 5 80 78 47	1 2 30 73 0 4 9 33 80	2 1 70 43 9 5 78 47	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	4 FALSE 148 FALSE 17 FALSE 147 FALSE
120 16 128 16 138 16	5/00293/SHLAA 5/00188/SHLAA 5/00192/SHLAA	Black Boy Road land at (site A)Private YuilleNorth Road, land atPrivate	Coalfield	PDL PDL Greenfield Greenfield	FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	0.7890 % net de Permitted – under cons15/01804/FU4SuitableA0.6290% net de Permitted – under cons14/01525/LP4SuitableA4.7875% net de No planning statusSuitableA18.7075% net de Outline application (live11/02362/OUSuitableA	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	887 E00044355 663 E00044797 627 E00044449 653 E00044421	E02001812 E02001795 E02001823 E02001825	60% 8 44% 17 73% 140 66% 300	HHM HHH HML MLH	10% (7% (3% (0.1980.4840.4720.2830.1600.4060.4190.0000.2450.5730.5430.3480.2320.5530.5320.330	0	4 4 7 7 0 0 25 24	2 2 4 0 3 7 0 140 34 80 15 125 39 94	4 7 76 90	2 2 0 3 49 34 56 100 63	4 4 2 7 7 0 80 76 49 149 144 89	2 4 3 7 34 80 30 69 166	4 2 7 0 76 49 160 99	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	8 FALSE 17 TRUE 140 FALSE 300 FALSE
146 16 147 16 149 16	5/00201/SHLAA 5/00011/SHLAA 5/00202/SHLAA	Former Chilton Moor Cricket Club, Black Boy RoadPrivateEasington Lane Primary School Playing Fields, School ViewCouncilSite of Greenway House, NooksideCouncilAster Terrace, land at back ofCouncil/Churge	Coalfield Coalfield Sunderland South Coalfield	Mixed (G90 E PDL PDL Greenfield	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	1.2990% net de Outline application (live15/00691/FULSuitableA1.6890% net de No planning statusSuitableA1.8690% net de Full application (live)16/01050/FU4SuitableA1.5890% net de No planning status15/00249/MNSuitableA	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	881 E00044445 818 E00044420 903 E00044740 878 E00044677	E02001823 E02001826 E02001804 E02001822	62% 45 65% 75 65% 77 79% 80	HLL LLM LMM LLM	1% (2% (1% (0.211 0.512 0.497 0.301 0.233 0.558 0.538 0.332 0.230 0.553 0.533 0.328 0.282 0.648 0.607 0.000	45 10 0 0 30 7 0 0	23 22 0 0 17 16 0 0	14 10 23 0 75 17 42 10 47 18 43 0 80 23 52	22 40 41 49	14 10 25 17 25 18 0 23	23 22 14 42 40 25 43 41 25 52 49 0	10 23 17 42 18 43 23 52	22 14 40 25 41 25 49 0	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	45 FALSE 75 FALSE 77 FALSE 80 TRUE
159 16 160 16	5/00012/SHLAA 5/0013/SHLAA	Land adjacent Newbottle Primary School, Houghton RoadCouncilLand adjacent to The Scullery RestaurantPrivate/CouncEast of Silksworth Lane, High NewportCouncilFulwell Quarry East, land at Bell House RoadCouncil	Coalfield Sunderland South Sunderland South Sunderland North	Mixed (G50 I Greenfield Greenfield Greenfield	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	0.5390% net de Permitted - not started16/01097/FU4SuitableA0.29100% net d No planning statusSuitableA0.6990% net de Permitted – under cons15/01072/FU4SuitableA4.1075% net de No planning statusSuitableA	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	877 E00044458 833 E00044733 893 E00044699 732 E00044237	E02001823 E02001816 E02001816 E02001794	64% 17 68% 9 32% 77 41% 90	MLL HMH HMH HMM	8% (8% (0.225 0.541 0.522 0.320 0.226 0.537 0.514 0.322 0.138 0.362 0.395 0.000 0.159 0.406 0.425 0.225	17 4 0 77 11 0	9 9 0 0 28 30 0 0	5 4 9 0 9 2 5 0 11 28 0 0 0	9 5 30 0	5 4 3 2 0 11 0 45	9 9 5 5 5 3 28 30 0 18 19 10	4 9 2 5 11 28 7 18	9 5 5 3 30 0 19 10	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	17 FALSE 9 FALSE 77 TRUE 45 FALSE
187 16 193 16	5/00156/SHLAA 5/00304/SHLAA			Mixed (50/50 PDL PDL Mixed (G60 B	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	11.3975% net de No planning statusSuitableA1.8175% net developable area = 1.36hSuitableA4.8375% net de Permitted – under cons12/02556/REISuitableA9.2875% net de No planning statusSuitableA	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	250 E00044949 710 E00170218 876 E00044455 818 E00044454	E02001797 E02001796 E02001823 E02001823	67% 256 42% 80 64% 158 61% 139	LMM HHH MLL LLL	10% (3% (0.237 0.565 0.542 0.338 0.156 0.398 0.414 0.224 0.225 0.541 0.522 0.320 0.221 0.538 0.524 0.316		0 0 0 0 29 28 0 0	0 150 36 85 0 0 0 0 17 12 29 0 139 31 75	81 0 28 73	51 106 61 0 40 6 17 12 44 31	145 139 87 16 17 9 29 28 17 75 73 44	61 145 6 16 12 29 31 75	139 87 17 9 28 17 73 44	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	256 FALSE 40 FALSE 53 FALSE 139 FALSE
230 16 248 16	5/00208/SHLAA 5/00295/SHLAA		Coalfield Coalfield Washington Washington	Greenfield Greenfield PDL Greenfield	TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	3.79 75% net de Permitted – not started 14/00090/FUL Suitable 4 0.42 90% net de No planning status Suitable 4 3.88 75% net de Permitted – under cons 12/01651/REN Suitable 4 0.80 90 % net de No planning status Suitable 4	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	652 E00170241 453 E00044387 616 E00044999 607 E00044970	E02001825 E02001825 E02001810 E02001799	62% 102 53% 10 81% 112 40% 22	HLH LLH LLH MMM	1% (0.2110.5120.4970.3010.1970.4900.4880.2820.3060.6930.6420.4330.1620.4150.4350.233	50 11 0 0 12 4 0 0	26 25 0 0 8 8 0 0	15 52 22 52 0 10 2 5 5 4 8 0 0 0	51 5 8 0	31 22 3 2 5 4 0 0	52 51 31 5 5 3 8 8 5 0 0 0	22 52 2 5 4 8 22 4 9	51 31 5 3 8 5 10 5	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	102 FALSE 10 FALSE 12 FALSE 22 FALSE
258 16 280 16 288 16	5/00110/SHLAA 5/00305/SHLAA 5/00296/SHLAA	Washington Football Club, Spout LanePrivateFormer Shiney Row Centre, Success RoadSunderland Co	Washington	Mixed (G85 F Mixed (G20 F Greenfield	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	2.0575% net de No planning statusSuitable4.2075% net de Permitted – not started15/01226/REI2.1375% net de Permitted – under cons12/00333/FUL6.7975% net de Permitted – under cons11/00982/REI	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	261 E00044979 878 E00044651 615 E00170198 672 E00044184	E02001799 E02001822 E02001807 E02001803	55% 46 76% 128	LMM LLL LMH	2% (0% (2% (0.199 0.494 0.490 0.285 0.274 0.635 0.598 0.389 0.258 0.603 0.572 0.367 0.165 0.420 0.437 0.237	0 110 30 202 52 17 3	0 0 70 66 122 115 7 7	0 46 9 23 43 18 35 81 74 52 122 4 3 7	23 77 115 7	13 9 50 35 74 52 4 3	23 23 13 81 77 50 122 115 74 7 7 4	9 23 35 81 52 122	13 0 23 13 77 50 115 74	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	46 FALSE 128 FALSE 202 FALSE 17 FALSE
299 312 16 324 16	5/00117/SHLAA 5/00018/SHLAA	Peareth Hall Farm, Peareth Hall Road, Springwell Village, NE9 7NT Former Junglerama, Victoria Road Private Land to the East of Durham Road and Tudor Grove Private	Washington Washington Sunderland South	PDL PDL Greenfield	FALSE	FALSE	2.832.830.28100% net d Permitted - not started16/00115/FU4 Suitable2.4075% net de Permitted - not started14/01638/FU1 Suitable	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	247 E00045074 617 E00044980 899 E00044609	E02001797 E02001799 E02001812	59% 46% 70%	MIM MLM MMM MMH	3% (4% (4% (0.208 0.509 0.498 0.297 0.175 0.443 0.453 0.252 0.243 0.572 0.546 0.345	8 2	0 0 6 6 5 4	4 3 7 0 0 0 0 4 2 6 3 2 5	0 6 4	4 3 0 35 7 4 2 3 2	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 7 0 7 18 2 6 2 5 2 5	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	17 TALSE 35 14 FALSE 8 FALSE
333 16 339 16 341 16	5/00218/SHLAA 5/00306/SHLAA 5/00220/SHLAA	Hetton Downs Phase 2UnknownFletcher Terrace, land at (Lumley Crescent)PrivateLand at Gillas Lane (rear of Lingfield)Private (PersirRedburn Row, Land to the north ofPrivate	Coalfield	PDL Greenfield Greenfield Greenfield	FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE	FALSE	1.67 90% net de Permitted – not started 14/01647/FUL Suitable	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	652 E00170245 630 E00044271 652 E00044268 627 E00044449	E02001825 E02001822 E02001824 E02001823	69% 125 70% 6 75% 63 73% 70	HLL LLH	6% (1% (0% (0.242 0.572 0.547 0.344 0.238 0.560 0.534 0.338 0.268 0.622 0.587 0.380 0.263 0.616 0.583 0.374	6 1 9 2 70 18	0 0 3 3 6 5 43 41	0 125 30 72 2 1 3 3 2 6 26 18 43	68 3 5 41	43 30 2 1 3 2 26 18	72 68 43 3 3 2 6 5 3 43 41 26	30 72 1 3 2 6 18 43	68 43 3 2 5 3 41 26	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	125FALSE6FALSE9FALSE70FALSE
344 16 355 16	5/00222/SHLAA 5/00072/SHLAA 5/00073/SHLAA	Chilton Moor Gardens site 2 (Durham Street)PrivateRushford Phase 2, RyhopePrivateBurdon Road/Hall Farm Road, land atPrivate	Sunderland South Coalfield Sunderland South Sunderland South	Greenfield Greenfield Greenfield Greenfield	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	11.2275% net de No planning statusSuitable1.3990% net de No planning statusSuitable4.8075% net de Permitted – not started15/10789/SUE Suitable9.7475% net de Full application (live)Suitable	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	838 E00044724 818 E00044446 895 E00170212 904 E00044539	E02001819 E02001823 E02001818 E02001821	63% 250 72% 27 81% 150 78% 114	MMH MLL MMH MLH	3% (4% (3% (0.2190.5290.5120.3120.2520.5910.5610.3580.2970.6720.6220.4200.2730.6290.5900.387		0 0 0 0 81 75 0 0	0 150 33 79 0 27 7 16 50 30 45 101 0 114 31 72	77 15 93 67	47 100 55 10 7 63 45 44 31	132 128 78 16 15 10 101 93 63 72 67 44	55 132 7 16 45 101 31 72	128 78 15 10 93 63 67 44	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	250 FALSE 27 FALSE 150 FALSE 114 FALSE
376 16 380 16	5/00224/SHLAA 5/00227/SHLAA 5/00075/SHLAA	Coaley Lane, Land south ofLambton EstaChilton Gardens, Chilton MoorLambton EstaGrangetown Autos and land to the South. East side of Ryhope RPrivate	Coalfield Sunderland South	PDL Greenfield Greenfield PDL	FALSE TRUE FALSE TRUE	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	6.3075% net de Permitted – under cons12/00333/FULSuitableA5.1975% net de No planning statusSuitableA1.3190% net de No planning statusSuitableA0.5490% net de Permitted – not started15/01629/OUSuitable	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	615 E00170198 880 E00170240 818 E00044446 901 E00044519	E02001807 E02001823 E02001823 E02001818	73% 566 63% 90 72% 18 66% 22	LMH LLL LLL LLH	0% (0% (1% (0.258 0.603 0.572 0.367 0.228 0.551 0.534 0.325 0.260 0.609 0.578 0.369 0.236 0.565 0.543 0.337	202 52 0 0 22 5	122 115 0 0 0 0 12 12	74 52 122 0 90 21 50 0 18 5 11 7 5 12	115 48 10 12	74 52 29 21 7 5 7 5 7 5	122 115 74 50 48 29 11 10 7 12 12 7	52 122 21 50 5 11 5 12	115 74 48 29 10 7 12 7	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	202 FALSE 90 FALSE 18 FALSE 22 FALSE
388 16 410 16	5/00235/SHLAA 5/00236/SHLAA 5/00167/SHLAA	Dairy Lane, Site 1, Land atLambton EstaEnnerdale Street, Low Moorsley, Land atPrivateBlind Lane, Grasswell, land to North ofPrivateSeaburn Amusements, Whitburn RoadPrivate	Coalfield Coalfield Sunderland North	Greenfield Greenfield Greenfield Mixed (50/50	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE		0.7990% net de No planning statusSuitableA0.9890% net de Permitted - not started12/01125/OUSuitableA0.7190% net de No planning statusSuitableA5.6575% net de No planning statusSuitableA	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	426 E00170221 652 E00044409 818 E00044451 768 E00044286	E02001823 E02001826 E02001823 E02001792	76% 15 51% 40 69% 21 70% 181	LLL LLH LLL MMH	1% (0% (4% (0.2740.6350.5980.3890.1920.4800.4820.2750.2490.5900.5630.3550.2430.5720.5460.345	0 30 6 0 0 0 0	0 0 14 14 0 0 0 0	0 15 4 10 8 10 8 19 0 21 5 12 0 30 7 17	9 19 12 16	6 4 11 8 7 5 10 151 44	10 9 6 19 19 11 12 12 7 104 99 62	4 10 8 19 5 12 44 104	9 6 19 11 12 7 99 62	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	15 FALSE 40 FALSE 21 FALSE 181 FALSE
421 16	5/00238/SHLAA 5/00239/SHLAA 5/00240/SHLAA	Heritage Green - Rear of Bee Hive Pub, Coaley LanePrivate DurhaQuarry House Lane, East RaintonPrivateMarkle Grove, land to East, East RaintonPrivate	Sunderland North Coalfield Coalfield Coalfield Washington	Greenfield Greenfield Greenfield	TRUE TRUE TRUE	FALSE FALSE FALSE	7.79Image: Constraint of the second seco	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	752 E00044156 879 E00044680 443 E00044391 659 E00044422 252 E00045048	E02001798 E02001820 E02001825 E02001825 E02001820	55% 72% 277 68% 42 69% 93 68%	LLH MLL MLH MLM MLL	3% (0.202 0.499 0.495 0.288 0.252 0.591 0.561 0.358 0.238 0.566 0.542 0.339 0.242 0.572 0.547 0.344 0.238 0.566 0.542 0.339		0 0 56 53 0 0 53 51 0 0	0 100 20 50 34 100 49 115 0 42 10 24 32 22 53 0 0 0 0	49 109 23 51 0	29 35 27 70 82 70 14 10 32 22 0 0 0	67 67 39 164 155 99 24 23 14 53 51 32 0 0 0	0 27 67 70 164 10 24 22 53 54 13 31	67 39 155 99 23 14 51 32 29 18	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	135 277 FALSE 42 FALSE 93 FALSE 54 FALSE
451 16 453 16 454	5/00246/SHLAA 5/00082/SHLAA 5/00133/SHLAA	Penshaw HouseCouncilFormer Stannington Centre, Hillview, Stannington GroveCouncilSilverstone Way, land west of,HCATeal Farm NorthPrivate	Coalfield Sunderland South Washington Washington	Mixed (50/50 PDL Greenfield PDL	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE	1.5290% net dePre-AppSuitableA0.8190% net deNo planning statusSuitableA0.4590 % net deNo planning statusSuitableA12.4675% net dePermitted – under cons12/00333/FUI SuitableA	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	404 E00044656 869 E00044581 256 E00044976 615 E00170198	E02001820 E02001812 E02001799 E02001807	74% 15 63% 22 44% 12 73% 566	LLM MMH MMM MMH	4% (4% (4% (0.2640.6160.5820.3750.2190.5290.5120.3120.1710.4330.4470.2450.2530.5910.5600.359	0 0 0 202 51	0 0 0 0 0 0 119 113	0 15 4 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 73 51 119	9 0 0 113	6 4 0 22 5 0 0 0 73 51	9 9 6 12 11 7 0 0 0 119 113 73	4 9 5 12 12 2 5 51 119	9 6 11 7 5 3 113 73	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	15FALSE22FALSE12FALSE202FALSE
465 468 16 469 16	5/00253/SHLAA 5/00254/SHLAA	Land north of Blackthorn Way, Sedgeletch Industrial EstateHCASite of former Kentmere HouseCouncil	Coalfield Coalfield Coalfield Coalfield	PDL Mixed (G85 F PDL	FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE	2.2775% net de Permitted – under cons14/00136/FULSuitableA23.95 </td <td>Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable</td> <td>651 E00044278 407 E00044658 876 E00044455 818 E00044451</td> <td>E02001825 E02001820 E02001823 E02001823</td> <td>58% 69 79% 64% 138 69% 20</td> <td>MLH MLL LLL LLL</td> <td>3% (0% (0% (</td> <td>0.204 0.503 0.493 0.292 0.276 0.635 0.595 0.391 0.232 0.557 0.539 0.330 0.249 0.590 0.563 0.557</td> <td>32 7 0 0 20 5 0 0</td> <td>16 16 0 0 11 11 0 0</td> <td>9 7 16 0 160 44 102 7 118 32 77 0 20 5 12</td> <td>16 95 74 11</td> <td>9 7 63 240 110 46 32 32 7 5 5</td> <td>16 16 9 254 238 157 77 74 46 12 11 7</td> <td>7 16 0 110 254 32 77 5 12</td> <td>16 9 238 157 74 46 11 7</td> <td>FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE</td> <td>32 FALSE 400 </td>	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	651 E00044278 407 E00044658 876 E00044455 818 E00044451	E02001825 E02001820 E02001823 E02001823	58% 69 79% 64% 138 69% 20	MLH MLL LLL LLL	3% (0% (0% (0.204 0.503 0.493 0.292 0.276 0.635 0.595 0.391 0.232 0.557 0.539 0.330 0.249 0.590 0.563 0.557	32 7 0 0 20 5 0 0	16 16 0 0 11 11 0 0	9 7 16 0 160 44 102 7 118 32 77 0 20 5 12	16 95 74 11	9 7 63 240 110 46 32 32 7 5 5	16 16 9 254 238 157 77 74 46 12 11 7	7 16 0 110 254 32 77 5 12	16 9 238 157 74 46 11 7	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	32 FALSE 400
472 16 477 16 494 16	5/00256/SHLAA 5/00083/SHLAA 5/00258/SHLAA	Site of former Gilpin HouseCouncilFormer Hetton Community CentreCouncilLand north of Burdon LanePrivateLand at Chapel Street/Edward StreetPrivate	Coalfield Coalfield Sunderland South Coalfield	PDL PDL Greenfield Greenfield	FALSE FALSE TRUE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE	0.34100% net d No planning statusSuitable0.19100% net d Permitted - under const14/00768/LP4 Suitable84.5075% net de No planning statusSuitable0.08100% net d Lapsed permission12/00290/SUE Suitable	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	818 E00044451 445 E00044397 875 E00044540 429 E00044275	E02001823 E02001825 E02001821 E02001825	69% 12 63% 1 73% 955 54% 6	LLL HLH HLH HLH	6% (6% (6% (0.2490.5900.5630.3550.2140.5180.5020.3060.2480.5790.5480.3520.1890.4690.4670.271	0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0	0 12 3 7 0 0 1 1 0 440 109 255 0 6 1 3	7 1 241 1 3	4 3 0 0 155 515 2 1	7 7 4 1 1 0 553 524 336 3 3 2	3 7 0 1 236 553 1 3	7 4 1 0 524 336 3 2	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	12FALSE1FALSE955FALSE6FALSE
505 16	5/00280/SHLAA 5/00281/SHLAA	Doxford Park Phase 6GentooDoxford park Phase 5GentooLand at Burdon Lane, west of Bevan AvenuePrivateFormer Castletown Workmens Club, Castle ViewPrivate	Sunderland South Sunderland South Sunderland South Sunderland North	PDL PDL Greenfield PDL	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE	3.9975% net de No planning statusSuitableA8.5475% net de Permitted – not started13/01337/FUL SuitableA2.4975% net de Permitted – under cons11/03345/SUE SuitableA0.07100% net d Permitted – under cons12/00135/FUL SuitableA	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	851 E00170248 896 E00170249 886 E00170214 662 E00044145	E02001817 E02001817 E02001818 E02001798	47% 90 58% 155 76% 77 54% 12	HLH HLH LLH LMH	6% (6% (1% (0.1740.4380.4470.2490.1980.4870.4780.2830.2710.6290.5920.3850.1970.4890.4870.282	7 2	0 0 54 53 4 4 6 6	0 90 16 39 31 45 31 76 3 2 4 3 2 6	40 74 4 6	22 16 44 31 3 2 3 2	39 40 22 76 74 44 4 4 3 6 6 3	16 39 31 76 2 4 2 6	40 22 74 44 4 3 6 3	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	90 FALSE 155 FALSE 7 FALSE 12 FALSE
530 16 531 16	5/00027/SHLAA 5/00282/SHLAA	Southwick Social Club, Church BankPrivateHillside Lodge, Tunstall RoadPrivateCouncil Yard, North St, SilksworthPrivateLand at Redcar Road/Rawdon RdCouncil	Sunderland North Sunderland South Sunderland South Sunderland North	PDL PDL PDL PDL PDL	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE	0.22100% net d No planning statusImage: constraint of the state of the stat	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	731 E00044773 900 E00044582 891 E00044707 732 E00044216	E02001795 E02001812 E02001817 E02001794	41% 14 66% 2 61% 6 32% 8	HHH LMH LMH LMH	2% (2% (0.154 0.393 0.411 0.221 0.234 0.559 0.538 0.333 0.217 0.527 0.513 0.309 0.147 0.386 0.421 0.000	0 2 0 4 1 0	0 0 1 1 2 2 0 0	0 14 2 6 1 0 1 1 1 2 0 8 1 3	6 1 2 3	3 2 1 0 1 1 0 1	6 6 3 1 1 1 2 2 1 3 3 0	2 6 0 1 1 2 1 3	6 3 1 1 2 1 3 0	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	14FALSE2FALSE4FALSE8TRUE
539 16 540 16	5/00261/SHLAA 5/00262/SHLAA	Havannah Rd/Moorway, AlbanyUnknownLand to the west of Mulberry WayPrivateHetton Downs Phase 3UnknownLand to the east of Silksworth Lane, by ElstobUnknown	Washington Coalfield Coalfield Sunderland South	Greenfield Greenfield Mixed (G85 B Greenfield	FALSE TRUE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	2.8075% net de No planning statusSuitable1.5890% net de No planning statusSuitable2.0375% net de No planning statusSuitable4.1075% net de No planning statusSuitable	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	257 E00045062 426 E00170221 652 E00170241 893 E00044699	E02001800 E02001823 E02001825 E02001816	71% 63 76% 35 62% 60 32% 93	LLM LLL MLH MMH	0% (3% (0.2540.5970.5680.3610.2740.6350.5980.3890.2180.5280.5130.3110.1440.3780.4120.208	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 35 10 22 0 60 13 32 0 93 13 35	0 21 31 38	0 63 16 14 10 19 13 19 13 13 13	38 36 23 22 21 14 32 31 19 35 38 19	16 38 10 22 13 32 13 35	36 23 21 14 31 19 38 19	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	63FALSE35FALSE60FALSE93FALSE
565 16 567 595 16 628 16	5/00176/SHLAA 5/00139/SHLAA 5/00299/SHLAA	Phoneix Tower Business Park, Castletown WayPrivateGeorge Washington County Hotel Golf Course (pitch & putt course), Stone CelFell House, Albion Terrace, SpringwellPrivateFormer London Inn, Spout LaneUnknown	Sunderland North Washington Washington Washington	PDL PDL PDL PDL	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	171202	3.9875% net de Outline application (live 14/00292/OU SuitableA3.60	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	665 E00044786 749 E00045041 626 E00045043 617 E00044980	E02001795 E02001797 E02001797 E02001797	51% 140 64%	MMH MLM MLM MLM	4% (3% (3% (3% (3% (0.187 0.466 0.468 0.267 0.225 0.541 0.522 0.320 0.208 0.509 0.498 0.297 0.177 0.447 0.458 0.254	55 10 0 0 79 14	26 26 0 0 0 0 35 36	15 85 26 65 0 40 9 22 0 28 6 14 20 14 35	65 21 14 36	37 26 13 0 9 8 6 20 14	33 13 65 65 37 22 21 13 14 14 8 35 36 20	26 65 0 9 22 6 14 35	65 37 21 13 14 8 36 20	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	140 FALSE 40
630 16 631 16	5/00284/SHLAA 5/00030/SHLAA	Site of former Ford and Hylton Social Club, Poole RoadPrivateLand Adjac Saint Lukes RoadGentooWest of Silksworth Way, Doxford ParkUnknownRear of Don GardensUnknown	Sunderland South Sunderland South Sunderland South Washington	PDL PDL Greenfield Greenfield	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	0.29100% net d Permitted – not started15/01944/S12SuitableA3.7975% net de Permitted - under const13/02903/FULSuitableA4.1075% net de No planning statusSuitableA2.7675% net de No planning statusSuitableA	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	780 E00044752 889 E00170243 847 E00044725 250 E00044962	E02001802 E02001802 E02001819 E02001799	37% 14 56% 123 66% 92 42% 62	MMM HHM MMH MMM	9% (4% (0.156 0.401 0.427 0.000 0.187 0.463 0.457 0.000 0.229 0.548 0.527 0.326 0.167 0.424 0.441 0.239		6 6 57 56 0 0 0 0	0 2 6 0 23 57 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 56 0 0	0 2 0 23 0 92 21 0 62 10	6 6 0 57 56 0 50 48 30 26 27 15	2 6 23 57 21 50 10 26	6 0 56 0 48 30 27 15	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	14 TRUE 123 TRUE 92 FALSE 62 FALSE
636 16 637 16 646	5/00031/SHLAA 5/00269/SHLAA	Open space at Fordfield Road, Pennywell/FordUnknownHetton Downs Square / East of Thomas StGentooLand at Glebe House Farm, Staithes Road, Washington, NE38 8NW	Washington Sunderland South Coalfield Washington	Greenfield Greenfield Greenfield	FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE	0.7590 % net de No planning statusSuitableA0.8090% net de No planning statusSuitableA1.3690% net de No planning statusSuitableA2.20	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	274 E00045035 780 E00044751 429 E00044275 615 E00170198	E02001815 E02001802 E02001825 E02001807	72% 20 40% 22 54% 39 73%	MMH MMM MLH LLH	4% (3% (1% (0.249 0.585 0.555 0.354 0.162 0.415 0.435 0.233 0.195 0.484 0.482 0.279 0.261 0.609 0.578 0.370	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 41 11 25	0 0 24	0 0 0 22 4 0 39 8 15 0 11	0 0 0 9 10 5 19 19 11 25 24 15	20 5 12 4 9 9 8 19 11 25 0 11 25 25	11 7 10 5 19 11 24 15	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	20 FALSE 22 FALSE 39 FALSE 41 FALSE
654 16 655 16 656 16	5/00274/SHLAA 5/00289/SHLAA 5/00290/SHLAA	West of Redburn Road Private	Washington Coalfield Sunderland Central Sunderland Central Sunderland Central	PDL	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE	1.9890 % net de No planning statusSuitableA1.4490% net de Permitted – not started14/01804/OUSuitableA0.21100% net d Permitted – under cons14/02729/FULSuitableA0.19100% net d Permitted – under cons16/01640/VALSuitableA0.10100% net d Permitted – under cons15/01265/FU4SuitableA	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	270 E00044900 627 E00044449 883 E00044195 661 E00170234 661 E00170234	E02001809 E02001823 E02001803 E02001803 E02001803	53% 53 73% 27 25% 128 31% 105 31% 61	MHH HLL HHH HHH	6% (10% (10% (0.189 0.470 0.469 0.000 0.248 0.579 0.548 0.352 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.192 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.192	0 27 7 128 0 105 0	0 0 16 15 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 53 10 25 9 7 16 0 0 0 20 0 0 12 0 0	25 15 0 0	0 10 9 7 0 0 20 0 12 0	25 25 0 16 15 9 0 0 0 0 0 20 0 0 12	10 25 7 16 0 0 0 0 0 0	25 0 15 9 0 0 0 20 0 12	FALSE FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE	53 TRUE 27 FALSE 128 FALSE 105 FALSE 61 FALSE
658 16 659 16 660 16	5/00292/SHLAA 5/00093/SHLAA	Phoenix House, Union StreetUnknownSunniside Central Area, Villiers StreetCouncil/HCA63 Fawcett StreetUnknown	Sunderland Central Sunderland Central Sunderland Central Sunderland Central	PDL PDL PDL	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE	0.07100% net d Permitted – under cons15/00357/FULSuitableA1.2190 % net d No planning statusSuitableA	AvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievableAvailableAchievable	661 E00170234 661 E00170234 701 E00170202 661 E00170234 661 E00170234	E02001803 E02001803 E02001803 E02001803	31% 38 31% 33 31% 7 31% 5	ннн ннн ннн ннн	10% (10% (10% (0.133 0.350 0.384 0.192 0.133 0.350 0.384 0.192 0.133 0.350 0.384 0.192 0.133 0.350 0.384 0.192 0.133 0.350 0.384 0.192 0.133 0.350 0.384 0.192 0.133 0.350 0.384 0.192	38 5 0 0 7 1 5 1	0 0 13 15 0 0 2 3 2 2	7 0 5 13 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 1 1 2	15 0 3 2	7 0 5 0 33 4 1 1 1 1 1 1	13 15 7 12 13 6 2 3 1 2 2 1	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15 7 13 6 3 1 2 1	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	38 FALSE 33 FALSE 7 FALSE 5 FALSE
662 16 663 16 664 16	5/00096/SHLAA 5/00035/SHLAA 5/00285/SHLAA		Sunderland Central Sunderland South Sunderland South Sunderland Central	PDL PDL PDL	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE	0.02100% net d Permitted – under cons15/00041/FUL SuitableA0.11100% net d Permitted – under cons15/02298/FU4 SuitableA0.14100% net d Permitted – under consSuitableA	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	661 E00170234 890 E00044572 894 E00044559 884 E00044180	E02001803 E02001819 E02001816 E02001802	31% 10 63% 5 60% 6 30% 9	ннн ММН ННН	10% (4% (10% (0.133 0.350 0.384 0.192 0.219 0.529 0.512 0.312 0.196 0.478 0.467 0.280 0.131 0.346 0.381 0.182	5 1 6 1	4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 3 3	2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	4 4 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2	1 4 1 3 1 3 1 3	4 2 3 2 3 2 3 2	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	10FALSE5FALSE6FALSE9EALSE
671 673 675 675		Southern Area Playing Fields, Washington James Steel Park	Washington Washington Sunderland North Sunderland South				0.04 100% het d'Permitted – hot started 15/00344/FOLSuitable # 18.67		884 E00044180 276 E00045038 275 E00045026 773 E00044236 840 E00044544	E02001803 E02001815 E02001815 E02001791 E02001819	30% 9 74% 64% 65% 72%	HHH LLH LLH HLM HLH	1% (1% (6% (0.131 0.346 0.381 0.189 0.264 0.616 0.582 0.375 0.229 0.552 0.533 0.327 0.221 0.530 0.511 0.315 0.244 0.573 0.544 0.347	0	0 0 0 0 0 0	2 1 3 0 170 45 105 0 0 0 0 0 82 18 43 0 0 0 0	99 0 42	- 1 64 32 53 0 32 7 26 0 18 0 70 17	3 3 2 124 118 76 18 17 10 43 42 26 40 38 24	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 2 118 76 17 10 42 26 38 24	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	202 32 82 70
154B 16	5/00154/SHLAA 5/00154/SHLAA	Land at Birchwood, Ford OvalCouncil/GentoSeaburn Camp, Whitburn Road (North)University of SSeaburn Camp, Whitburn Road (South)Council	Sunderland South Sunderland South Sunderland North Sunderland North Coalfield	Greenfield Greenfield Greenfield Mixed (G90 I	FALSE FALSE FALSE EALSE	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	1.89 75% net de No planning status Suitable	Available Achievable Available Achievable Available Achievable	840 E00044544 799 E00044746 769 E00044301 768 E00044286 628 E00044408	E02001819 E02001804 E02001792 E02001792 E02001826	72% 60% 60 68% 140 70% 78 52% 82	MMH MMH MMH MIH	4% (4% (4% (0.209 0.510 0.498 0.298 0.236 0.560 0.536 0.336 0.243 0.572 0.546 0.345	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 60 13 31 0 140 33 78 0 0 0 0 8 52 16 39	30 75 0	0 17 18 13 47 33 0 78 19 22 10	24 31 30 18 78 75 47 45 43 27 30 30 20	33 78	38 24 30 18 75 47 43 27 39 22	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	60 FALSE 140 FALSE 78 FALSE 82 FALSE
330A 16 330B 407C	5/00217/SHLAA		Coalfield Coalfield Washington	Mixed (50/50		WAS WAS	27.3675% net de Permitted - not started14/00538/HYESuitableA8.323.21444	Available Achievable	629 E00044377 629 E00044377 252 E00045048	E02001826 E02001806 E02001806 E02001800 E02001818	42% 500 42% 68%	MLH LLM MLL LLM	1% (3% (1% (0.191 0.475 0.476 0.273 0.172 0.437 0.455 0.247 0.168 0.429 0.446 0.242 0.243 0.577 0.553 0.346 0.254 0.597 0.568 0.361		14 14 26 27 0 0 0 0	8 52 16 39 15 150 36 92 0 20 3 9 0 48 12 28 0 150 38 89	96 96 27	16 52 150 62 5 150 29 17 0 12 54 150	39 39 22 157 164 89 73 76 41 28 27 17 179 170 108		76 41 27 17	FALSE FALSE FALSE	420 FALSE 170 48 360 54457
463A 464B 467A 16	5/00170/SHLAA	Land west of Waterloo Road, Usworth, Washington Land to the North of the Graneries, Offerton, Sunderland Thornbeck College,land to the North East Council	Sunderland South Washington Coalfield Sunderland North	Greenfield Greenfield	FALSE	WAS WAS	10.93 10.93 0.85 3.80 90% net de No planning status Suitable	Available Achievable	859 E00170235 608 E00044950 398 E00165667 398 E00165667	E02001818 E02001797 E02001797 E02001797	71% 500 66% 58% 58% 60	LLH HLM LLM LMH	6% (1% (2% (0.254 0.597 0.568 0.361 0.224 0.536 0.516 0.320 0.208 0.513 0.504 0.298 0.206 0.508 0.499 0.295	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 150 38 89 0 125 28 67 0 10 2 5 0 0 0 0	85 64 5 0	54 150 76 40 80 46 3 0 2 0 30 6	179 170 108 110 106 66 5 5 3 15 15 9	ou 91 215 0 46 110 0 2 5 6 15	204 130 106 66 5 3 115 9	FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE	360 FALSE 205
467B 16 80C		Thornbeck College,land to the SouthPrivate (AusticStadium Village Site CCouncil	Sunderland North Sunderland Central	PDL PDL	FALSE FALSE	FALSE FALSE	0.5190% net de No planning statusSuitable0.98No planning statusSuitable	Available Achievable Available Achievable	771 E00044214 710 E00170218	E02001791 E02001796	68% 14 42% 100	НМН ННН	8% (0.226 0.537 0.514 0.322 0.156 0.398 0.414 0.224		0 0 0 0	0 14 3 8 0 100 16 40	7 41	5 3 22 16	8 7 5 40 41 22	3 8 16 40	7 5 41 22	FALSE FALSE	14FALSE100FALSE

					Г	WITHOUT DOUBLE COUNTING																	
office	industrial	warehouse	3558	3000	2992		withi	n 5		withi	n 6-10			within :	11-15		16&17						
B1 %	B2 %	B8 %	B1 [m2]	B2 [m2]	B8 [m2]	1	AM	PM	A	м	PN	1	A	М	PI	м	A	И	P	M			
80%	10%	10%	4334	542	542	IN 2	OUT	0 1	IN /	OUT	IN 1	OUT 2	IN	OUT	IN 1	OUT 3	IN 6	OUT	IN 1	OUT			
37%	31%	31%	259296	218630	218047	113		20 70		60		178	405	84	73		426	89	77	264			
10%	40%	50%	5907	23628	29535	5				3	2	8	17	4	3		18	4	3				
0% 0%	10% 10%	90% 90%	0		5609 44598	0	_		-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0			
0%	10%	90%	0	5844	52592	1	0		-	1	0	2	4	1	1	2	4	1	1	2			
0% 0%	25% 25%	25% 25%	0		4393 1926	1	-			0	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	-			
0%	25%	25%	0		6034	1	-			0	0	1	3	1	0	2	3	1	0				
0%	0%	0%	0	-	0	0	-			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
0% 0%	100% 100%	0% 0%	0	23880 21943	0	3	1	0 2		1	1	4	10	2	2	6 5	10	2	2	6			
10%	40%	50%	3756	15023	18779	3	1	1 2		2	1	5	11	2	2	7	12	2	2	7			
10%	40%	50%	117	466		0	-		-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
10% 10%	40% 40%	50% 50%	610 1660	2441 6639	3051 8299	0	_			0	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0				
10%	40%	50%	1000	546		0	-	-		0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-			
10%	40%	50%	725	2899	3624	1	-			0	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	-			
10% 10%	40% 40%	50% 50%	311 591	1243 2365	1553 2957	0	-			0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0				
90%	-40%	10%	10185	0	1132	3	-			2	2	5	12	3	2	8	13	3	2	8			
0%	50%	50%	0	1665	1665	0	-			0	0	0	1	0	0	-	1	0	0	<u> </u>			
5% 5%	75% 75%	20% 20%	47 69	712	190 276	0	-	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
5%	75%	20%	201	3016	804	0	-	0 0	-	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1			
5%	75%	20%	75	1132	302	0	°		-	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0				
5% 10%	75% 40%	20% 50%	152 299	2284 1198	609 1497	0	-			0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0				
10%	40%	50%	414	1656	2069	0	-			0	-	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0				
10%	40%	50%	190	759	949	0	-			0	0	0		0	0	-	1	0	0	-			
10%	40% 50%	50% 50%	206 0	823 135042	1028 135042	0	-		-	0	0	0 25	1 57	0	0	0 36	1 60	0 13	11	Ű			
10%	40%	50%	3288	13152	16441	3				1	1	4	10	2	2	6	10	2	2	6			
10%	40% 0%	50% 100%	1213 0	4852	6065 25789	1	-		-	1	0	2	4	1	1	2	4	1	1	. 2			
10%	40%	50%	1692	6769		1	-		-	1	1	2	5	1	1	3	5	1	1	. 3			
10%	40%	50%	937	3748	4686	1	0	0 0) 2	0	0	1	3	1	0	2	3	1	1	. 2			
10% 10%	40% 40%	50% 50%	1094 891	4375 3563	5469 4454	1	0		-	0	0	1	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	2			
10%	40%	50%	1009	4035	5043	1	_			0	0	1	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	2			
10%	40%	50%	210	838	1048	0	-		-	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	-			
10% 10%	40% 40%	50% 50%	469 187	1877 749	2346 936	0	_		-	0	0	1	1	0	0		1	0	0	_			
10%	40%	50%	1000	3999		1	-		÷	0	-	1	3	1	1	-	3	1	1	-			
10%	40%	50%	837	3348		1	-			0	0	1	2	1	0		3	1	0				
10% 10%	40% 40%	50% 50%	656 352	2622 1409	3278 1761	1				0		1		0	0		2	0	0				
10%	40%	50%	1036	4146		1				0	0	1	3	1	1		3	1	1				
10%	40%	50%	656	2625	3281	1	0			0	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	_			
10% 10%	40% 40%	50% 50%	1763 2594	7051 10377	8813 12971	1	0	0 1		1	1	2	5	1	1	3	5	1	1	. 3			
10%	40%	50%	6087	24347	30433	5	1	1 3	13	3	2	8	18	4	3	11	19	4	3	12			
5%	50%	45%	411	4110		1				0		1	2	0	0		2	0	0				
5% 0%	50% 0%	45% 0%	287 0	2868 0		0				0		1	2	0	0		2	0	0				
10%	40%	50%	792	3169	3962	1	0	0 0) 2	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	1	0) 2			
10%	40%	50%	109	437	546	0				0		0	-	0	0	-	0	0	0				
5% 10%	25% 40%	30% 50%	1244 2676	6222 10703	7466 13379	2				1	1	2		1	1		4	1	1				
10%	40%	50%	3205	12819	16024	3	1	0 2	2 7	1	1	4	9	2	2	6	10	2	2	. 6			
60%	20% 20%	20% 20%	37921 10703	12640		14				8	7	22	51 14	11 3	9	32 9	54 15	11	10				
60% 60%	20%	20%	4122	3568 1374	1374	2				1	2	2	14 6	3	3	3	15	3		_			
0%	50%	50%	0	1843	1843	0	-	0 0) 1	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0				
0% 0%	50% 50%	50% 50%	0		883 768	0				0		0		0	0		0	0	0				
0%	50%	50%	0	/68	/68	0	0	0 0	'I U	0	U	U	0	U	0	Ű	U	0	0	0			

																	WITHOUT DOUBLE COUNTING											
												office	industrial w	varehouse	3558	3000	2992	with	nin 5	with	in 6-10		within 11	-15		16	5&17	
ELB Rof EL	Sub	Site Name	Sub Area	Industrial Estate Name	Site Area [ha]	Site area [m2]	OA	MSOA	Saturn Zone C	ar % from	Workplace	B1 %	B2 %	B8 %	B1 [m2]	B2 [m2]	B8 [m2]	AM	PM	AM	PM	A	м	PM		AM	PIV	A
ELK KEI	lef	Site Name	Sub Area	industrial Estate Name	Site Area [na]	Site area [m2]	UA	INISOA	Saturn Zone	area	Population	DI 70	DZ 70	D0 70	PT [m5]	bz [mz]	Do [m2]	IN OUT	IN OUT	IN OUT	IN OUT	IN	OUT	IN O	DUT IN	OUT	IN	OUT
0	0	Sunderland Enterprise Park East	Sunderland North	Sunderland Enterprise Park	0.54	5417.80 695973.44	E00044786 E00044950	E02001795 E02001797	913 938	53% 68%		80%	10%	10%	4334 259296	÷	÷	2 ($\frac{0}{3}$ $\frac{0}{20}$ $\frac{1}{70}$	4 1	1	2 5 78 405	1	1	3	6 1 126 89	. 1	4 264
0	0	Biffa landfill site	Coalfield	Houghton - Quarry	5.91	59070.50	E00044950	E02001824	938	62%		37%	31% 40%	31% 50%	259296	218630		<u> </u>		287 60 12 3) 52 17 3 2	78 405 8 17	84 4	73	251 4 11	18 4	9 77 4 3	264
2 a	2a	Barrack Street (3)	Sunderland South	0 · 1	0.62	6232.25	E00044178	E02001806	917	43%	-	0%	10%	90%	0	623		0 (0 0) 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0
2 b	2b	Prospect Road (2)	Sunderland South	Port	4.96	49553.13	E00044199	E02001806	917	33%	243	0%	10%	90%	0	4955	44598	1 (0 0	. 2 0	0 0	1 3	1	1	2	3 1	1 1	2
2 c	2c	Disused Hendon railway sidings, Moor Terrace	Sunderland South	Port	5.84	58435.21	E00044377	E02001806	917	42%	-	0%	10%	90%	0	5844	0-00-	1 (. 3 1	0	2 4	1	1	2	4 1	. 1	2
4 b 4 a	4b	North of Woodbine Terrace (1) East of Woodbine Terrace (3)	Sunderland South Sunderland South		1.76 0.77	17571.72 7704.08	E00044184 E00044184	E02001803 E02001803	918 918	44% 44%	-	0% 0%	25% 25%	25% 25%	0	4393 1926	4393 1926	1 (1 2	0	0	1	2 0		1
15	44	15 Former Corning warehouse, Deptford Ter	Sunderland South		2.41	24135.29	E00044184	E02001803	919	44%		0%	25%	25%	0	6034		-				1 3	1	0	2	3 1	1 0	2
16 d	16d	I J Dewhirst (6)	Sunderland South	-	1.53	15325.31	E00044377	E02001806	920	42%		0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0 (0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 (0
16 b	16b	Gasometers (3)	Sunderland South	Hendon	2.39	23880.15	E00044354	E02001814	920	55%	-	0%	100%	0%	0	23880	-	3 :	1 0 2	7 1	. 1	4 10	2	2	6	10 2	<u>'</u> 2	6
16 a	16a	East of gasometers depot, Spelter Works Road (2)	Sunderland South	Hendon	2.19	21942.89	E00044354	E02001814	920	55%	-	0%	100%	0%	0	21943	-	2 1		6 1	. 1	4 9	2	2	5	9 2	2	6
17 c 17 b	1/c 17b	Former Purdy Hose, Wellmere Road South-west of Carrmere Road	Sunderland South Sunderland South		3.76 0.12	37557.27 1165.64	E00044596 E00044594	E02001814 E02001814	921	77% 57%	513 541	10% 10%	40% 40%	50% 50%	3756 117			3 3				5 11	2	2	/	12 2	· 2	/
17 a	175 17a	North of Plumb Centre, Sandmere Road	Sunderland South		0.61	6101.50	E00044594	E02001814	921	57%	-	10%	40%	50%	610							1 2	0	0	1	2 0	J 0	1
18 a	18a	South East corner of Pennywell Industrial Estate	Sunderland South		1.66	16598.26	E00170244	E02001811	922	47%	-	10%	40%	50%	1660		8299	-		3 1	1	2 5	1	1	3	5 1	1	3
18 b	18b	Rear of nursery units (2)	Sunderland South	- / -	0.14	1366.11	E00044331	E02001811	922	47%		10%	40%	50%	137		683	0 (0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	<u>0</u> ر	0
19 b	19b	Former Vishay factory, Pallion Way (8)	Sunderland South		0.72	7247.54	E00044465	E02001802	923	43%	-	10%	40%	50%	725			1 (2 0	0	1 2	0	0	1	2 0		1
19 a 19 c	19a	West of Eastern Way (9) West of Luxembourg Road (1)	Sunderland South Sunderland South		0.31 0.59	3106.32 5913.33	E00044465 E00044741	E02001802 E02001804	923 923	43% 72%	-	10% 10%	40% 40%	50% 50%	311 591							U 1	0	0	1	2 0		1
25	190	25 West of Azure Court. Camberwell Way (2)	Sunderland South		1.13	11317.16	E00044741	E02001804	923	72%	230	90%	40%	10%	10185		1132			9 2	2	5 12	3	2	8	13 3	3 2	
47		47 North Hylton Enterprise Park (5)	Sunderland North	North Hylton	0.33	3329.81	E00044786	E02001795	914	53%	3947	0%	50%	50%	0	1665		-			0 0	0 1	0	0	0	1 0	1 0	0
48 a	48a	Wear Street/Camden Street (1)	Sunderland North	Low Southwick	0.09	949.04	E00044786	E02001795	915	53%	3947	5%	75%	20%	47	712	190	0 (0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 (0
48 c	48c	Crown Road (West of Quay West) (4)	Sunderland North	Low Southwick	0.14	1378.74	E00044786	E02001795	915	53%		5%	75%	20%	69	1034	-			0 0	0 0	0 1	0	0	0	1 0) 0	0
48 b	48b	Crown Road (East of Quay West) (5)	Sunderland North	Low Southwick	0.40	4021.45	E00044786	E02001795 E02001795	915	53%		5%	75%	20%	201			-			0	1 1	0	0	1	2 0		1
48 d 55	48d	Wear Street (land beside Q A Bridge) (3) 55 Trafford Road (8)	Sunderland North Sunderland North		0.15	1509.21 3044.88	E00044786 E00044773	E02001795	915	53% 42%	3947 877	5% 5%	75% 75%	20% 20%	152	1132 2284	302 609					0 1	0	0	1	1 0		1
59 b	59b	North of Crowther Road (3)	Washington	Crowther	0.30	2994.09	E00045000	E02001810	925	77%		10%	40%	50%	299			-				0 1	0	0	1	1 0	ه	1
59 a	59a	East of Crowther Road (1)	Washington	Crowther	0.41	4138.90	E00045000	E02001810	925	77%	917	10%	40%	50%	414	1656	2069	0 (0 0) 1 0	0 0	1 1	0	0	1	1 0	0 C	1
60		60 Tower Road (2)	Washington	Glover West	0.19	1898.35	E00044948	E02001799	926	50%	1382	10%	40%	50%	190	759	949	0 (0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0	0	0	1 0	0 (0
61		61 Northern entrance to Industrial Road	Washington	Hertburn	0.21	2056.63	E00044680	E02001820	927	73%		10%	40%	50%	206			0 (0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0	0	0	1 0) 0	0
62		62 Hillthorn Farm (6) 63 Hillthorn Farm (7)	Washington	Nissan	27.01 3.29	270083.45 32881.01	E00170229 E00044181	E02001818 E02001803	928	71%		0% 10%	50% 40%	50% 50%	0 3288	135042	135042 16441	16 3			3 7 2	25 57	12	10	36	60 13	11	37
64		64 Turbine Business Park (3) - east of pub	Washington Washington	Nissan Nissan	1.21	12129.18	E00044950	E02001797	933	68%		10%	40%	50%	1213			1 (3 1	0	4 10 2 4	1	1	2	4 1	1 1	2
64 d	64d	North of Low Barmston Farmhouse (10)	Washington	Pattinson North	2.58	25788.75	E00170198	E02001807	931	75%	5171	0%	0%	100%	0	0	25789	0 (0 0	0 0	0 1	0	0	0	1 0	J <u></u>	0
64 c	64c	Screen Print, North of Alston Rd/Walton Rd (11)	Washington	Pattinson North	1.69	16923.53	E00170231	E02001807	931	81%	1359	10%	40%	50%	1692	6769	8462	1 (0 0	. 4 1	. 1	2 5	1	1	3	5 1	1 1	3
64 a	64a	West of Walton Road (5)	Washington	Pattinson North	0.94	9371.06	E00170231	E02001807	930	81%		10%	40%	50%	937			-	0 0	2 0	0 0	1 3	1	0	2	3 1	1 1	2
64 b	64b	South of Faraday Close (6) James Steel, Site 1 (7)	Washington	Pattinson North	1.09	10938.51	E00170231 E00170198	E02001807	930 930	81%		10%	40%	50%	1094			1 (2 0	0	1 3	1	1	2	3 1	. 1	2
64 e 64 f	64e	Front of James Steel (8)	Washington Washington	Pattinson North Pattinson North	0.89	8907.63 10086.34	E00170198	E02001807 E02001807	930	75% 75%	5171 5171	10%	40% 40%	50% 50%	891 1009			1 (1 3	1	1	2	3 1	1 1	2
65	041	65 Turbine Business Park (3) - east of Spine Road	Washington	Nissan	0.21	2095.36	E00044950	E02001797	933	68%		10%	40%	50%	210		1048	0 (0 1	0	0	0	1 0	J 0	2
65 c	65c	North of Sterling Close (1)	Washington	Pattinson South	0.47	4692.73	E00170198	E02001807	937	75%	5171	10%	40%	50%	469	1877	2346	0 (0 0) 1 0) 0	1 1	0	0	1	1 0	0 (1
65 b	65b	West of Sterling Close (3)	Washington	Pattinson South	0.19	1871.26	E00170198	E02001807	937	75%	-	10%	40%	50%	187	749	936	0 (0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0	0	0	1 0	<u>ر</u>	0
65 a	65a	Holystone Waste, adjoining Railway	Washington	Pattinson South	1.00	9996.97	E00170198	E02001807	937	75%	-	10%	40%	50%	1000					2 0	0	1 3	1	1	2	3 1	. 1	2
66 67 c	670	66 Turbine Business Park (3) - south of WBC Former Northumbria Centre (1)	Washington Washington	Nissan Stephenson	0.84	8371.19 6555.97	E00044950 E00044944	E02001797 E02001797	933 932	68% 79%		10%	40% 40%	50% 50%	837 656							1 2	1	0	2	3 1 2 0		2
67 b	67b	East of Stephenson Road (3)	Washington	Stephenson	0.35	3522.65	E00044944	E02001797	932	79%		10%	40%		352							0 1	0	0	1	1 0	<u> </u>	1
67 a	67a	East of Stephenson Road (2)	Washington	Stephenson	1.04	10364.76	E00044950	E02001797	932	68%		10%	40%	50%	1036					2 0	0 0	1 3	1	1	2	3 1	1 1	2
67		67 Turbine Business Park (3) - south-east of FTC	Washington	Nissan	0.66	6562.20	E00044950	E02001797	933	68%		10%	40%	50%	656			1 (0 0	1 0	0 0	1 2	0	0	1	2 0	<u>ر</u>	1
68		68 Turbine Business Park (3) - west of Vantec	Washington	Nissan	1.76	17626.37	E00044950	E02001797	933	68%		10%	40%	50%	1763					4 1	. 1	2 5	1	1	3	5 1	. 1	3
69 70		69 Turbine Business Park (3) - south of test track 70 Turbine Business Park (1)	Washington Washington	Nissan Nissan	2.59 6.09	25942.95 60866.56	E00044950 E00044177	E02001797 E02001801	933 934	68% 51%		10%	40% 40%		2594 6087					. 5 1 13 3		3 8 8 10	2	1	5	8 2 19 4	4 2	12
70 71 a	71a	North of Hankyu (6)	Washington	Wear	0.82	8219.52	E00045013	E02001815	935	61%		5%	40% 50%	45%	411					2 (0 0	1 2	4	0	1	2 0	J 0	1
71 b	71b	South of Sedling Road (2)	Washington	Wear	0.57	5736.23	E00045023	E02001815	935	57%		5%	50%	45%	287					1 0	0 0	1 2	0	0	1	2 0	0 (1
72		72 North of Campanile Hotel	Washington	Emerson	0.42	4225.65	E00044999	E02001810	936	83%		0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0 (0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 נ	0
78 a	78a	West of Cherry Way (4)	Coalfield	Dubmire	0.79	7923.16	E00044455	E02001823	907	65%		10%	40%	50%	792					2 0	0	1 2	0	0	1	2 1	. 0	2
78 b 78 c	78b	South of Techniks	Coalfield Coalfield	Dubmire	0.11	1092.70 24887.82	E00044455 E00044455	E02001823 E02001823	907	65% 65%		10%	40%	50% 30%	109			-			0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0		0
78 C 80 a	78C 80a	East of Cherry Way (1) Gilpin Wood (former Glebe Farm Sewage Works) (6)	Coalfield	Dubmire Rainton Bridge North	2.49	24887.82	E00044455 E00170218	E02001823	907	43%		5% 10%	25% 40%	30% 50%	1244 2676					6 1	1	2 4 3 8	2	1	5	4 1	2 1	5
80 b	80b	Land to west of former Sumitomo factory (1)	Coalfield	Rainton Bridge North	3.20	32048.46	E00170218	E02001796	908	43%		10%	40%	50%	3205					7 1	1	4 9	2	2	6	10 2	2 2	6
81 b	81b	South of Cygnet Way (5)	Coalfield	Rainton Bridge South	6.32	63200.97	E00044422	E02001825	909	76%		60%	20%	20%	37921				3 3 9	36 8	3 7 2	22 51	11	9	32	54 11	1 10	33
81 a	81a	North of Cygnet Way (5)	Coalfield	Rainton Bridge South	1.78	17838.29	E00044422	E02001825	909	76%		60%	20%	20%	10703				1 1 2	10 2	2 2	6 14	3	3	9	15 3	ز 3	9
81 c	81c	North of Gadwall Road (1)	Coalfield	Rainton Bridge South	0.69	6870.13	E00044422	E02001825	909	76%		60%	20%	20%	4122					4 1	1	2 6	1	1	3	6 1		4
82 a 82 b	82a 82b	North of Colliery Lane (4) Land east of Parkgate (2)	Coalfield Coalfield	Hetton Lyons Hetton Lyons	0.37	3686.39 1765.89	E00044397 E00044418	E02001825 E02001826	910 910	72% 63%		0% 0%	50% 50%	50% 50%	0	1843 883						0 0	0	0	0	1 0		1
82 b 87 b	820 87b	Adjoining Readycrete site (4)	Coalfield	Market Place	0.15	1535.29	E00044418	E02001820	911	43%		0%	50%		0	768		-				0 0	0	0	0	0 0	J 0	0
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1 ~	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1				•		•	.576		5/61	- 5/0		Ű			-1 '	-1 0		-1	- · ·	Ĭ	-1	-1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

# Appendix D Census Mode Share Devised Vehicular Trip Rates

• • • •	Trip Rates										
Car %	A	М	P	M							
	IB	OB	IB	ОВ							
81%	0.309	0.700	0.648	0.438							
80%	0.288	0.661	0.618	0.408							
79%	0.285	0.654	0.613	0.403							
78%	0.281	0.648	0.608	0.398							
77%	0.277	0.641	0.603	0.394							
76%	0.274	0.635	0.598	0.389							
75%	0.270	0.629	0.593	0.384							
74%	0.267	0.622	0.588	0.379							
73%	0.263	0.616	0.583	0.374							
72%	0.260	0.609	0.578	0.369							
71%	0.256	0.603	0.573	0.364							
70%	0.253	0.596	0.568	0.359							
69%	0.249	0.590	0.563	0.355							
68%	0.246	0.583	0.558	0.350							
67%	0.242	0.577	0.553	0.345							
66%	0.239	0.570	0.549	0.340							
65%	0.235	0.564	0.544	0.335							
64%	0.232	0.557	0.539	0.330							
63%	0.228	0.551	0.534	0.325							
62%	0.225	0.544	0.529	0.320							
61%	0.221	0.538	0.524	0.316							
60%	0.218	0.531	0.519	0.311							
59%	0.214	0.525	0.514	0.306							
58%	0.210	0.519	0.509	0.301							
57%	0.208	0.514	0.506	0.298							
56%	0.206	0.509	0.503	0.294							
55%	0.204	0.504	0.500	0.291							
54%	0.201	0.499	0.496	0.288							
53%	0.199	0.495	0.493	0.285							
52%	0.197	0.490	0.490	0.281							
51%	0.194	0.485	0.487	0.278							
50%	0.192	0.480	0.484	0.275							
49%	0.190	0.475	0.481	0.272							
48%	0.187	0.471	0.478	0.269							
47%	0.185	0.466	0.475	0.265							
46%	0.183	0.461	0.472	0.262							
45%	0.180	0.456	0.469	0.259							
44%	0.178	0.451	0.466	0.256							
43%	0.176	0.447	0.463	0.252							
42%	0.174	0.442	0.460	0.249							
41%	0.171	0.437	0.457	0.246							
	Trip Rates										
-------	------------	-------	-------	-------	--	--	--	--			
Car %	Α	М	РМ								
	IB	OB	IB	ОВ							
40%	0.169	0.432	0.454	0.243							
39%	0.167	0.427	0.451	0.239							
38%	0.164	0.423	0.448	0.236							
37%	0.162	0.418	0.444	0.233							
36%	0.160	0.413	0.441	0.230							
35%	0.157	0.408	0.438	0.226							
34%	0.155	0.404	0.435	0.223							
33%	0.153	0.399	0.432	0.220							
32%	0.150	0.394	0.429	0.217							
31%	0.148	0.389	0.426	0.213							
30%	0.146	0.384	0.423	0.210							
29%	0.144	0.380	0.420	0.207							
28%	0.141	0.375	0.417	0.204							
27%	0.139	0.370	0.414	0.200							
26%	0.137	0.365	0.411	0.197							
25%	0.134	0.360	0.408	0.194							

# Appendix E RFC SATURN Modelling Results for all of the Local Plan Delivery Phases



Ratio of flow to capacity

- <0.85
- 0.86 0.90
- 0.91 1.00
- > 1.00

#### Base 2015 AM

# **CAPITA** INFRASTRUCTURE



Ratio of flow to capacity

- <0.85
- 0.86 0.90
- 0.91 1.00
- > 1.00

Base 2015 PM

# **CAPITA** INFRASTRUCTURE



Ratio of flow to capacity

- <0.85
- 0.86 0.90
- 0.91 1.00
- > 1.00

Phase 0 AM

# **CAPITA** INFRASTRUCTURE



Ratio of flow to capacity

- <0.85
- 0.86 0.90
- 0.91 1.00
- > 1.00

Phase 0 PM

# **CAPITA** INFRASTRUCTURE



Ratio of flow to capacity

- <0.85
- 0.86 0.90
- 0.91 1.00
- > 1.00

Phase 1 AM

# **CAPITA** INFRASTRUCTURE



Ratio of flow to capacity

- <0.85
- 0.86 0.90
- 0.91 1.00
- > 1.00

Phase 1 PM

# **CAPITA** INFRASTRUCTURE



Ratio of flow to capacity

- <0.85
- 0.86 0.90
- 0.91 1.00
- > 1.00

Phase 2 AM

# **CAPITA** INFRASTRUCTURE



Ratio of flow to capacity

- <0.85
- 0.86 0.90
- 0.91 1.00
- > 1.00

Phase 2 PM

# **CAPITA** INFRASTRUCTURE



Ratio of flow to capacity

- <0.85
- 0.86 0.90
- 0.91 1.00
- > 1.00

Phase 3 AM

# **CAPITA** INFRASTRUCTURE



Ratio of flow to capacity

- <0.85
- 0.86 0.90
- 0.91 1.00
- > 1.00

Phase 3 PM

# **CAPITA** INFRASTRUCTURE

#### Commercial in Confidence

# CAPITA

# Appendix F Modelled Network Junction Reference Numbers



#### JUNCTION REFERENCE NUMBERS



# Appendix G NECA Pipeline Highway Schemes

#### Capital Project Pipeline Updated: 14 December 2015

Pla No. Ref			Project name	Link	Overview & status	Scheme Objectives	Lead body	Project Exec	Project Lead	Start Date	End Date	Actual / estimated total cost	Funding position	Posible Funding sources	Priority for LGF (Y/N)
Α			Top 10 £20m - £60m												
	1	SSTC3	Sunderland Strategic Transport Corridor (SSTC3)	1A 1B	Improvements to A1231 between junctions with St. Michael's Way and SSTC3. 1A estimated cost £13,000,000 1B estimated cost £17,000,000				PL			£30,000,000			
	2	SSTC4	Sunderland Strategic Transport Corridor (SSTC) Phase 4 - Wessington Way (A19 to	ID	Improvements to A1231 between north bridgehead of proposed new bridge over the River Wear (SSTC Phase 2) and junction with A19. Developing interface improvements with				PL			£50,000,000			
	3	SSTC 5	Proposed New North Bridgehead) SSTC Phase 5 - Bridge Street to Commercial Road Roundabout, Sunderland Strategic Transport Corridor (SSTC)	ЗA	Highway's England network. Improvements to A1018 between Wearmouth Bridge and the roundabout junction of Hendon Road with Commercial Road. Proposed single carriageway road linking SSTC / A1018 Southern Radial Route with the Port of Sunderland. Improvements would provide direct access between the Port and the national road network (i.e. A19 and A1M). This would contribute towards development of the Port, which is currently constrained by poor standard of existing road access. 3A Cost £25,000,000				PL			£60,000,000			
				3B	3B Cost £35,000,000										
	4		Coalfield Area Route - Central Route with Durham County Council		The proposed Central Route extends through the Coalfield Area, connecting the A182 west of Shiney Row with the B1284 at Rainton Bridge. Hetton By Pass and Murton Lane Improvements Link to Hetton Lyons. Complete east Durham link between the B1285 and the A19 via the Hawthorn employment site and bypassing Murton Village.				PL			£60,000,000			
			A690 Durham Road Improvements	5A	The A690 Durham Road is a major road corridor providing a key access route serving the city centre and connecting Sunderland to the A19, and to the A1 (M) in County Durham. Aim is to improve journey times and reliability and reduce junction delays, and including safety improvements. 5A £30,000,000				PL						
			Durham Road (A690) / Barnes Gyratory	5B	Intercity (Durham - Sunderland) Access Improvement 5B £2,500,000	To provide journey time saving (including public transport). To remove uncontrolled side road movements and improve road safety.			кн						
	5	A690 Durham Road	Durham Road (A690) eastbound approach to A19	5C	Construction of a free flow filter lane between A690 eastbound approach to junction and northbound entry slip to A19 to accommodate high daily demand of northbound traffic. 5C £2,500,000	To improve pedestrian connectivity To increase cycling permeability and promote the use of sustainable modes of transport			кн			£35,650,000			
			Durham Road (A690) / Grindon Lane	5D	Intercity (Durham - Sunderland) Access Improvement 5D £650,000				КН						
	6		Doxford Park / Ryhope Link Road	5E	Proposal to provide a single carriageway link road, connecting Doxford Park Way with the A1018 Southern Radial Route to south of Ryhope.Facilitates access to new residential development at South Ryhope, former Cherry Knowle Hospital. Phased Delivery with section to be designed in-house.				PL			£10,000,000			
	7		South Sunderland Growth Area Access Route		Improved transport links with south Sunderland and A690				PL			ТВС			
	8		A19 - A1018 Improvement remodelling		Remodelling to section of carriageway between A19 and 1018 to create improved transport links				PL			ТВС			
			Vaux-Stadium Park Footbridge	9A	Estimates for a bridge at this location will vary widely depending on the required width and quality.	To improve links to and promote the use of public transport. To promote and assist in development of the Bonnersfield development site.			КН			For 11.5m wide a 'value for money' option is estimated at £15m, with a 'landmark' structure c. £39m.			
	9	Stadium Parking Links	Stadium Park-St Peters Subway	9B	5.5m wide subway below Wearmouth Bridge North Approach between St Peters Metro Station and Bonnersfield	To provide improved links to the historic St Peters Church and the Stadium of Light. To improve walking and cycling routes and to promote the use of such modes of transport			кн			Based on a study in 2003 the updated estimate in 2015 was c. £2.5m			
	10		IAMP		Proposed dual and single carriageway links, including new bridge crossings to the proposed 100 hectare development to the west of Sunderland city centre that will house new automotive, logistics and offshore manufacturing businesses.				PL			£35,000,000			
			£2m - £20m												

$ \left[ $							
Image: space of the	11		installations are close to, or beyond, design life. This means that not only is the physical state of the equiping generally poor; but also the technology is aged. The asset is fulfilling its basic requirement of regulating tra flows, but is doing so in a basic/unintelligent/isolated manr With modern, intelligent, networked equipment and design there would be substantial gains in efficiency for highway to by reduction of delays/stopping/speed alterations. Additionally, modern LED aspects use substantially less energy, leading to reduced revenue costs as well as	ment ffic ier	GC		
12         Description         Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdesc< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>nt.</td><td>КН</td><td></td><td></td></thdesc<></thdescription<>				nt.	КН		
$ \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	12 Chaster Bood		LINK 1 £5,000,000 Signalisation of junctions and construction of direct access 12B land to the north inorder to facilitate regenaration of the	To provide journey time saving (including public transport).		£11 200 000	
			12C approaches to reduce congestion and delay. Li £1,300,000	Ine To provide a gateway to the University and the City		211.500,000	
1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1					КН		
1     1     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0 <td>13</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>development. To provide journey time saving. To reduce congestion. To improve links between the City centre and the coastal areas of Roker, Seaburn and Whitburn.</td> <td>кн</td> <td>£4,000,000</td> <td></td>	13			development. To provide journey time saving. To reduce congestion. To improve links between the City centre and the coastal areas of Roker, Seaburn and Whitburn.	кн	£4,000,000	
Image: Property intervention         Image: Property interventinterventintervention         Image: Property interventio	14	, , ,	,		кн	£4,000,000	
Image: problem in the strange entrop of an introp in the strange entrop in the strange entrop of an introp in the strange entrop in t			Environmental improvements providing strategic link betw Sunderland University and City Centre. Link 1		КН		
Pick Pick Pick Pick         Build same Way / Datum Road Lineard         Inc.         Build same Way / Datum Road Lineard         Inc.         Build same Way / Datum Road Lineard         Pick Pick Pick Pick Pick Pick Pick Pick			Improves public transport links through journey time savin provides congestion relief in City centre (Holmeside). L	ink 2 To improve access to / from the City Centre and promote	кн		
	15 City Centre	St Michael's Way / Durham Road junction	Journey time saving and removal of congestion pinch poir	To provide journey time saving and congestion relief.	КН	£6,500,000	
$ \left  $		Link Road through police station onto St	Provides link to SSTC from City centre (High Street West)		кн		
Image: bit in the link and the link an			Removal of Congestion Pinch point Link 6 £700 000		КН		
17         Park Lase intercentage entancies from Structure Read / TGB kar Read anteine 10         Improves public transport inter 10 cycenter bidenesity.         Improves public transport inter 10 cycenter bidenesinter 10 cycenter bidenesity. </th <th>16</th> <th>Four Lane Ends Junction</th> <th>15E</th> <th>nt.</th> <th>КН</th> <th>£2,000,000</th> <th></th>	16	Four Lane Ends Junction	15E	nt.	КН	£2,000,000	
1       Soldon Road       0       0       0       0       0       1.18.0000       0       0       0         18       Leadmant Real Fault Road Junction       Soldon Road       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       <			Improves public transport links through journey time savin	a and			
19       (4123) Dues Alaxandia Erdge / Canden       Major Event Management Project       To provide jurnary time standing. To provide jurnary time standing. To provide jurnary time standing. To provide jurnary time standing.       No.       R1       N       R1       N       F1.000.000       Image: Company time standing.         20       Moughen Food (A182) / Calley Lane Junción       Major Event Management Project       Major Events of the one Energency scando.       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       <	17	Stockton Road	provides congestion relief in City centre (Holmeside).	g and			
20       Junction       RH       Chi Nuo,0000       Comparison Methysion       Comparison Methysio	19	(A1231) Queen Alexandra Bridge / Camden		To improve access to / from the Stadium. To allow the efficient management of traffic to / from A19 Trunk			
P1       Heton Road (A182) / Oillis Lane_Junction       Removal of Congestion Princip and Collision Migration       Image: Control Congestion Princip and Congestion Princip and Collision Migration       Image: Control Congestion Princip and Collision Migration       Image: Congestion Princip and Collision Migration	20		Removal of Congestion Pinch point and Collision Mitigatio	n	КН	£1,000,000	
22       Pretor Down's Access road       Plan. Scheme to be designed in-house       Image: Plan between the project will support examples to plan between the project will support examples to plan between the project will support areas, broadening the labour marked. Strategic Cycle Network Development.       Provide the project will support the common regeneration by linking the designed in-house       Image: Plan between the project will support the project will support areas, broadening the labour marked. Strategic Cycle Network Development.       Provide the minipication of the common regeneration by linking the designed to a regional cycle network in accordinate with the strategic cycle Network Development.       Provide the minipication of the common regeneration by linking the designed to a regional cycle network in accordinate with the strategic cycle Network Development.       Provide the minipication of the common regeneration by linking the indices of multiple development of transport plan be indiced and and the project will support equilable access to work by largeing areas with low car commentative for increased by car for the common regeneration by linking the indices of multiple development of transport plan by car for the common regeneration the strategic cycle Network in accordance with LTC3       Provide the labour market inticks of unclustive to increased to indicative to increased to indicative to increased to indicative for increased to indicative.       Prove access to /rom A19 Tunk Result and employ and plan by car for the common regeneration transport plan by car for the common regeneration transport plan by car for the common regeneration transport plan by car for the comment of transport plan by car for the common regeneration transport plan by car for the common regeneration transport plan by car for the common regneration transport plan by car for the common regnerat	21				КН	£800,000	
24       Strategic Cycle Network Development.       Sunderland has a strong transport policy (LTP, DT) inperating and policical support to the continued development of a strategic cycle network across the continued development of a strategic cycle network across the continued development of a strategic cycle network across the initiative.       Image: Policy across the continued development of a strategic cycle network across the continued development of a strategic cycle network across the initiative.       Image: Policy across the continued development of a strategic cycle network across the continued development of a support to guilable access to work by targeting areas with a higher indexes of multiple deprivation.       Image: Policy across the continued development of a support to guilable access to work by targeting areas with a higher indexes of multiple deprivation.       Image: Policy across the policy across th	22	Hetton Downs Access Road			KH/PL	£750,000	
25       Camden St Gyratory       Resurfacing of the Camden St Gyratory and signals/cycling works to be carried out       To improve access to / from the Stadium. To allow the efficient management of traffic to / from A19 Trunk Road following Major Events or in an Emergency scenario.       GC       Image: TBC       Image:	24	Strategic Cycle Network Development.	and political support for the continued development of a strategic cycle network across the city. The five Regenera Area Committees/Place Boards have formally supported a endorsed the initiative. - The project will be embedded in and strongly support the integrated development of transport for Sunderland, and v linked to a regional cycle network in accordance with LTP3	residential and employment areas, broadening the labour market available to employers. The project will support modal shift for short-medium length journeys, thus supporting congestion reduction and improved air quality. The project will support equitable access to work by targeting areas with low car ownership and higher indices of multiple deprivation. The project will run in tandem with health initiatives by targeting areas with a higher imperative for increased physical activity to	GC	TBC	
25       IAMP Phase 2 Transport Infrastructure       crossing over the Leamside Line to the proposed 100 hectare development to the west of the A19 that will house new automotive, logistics and offshore manufacturing businesses.         Penshaw / Philadelphia / Sedgewick Link       Open area to residential development and Improve safety on	25	Camden St Gyratory		To provide journey time saving. g To improve access to / from the Stadium. To allow the efficient management of traffic to / from A19 Trunk	GC	твс	
Penshaw / Philadelphia / Sedgewick Link Open area to residential development and Improve safety on	25	IAMP Phase 2 Transport Infrastructure	crossing over the Leamside Line to the proposed 100 hec development to the west of the A19 that will house new	tare			
Bood TBC				on		ТВС	
26     Road     the A18       27     Central Station     Nexus	26						

	28	Durham Coast / Picton Line Rail Study					
	29	A19 Junction Study					
-	• •			·			

TBC		
TBC		

# Appendix H Existing Bus Services & Connections



- Residential Sites
- Employment Sites
- Bus Routes
- metro
- Sunderland Boundary

#### **Bus Routes in Sunderland**



North Tyneside NE27 0BY - 0191 643 4819 www.capitaproperty.co.uk Capita Property and Infrastructure Ltd.

# Appendix I Public Transport Accessibility Ranking Results





PT Accessibility

- E High
- Medium
- Low
- Bus Routes

#### CAPITA INFRASTRUCTURE The Quadrant, The Silverlink North, Cobalt Business Park,

Site	sub area	PT accessibili ty overall	frequency	accessibility metro	accessibili ty bus stop	frequency peak [min]	bus provision
46	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	12	high
54	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	10	high
56	Washington	Н	high	low	high	15	medium
61	Coalfield	L	high	low	low	0	low
62	Sunderland South	М	high	low	low	12	high
63	Sunderland Central	Н	high	high	high	12	high
70	Sunderland South	М	low	high	high	20	medium
74	Coalfield	Н	high	low	high	12	high
78	Sunderland Central	Н	high	medium	high	15	medium
79	Sunderland North	L	low	low	high	30	low
81	Sunderland South	L	low	low	low	20	medium
85	Sunderland South	М	high	high	medium	15	medium
86	Coalfield	М	low	low	high	30	low
91	Sunderland North	Н	high	low	high	10	high
93	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	10	high
98	Washington	М	low	low	high	30	low
100	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	10	high
101	Sunderland North	Н	high	low	high	10	high
104	Sunderland North	М	high	low	medium	10	high
106	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	15	medium
107	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	10	high
109	Washington	Н	high	low	high	15	medium
111	Coalfield	Н	high	low	high	12	high
112	Coalfield	Н	high	low	high	12	high
113	Coalfield	М	low	low	high	30	medim
115	Coalfield	Н	high	low	high	10	high
118	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	12	high
120	Sunderland North	Н	high	low	high	10	high
128	Coalfield	Н	high	low	medium	12	high
138	Coalfield	М	high	low	low	12	high
142	Coalfield	Н	high	low	high	12	high
146	Coalfield	L	low	low	medium	30	low
147	Sunderland South	L	high	low	high	10	high
149	Coalfield	L	low	low	medium	60	low
150	Coalfield	М	low	low	high	20	medium
159	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	15	medium
160	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	15	medium
175	Sunderland North	Н	high	low	high	10	high
177	Washington	Н	high	low	high	15	medium
187	Sunderland Central		high	low	high	12	high
193	Coalfield	М	low	low	high	30	low
194	Coalfield	L	low	low	medium	30	low
197	Coalfield	Н	high	low	high	12	high
230	Coalfield	L	low	low	medium	60	low
248	Washington	L	high	low	high	0	high
252	Washington	L	high	low	high	0	high
258	Washington	L	high	low	high	0	high

280	Coalfield	L	low	low	high	60	low
288	Washington	L	low	low	high	60	low
292	Sunderland South	M	high	medium	low	15	medium
299	Washington	М	high	low	high	10	low
312	Washington	М	high	medium	low	15	medium
324	Sunderland South	M	high	medium	low	15	medium
328	Coalfield	M	low	low	high	30	low
333	Coalfield	Н	high	low	high	12	high
339	Coalfield	L	medium	low	low	(AM), 15 (P	high
341	Coalfield	L	low	low	medium	(AM), 30 (PI	medium
342	Sunderland South	М	low	low	high	20	medium
344	Coalfield	М	low	low	high	20	medium
355	Sunderland South	М	low	low	high	60	low
356	Sunderland South	М	low	low	medium	20	medium
364	Washington	L	low	low	high	60	low
367	Coalfield	L	low	low	medium	30	low
376	Coalfield	L	low	low	medium	30	low
380	Sunderland South	L	low	low	medium	30	low
382	Coalfield	L	low	low	medium	30	low
388	Coalfield	L	low	low	medium	30	low
410	Coalfield	L	low	medium	low	60 (PM)	low
413	Sunderland North	М	low	low	high	20	medium
416	Sunderland North	L	low	low	medium	60	medium
417	Coalfield	М	low	low	high	30	low
421	Coalfield	М	low	low	high	30	low
422	Coalfield	М	low	low	high	20	medium
424	Washington	М	high	low	high	10	low
448	Coalfield	М	low	low	high	20	medium
451	Sunderland South	М	low	low	high	20	medium
453	Washington	М	low	low	high	20	medium
454	Washington	М	low	low	high	30	low
462	Coalfield	М	low	low	high	30	low
465	Coalfield	М	low	low	high	30	medim
468	Coalfield	L	low	low	medium	30	low
469	Coalfield	Н	high	low	high	12	high
470	Coalfield	Н	high	low	high	12	high
472	Coalfield	Н	high	low	high	12	high
477	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	15	medium
494	Coalfield	Н	high	low	high	15	medium
504	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	10	high
505	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	10	high
511	Sunderland South	L	low	low	high	60	low
517	Sunderland North	L	low	low	high	60	low
520	Sunderland North	Н	high	low	high	10	high
530	Sunderland South	L	low	low	high	60	low
531	Sunderland South	L	low	low	high	60	low
537	Sunderland North	L	low	low	high	60	low
538	Washington	L	low	low	medium	30	low
539	Coalfield	L	low	low	medium	30	low
540	Coalfield	L	low	low	medium	30	low
562	Sunderland South	М	high	low	high	15	medium

565	Sunderland North	М	high	high	low	10	high
567	Washington	М	high	low	high	10	low
595	Washington	М	low	high	low	10	high
628	Washington	М	low	low	high	30	low
629	Washington	Н	high	low	high	15	medium
630	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	15	medium
631	Sunderland South	М	low	low	high	20	medium
632	Washington	М	low	low	high	20	medium
633	Washington	М	low	low	high	20	medium
636	Sunderland South	М	low	low	high	20	medium
637	Coalfield	М	low	low	high	20	medium
646	Washington	L	low	low	low	60	low
650	Washington	М	low	low	high	30	low
654	Coalfield	Н	high	low	high	10	high
655	Sunderland Central	Н	high	high	high	10	high
656	Sunderland Central	Н	high	high	high	10	high
657	Sunderland Central	Н	high	high	high	10	high
658	Sunderland Central	Н	high	high	high	10	high
659	Sunderland Central	Н	high	high	high	10	high
660	Sunderland Central	Н	high	high	high	10	high
661	Sunderland Central	Н	high	high	high	10	high
662	Sunderland Central	Н	high	high	high	10	high
663	Sunderland South	М	low	low	high	20	medium
664	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	10	high
665	Sunderland Central	Н	high	high	high	10	high
671	Washington	L	low	low	low	0	low
673	Washington	L	low	low	high	30	medium
675	Sunderland North	Н	medium	high	high	20	medium
676	Sunderland South	Н	high	low	high	15	high
677	Sunderland South	М	low	high	high	30	low
154A	Sunderland North	М	low	low	high	20	medium
154B	Sunderland North	М	low	low	high	20	medium
293A	Coalfield	М	low	low	medium	30	low
330A	Coalfield	Н	high	low	high	12	high
330B	Coalfield	М	low	low	high	30	medim
407C	Washington	L	low	low	high	30	low
426A	Sunderland South	L	low	low	high	60	low
463A	Washington	Н	high	low	high	10	high
464B	Coalfield	L	low	low	low	30	medium
467A	Sunderland North	L	low	medium	low	20	medium
467B	Sunderland North	Н	high	low	high	10	high
80C	Sunderland Central	Н	high	high	high	15	medium

# Appendix J Identified Bus Service Improvements



-		
2		
3		
4	Proposed bus connections	
5	-	
6		
7		CAPITA
8		CAFIIA
 bus routes		INFRASTRUCTURE
 metro		The Quadrant, The Silverlink North, Cobalt Business Park, North Tyneside NE27 0BY - 0191 643 4819
Residential Sites		www.capitaproperty.co.uk Capita Property and Infrastructure Ltd.
Employment Sites		

Appendix K Sunderland Cycling Map

# Appendix L Cycling & Walking Accessibility Ranking Results

Site	Walking	Cycling	Site	Walking	Cycling	Site	Walking	Cycling
46	L	L	252	М	М	520	Н	Н
54	L	L	258	М	М	530	М	Н
56	L	L	280	L	L	531	М	Н
61	М	М	288	М	Н	537	М	Н
62	М	Н	292	Н	Н	538	L	М
63	Н	Н	299	L	М	539	L	L
70	Н	Н	312	М	М	540	L	Н
74	L	Н	324	М	Н	562	М	Н
78	М	Н	328	L	Н	565	М	Н
79	L	L	333	L	L	567	L	М
81	М	Н	339	L	Н	595	L	М
85	Н	М	341	L	L	628	L	М
86	L	М	342	М	Н	629	М	М
91	Н	Н	344	L	L	630	Н	М
93	Н	Н	355	М	Н	631	М	Н
98	L	Н	356	L	Н	632	М	М
100	М	Н	364	М	Н	633	М	Н
101	M	M	367	L	L	636	M	M
104	M	M	376	L	L	637	L	Н
106	M	H	380	L	H	646	L	H
107	M	M	382	L	L	650	H	H
109	Н	M	388	L	H	654	L	L
111	L	H	410	L	L	655	H	H
112	H	H	413	M	н	656	H	H
113	L	L	416	L	H	657	H	H
115	L	M	417	L	L	658	H	H
118	H	M	421	L	н	659	H	H
120	H	H	422	L	M	660	H	H
128	M	L	424	L	L	661	H	H
138	L	Н	448	L	M	662	Н	Н
142	L	L	451	M	H	663	M	H
146	L	M	453	M	M	664	Н	H
147	M	M	454	M	Н	665	H	H
149	L	M	462	L	H	671	L	H
150	L	L	465	L	L	673	L	H
159	M	H	468	L	L	675	L	M
160	M	H	469	L	 L	676	L	H
175	M	M	470	L	L	677	M	H
175	M	M	472	L	H	154A	M	H
187	Н	H	472	L	H	154R	M	H
193	L	L	494	L	Н	293A	L	H
195	L	L	504	L	H	330A	L	M
194	L	Н	505	L	Н	330A 330B	L	L
230	L	Н	505	L	Н	407C	L	M
230	L	H	517	M	H	407C	L	H
463A	L	M	467A	M	Н	420A 80C	H	H
463A 464B	L	M	467A 467B	M	H	000		11

# Appendix M Identified Improvements to the Cycling Network



— Traffic Free

- ---- Cycle Lane
- NCN Traffic Free
- ---- NCN On Road
- NCN Traffic Free
- Traffic Free
- Advisory
- Employment Sites
  - Residential Sites

# PROPOSED CYCLING CONNECTIONS

# CAPITA INFRASTRUCTURE

North Tyneside NE27 0BY - 0191 643 4819 www.capitaproperty.co.uk Capita Property and Infrastructure Ltd.



Appendix N Minor Highway Mitigation Works

	Potential Minor Highway Mitigation for Impacted Junctions									
Junction Ref	Junction Name	Potential Minor Highway Mitigation	Council Identified Schemes							
1	JB - A19 / A1290	Part of IAMP highway mitigation.	IAMP highway infrastructure improvements and A1290 / A19 junction improvements.							
2	Sunderland Highway / A19	Traffic signal optimisation.	A1231 / A19 junction improvements.							
3	A19N on-slip / A183W	Traffic signal optimisation and coordination with the adjacent junction - the traffic queues from the A19/A1290 junction directly cause queuing at the A19N/A183W junction.	A183 / A19 junction improvements.							
5	City Way / Emperor Way	Queue going back from A19 (mitigation for A19/City Way should mitigate this issue).	A19 junction improvements and City Way dualling.							
6	City Way / Monarch Way	Queue going back from A19 with City Way (mitigation for A19/City Way should solve this traffic impact).	A19 junction improvements and City Way dualling.							
7	City Way / Camberwell Way	The same as above.	A19 junction improvements and City Way dualling.							
8	City Way / Doxford Park Way	The same as above.	A19 junction improvements and City Way dualling.							
11	Doxford Park Way / Burdon Road	The failing approach (Burdon Road) has just 1 lane with a small flare. Increasing capacity can be achieved by extending the length of the flare.	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link road and localised junction improvements.							
20	A1018 / Saint Nazaire Way	Traffic capacity issues at this junction appear to be caused by traffic queuing from the adjacent junction: Salterfen Road/Ivor Street. Mitigation for Salterfen Road/Ivor Street such as traffic signal optimisation should alleviate capacity issues and delay at A1018/Saint Nazaire Way.	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link road and localised junction improvements.							
24	Ryhope Road / Salterfen Road	Traffic signal optimisation.	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link road and localised junction improvements.							

#### Potential Minor Highway Mitigation for Impacted Junctions

25	A1018 / Salterfen Road	Traffic capacity issues at this junction appear to be caused by traffic queuing from the adjacent junction: Toll Bar Road/ Ryhope Road. Mitigation such as traffic signal optimisation at the Ryhope Road junction should alleviate capacity issues and delay at A1018/Salterfen Road junction.	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link road and localised junction improvements.
40	West Park / Herrington Road	Queue extending from Herrington Road/Silksworth Road junction impacting on West Park junction. Mitigation for Herrington Road/Silksworth Road should alleviate the congestion impact on West Park / Herrington Road.	A690 / A19 junction improvements and localised junction improvements.
41	A690 / Herrington Road	Increase the length of the flares at this junction.	A690 / A19 junction improvements and localised junction improvements.
43	Durham Road / Premier Road	Traffic signal optimisation.	A690 Durham Road corridor improvements.
49	A1018 / Ocean Road South	Traffic signal optimisation.	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link road and localised junction improvements.
54	Burdon Road / Mowbray Road	Traffic signal optimisation.	Localised junction improvements.
62	Chester Road / St Michael Way	Traffic signal optimisation.	City centre junction improvements.
67	High Street West / West Wear Street	City centre location – complex multi-modal mitigation.	SSTC5 and city centre junction improvements.
68	Chester Road / St Mark's Road	Traffic signal optimisation.	Chester Road / St Mark's Road junction improvement.
69	Kayll Road / Chester Road	Traffic signal optimisation.	A183 Chester Road corridor improvements.
75	Chester Road / Prestbury Road	Traffic signal optimisation of A19 junction with Chester Road.	A183 / A19 junction improvements and A183 Chester Road corridor improvements.
83	Trimdon Street / Silksworth Row	Junction being modified as part of SSTC3.	SSTC3 and city centre junction improvements.
86	A1018 / Sheepfolds North	Traffic signal optimisation.	Northern Gateway Scheme.
88	North Bridge Street / Roker Avenue	Traffic signal optimisation.	Northern Gateway Scheme.

94	Whitburn Road / Dykelands Road	Traffic signal optimisation.	Localised junction improvements.
97	Newcastle Road / Chalton Road	Traffic signal optimisation.	Localised junction improvements.
103	Wessington Way / A1231	Traffic signal optimisation.	SSTC4 and A1231 / A19 junction improvements.
110	Wessington Way / Castletown Way	Traffic signal optimisation.	SSTC4 and A1231 / A19 junction improvements.
113	Washington Road / Ferryboat Lane	Traffic signal optimisation.	SSTC4 and A1231 / A19 junction improvements.
115	Washington Road / Blackwood Road	Traffic signal optimisation.	SSTC4 and A1231 / A19 junction improvements.
118	Washington Road / Craigavon Road	Traffic signal optimisation.	Localised junction improvements.
148	A182 - B1260	Traffic signal optimisation.	Coalfield Regeneration Route (Hetton Bypass) and localised junction improvements.
142	A690 - A1052 – A182	Increase the length of the flare on Hetton Road.	Coalfield Regeneration Route (Hetton Bypass) and localised junction improvements.
144	Vigo Lane / Picktree Lane	Traffic signal optimisation.	Localised junction improvements.
145	Picktree Lane / Bramhall Drive	2 lanes are not intuitive, provision of lane markings on the circulatory.	Localised junction improvements.
147	A182 / Coaley Lane	Traffic signal optimisation.	Coaley Lane / Philadelphia Link Road and localised junction improvements.
155	A182 / B1286	A182 northbound delay, introduce restriction access to the local garage.	New Herrington / Penshaw Link Road.
71	The Broadway / Springwell Road	Northern and western approaches to the roundabout are 1 lane approaches, suggest increasing these to 2 lane approaches.	A183 Chester Road Corridor improvements.
73	Chester Road / Pennywell Road	Northern approach to the junction is 1 lane, and suggest building a flare or additional lane on this junction approach.	A183 Chester Road Corridor improvements.
78	Hylton Road / Grindon Lane	Creation of an additional lane for ahead and right turning movements at the junction.	A183 Chester Road Corridor improvements.

89	Hylton Road / St Luke's Road	Creation of a left turn flare on the Hylton Road approach to the junction.	Localised junction improvements.
102	Southwick Road / B1291	Creation of a left turn flare for Southwick Road.	Localised junction improvements.
111	Wessington Way / Colima Avenue	Creation of additional lanes/flares on Castellian Road and Dene Road junction approaches.	SSTC4.
113	Wessington Way / Ferryboat Lane	Additional lanes on carriageway approaches.	SSTC4.
122	A1290 / Nissan Sight	Part of IAMP highway mitigation.	IAMP highway infrastructure.
123	A1290 / Cherry Blossom Way	Part of IAMP highway mitigation.	IAMP highway infrastructure.
133	A182 / The Bungalows	Create a flare on the minor road approach.	Localised junction improvements.
30	Tunstall Village Green / Burdon Road	To mitigate traffic capacity issues at these junctions, a collection of mitigation proposals will be needed that complement one another within the Burdon Lane area within the immediate vicinity of the proposed residential sites.	Doxford Park / Ryhope Link road and localised junction improvements.
32	Leechmere Road / Tunstall Road		Localised junction improvements.
33	Premier Road / Essen Way		Localised junction improvements.
35	Silksworth Lane / North Moor Lane		Farringdon Bypass.
36	Silksworth Way / Silksworth Road		Localised junction improvements.
138	B1404 / B1260	Create a flare on the B1260 approach.	Localised junction improvements.
139	B1404 / Salters Lane	Provision of flares on B1404 for both directions.	Localised junction improvements.
141	A1052 / Britannia Terrace	Traffic signal optimisation, or create a flare on Britannia Terrace.	Central Route and localised junction improvements.
142	A690 / A1052 Dairy Lane entry	Increase the number of lanes or build flare on A690 off slip road.	Central Route and localised junction improvements.
145	Picktree Lane / Bonemill Lane	Introducing a flare on Bonemill Lane.	Localised junction improvements.
149	A182 / A183	Increase the number of lanes or build flare on Chester Road.	Central Route and localised junction improvements.

Со

Capita Property and Infrastructure Ltd North Tyneside Council The Quadrant The Silverlink North Cobalt Business Park North Tyneside NE27 0BY